Community Plan Checklist

PZ17-00009 Pinon/89A Multi-family



City Of Sedona Community Development Department

102 Roadrunner Drive Sedona, AZ 86336 (928) 282-1154 • Fax: (928) 204-7124

This checklist includes all of the Community Plan's goals. If there are directly applicable policies they will be addressed under the relevant goal. Other important elements of the Community Plan which are summarized in the Community Plan Summary (p. vi) include:

- An inclusive goal of the Plan:
 - Sustainability
- Vision Themes:
 - o Environmental Stewardship
 - o Community Connections
 - o Improved Traffic Flow
 - o Walkability
 - o Economic Diversity
 - Sense of Place
- Major Outcomes:
 - o Commitment to Environmental Protection
 - Housing Diversity
 - o Community Gathering Places
 - o Economic Diversity
 - o Reduced Traffic
 - o Access to Oak Creek

Project:	PZ 17-00009 (Major CPA, Conception)	otual ZC, Conc	Date Submitted:	June 1, 2017	
Is this project in a CFA?		⊠ Yes	□ No		
Name of the CFA:		CFA #3 Dry Creek			
If the project is in a CFA, is there an approved CFA Plan?		☐ Yes	If there is an approved CFA Plan, please refer to the attached CFA Checklist.		
		⊠ No	If there is no CFA Plan, please address the Community Expectations at the end of this checklist.		

LAN	ID US	E, HOUSING, AN	ND GROWTH G	OALS		Community Plan, p. 17	
1	Gro	ow only within currently established residential and commercial limits.					
		This project is within currently established residential and commercial limits.					
		The following is a relevant policy:					
		Policy 1, p. 53: "Approve new housing units only if within the City's current overall limit on the total number of homes that can be built under current zoning."					
		This policy is intended to address the buildout of the City within its overall capacity for growth when all of the residentially zoned land is occupied. Since the City's residential lands are currently less than 75% built out and residential land has been historically under-utilized, this is not an issue for this project.					
		Compliance:	⊠ Yes	\square Partial	\square No	\square Not Applicable	
2	Ensi	ure harmony be	tween the buil	t and natural en	vironments.		
		Not applicable	at this stage.				
		Compliance:	□ Yes	⊠ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable	

	INCII	iect a unique se	rise or place if	n architecture and	i desigii.		
		Not applicable	at this stage.				
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable	
4	Prov	vide public gath	ering spaces t	hat promote soci	al interaction		
		Compliance:	□ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No		
5	Crea	ate mixed use, \	walkable distr	icts.		.,	
		of uses. There	are currently	3 parcels in a rov	w that are all	multi-family residential will create more of commercial, and this would change the cerg more variety in land use types.	
		Compliance:	⊠ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable	
6	Enc			e housing options			
		This proposal very few in the	_	he diversity of ho	using by deve	loping an apartment complex, of which the	re are
		The proposal v	will provide af	fordable housing	options in sev	eral ways:	
		o Provic	_	e housing by givi	ng priority fo	or 25 of 45 units set aside for locally emp	oloyed
			-		_	or less of Area Median Income (AMI) will r	
			•	exceed 35% of th	•	ded they meet lease qualification standard	ds and
						Outcomes (n. vi) This proposal will increase	مما د م
		_	•	new apartment co	-	Outcomes (p. vi). This proposal will increa	se the
		Compliance:	⊠ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable	
		Compliance:	⊠ Yes	□ Partial	□ No	□ Not Applicable	
CIRC	CULA	TION GOALS	⊠ Yes	□ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable Community Plan p. 57	
CIRC 1		TION GOALS		☐ Partial			
		TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for	y on single-oc will not direct or employees	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend	ency on singly	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi lp reduce the number of people driving if	_
	Red	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees checked.	y on single-oc will not direct or employees oose to ride th	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi	ency on singly	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi lp reduce the number of people driving if	_
	Red	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees checked and compliance: vide for safe and	y on single-oowill not director employees oose to ride the second of the	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial y of traffic.	ency on singly, it could he icycle to work	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi p reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable	those
1	Red	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees che Compliance: vide for safe and access from t	y on single-ocwill not director employees cose to ride the smooth flow all site plan in the highway.	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce	ency on singly, it could he icycle to work No ss to the properties the number	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi lp reduce the number of people driving if	those
2	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees che Compliance: vide for safe and The conceptuates from to movements, compliance:	y on single-odwill not director employees bose to ride the smooth flower al site plan in the highway. Ontributing to	icupancy vehicles. Ily reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduct o an improvement	ency on singly, it could he cycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, s	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi p reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable perty will be from Pinon Drive rather than r of curb cuts on the highway and thus t	those
1	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees che Compliance: vide for safe and The conceptuates from to movements, compliance:	y on single-odwill not director employees bose to ride the smooth flower al site plan in the highway. Ontributing to	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduce an improvement	ency on singly, it could he cycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, s	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi Ip reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable Derty will be from Pinon Drive rather than of curb cuts on the highway and thus the mooth flow of traffic.	those
2	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees che Compliance: vide for safe and The conceptuates from to movements, compliance:	y on single-odwill not director employees bose to ride the smooth flower al site plan in the highway. Ontributing to	icupancy vehicles. Ily reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduct o an improvement	ency on singly, it could he cycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, s	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi Ip reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable Derty will be from Pinon Drive rather than of curb cuts on the highway and thus the mooth flow of traffic.	those
2	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees che Compliance: vide for safe and The conceptuates from to movements, compliance:	y on single-odwill not director employees bose to ride the second of the second of the second of the highway. The second of the	icupancy vehicles. Ily reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduct o an improvement	ency on singly, it could he cycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, s	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi Ip reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable Derty will be from Pinon Drive rather than of curb cuts on the highway and thus the mooth flow of traffic.	those
2	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees checking to the concepturate access from the compliance:	y on single-ocwill not director employees pose to ride the second of the	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduce an improvement Partial rtation planning a	ency on singly, it could he icycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, so ind systems.	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi lp reduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable Derty will be from Pinon Drive rather than r of curb cuts on the highway and thus to mooth flow of traffic. Not Applicable	those
2	Prov	TION GOALS luce dependence The proposal opportunity for employees checking to the concepturate access from the compliance:	y on single-ocwill not director employees pose to ride the second of the	cupancy vehicles. ly reduce depend to live in the cit ne bus, walk, or bi Partial of traffic. dicates that acce This would reduce an improvement Partial rtation planning a	ency on singly, it could he icycle to work No ss to the prope the number in the safe, so ind systems.	Community Plan p. 57 e-occupancy vehicles. However, by increasi preduce the number of people driving if Not Applicable Derty will be from Pinon Drive rather than of curb cuts on the highway and thus the mooth flow of traffic. Not Applicable Not Applicable	those

5 Limit the building of new roads and streets and make strategic investments in other modes of travel.				estments in other modes of travel.		
		Compliance	☐ Yes	 □ Partial	□ No	M Not Applicable
6	Cros	Compliance: ate a more walka			□ NO	⊠ Not Applicable
0	Crea				ic goal howev	or by providing additional bousing on the
		• •		•	_	er, by providing additional housing on the
		_		i increase the	iikeiinood that	the residents will choose to walk, ride a
		bicycle or take	e the bus.			
		Compliance:	\square Yes	oxtimes Partial	\square No	☐ Not Applicable
ENV	IRON	IMENT GOALS				Community Plan p. 71
1	Pres	serve and protec	t the natural er	nvironment.		
_		•			ndition except	for several small areas with native trees. The
					•	s which include mature juniper trees, in the
		•	•	•		ed that the majority of the natural wash will
		remain in place	e. The City deve	lopment review	process and gra	ading and drainage standards have been put in
		place to ensure	e that compon	ents such as sto	orm water rete	ntion and erosion protection meet ordinance
		•		nd developmen	t review proces	s will evaluate the project in accordance with
		these standard	S.			
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	⊠ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable
2	Ensi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	upply of quality	water for the fu	uture.	
			,	,		
		- ·				57 44 4 4 4 4
2	Duct	Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
3	Prot	ect Oak Creek a	nd its riparian r	iabitat.		
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
4	Red	uce the impacts	of flooding and	d erosion on the	community and	environment.
		Compliance	□ Vas	Dortial		M Not Applicable
5	Dror	Compliance:	□ Yes	☐ <i>Partial</i> ble building and	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
J	PIOI	Not applicable		ble building and	uesigii.	
		пот аррпсавте	at tills stage.			
		Compliance:	\square Yes	\square Partial	\square No	⊠ Not Applicable
PAR	KS, R	ECREATION, AN	D OPEN SPACE	GOALS		Community Plan p. 71
1	Prot	ect and preserve	e natural open	space.		
		See Environme		·		
		Compliance:	□ Yes	⊠ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable
2	Ensu	ure the protection	on of the enviro	onment while pro	oviding for respo	onsible outdoor recreation.
		Compliance:	□ Vas	□ Partial	□ No	✓ Not Applicable

3		vide activities a styles.	nd amenitie	es that allow for	community	interactions and encourage active and healthy
		Compliance:	□ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
ECO	NON	IIC DEVELOPMEN	NT GOALS			Community Plan p. 89
1	Sup	port locally owne	ed businesse	S.		
		local businesse employees who	s. The limited cannot find	ed availability of h	ousing has bity. This prop	tional housing options for the employees of those een a challenge for businesses to hire and retain losal will set aside 25 of the 45 units with priority oyment by local businesses when filling vacancies.
		Compliance:	⊠ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	□ Not Applicable
2		ruit new busines ona's economic b	_	anizations represe	nting differe	nt business and institutional sectors that diversify
		Compliance:	□ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
3	Pres	serve and enhand	ce Sedona's	tourist based ecor	nomic sector.	
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
4	Inco	orporate an asset	s-based frar	nework into the Ci	ity's econom	ic development efforts.
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
5		rove the City's pete regionally,	•		and comm	unication infrastructure to allow businesses to
		γ - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		. 0 1		
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
		,				.,
CON	/MU	NITY GOALS				Community Plan p. 97
1	Cult	ivate an apprecia	ation and re	spect for Sedona's	distinctive c	ommunity character.
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
2		ure that the nee ural activities, op			munity now	and into the future are met through a variety of
			, por carneres,	and identices.		
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable
3	Crea	·	portunities f	or formal and info		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		Compliance:	☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	Not Applicable ■
4	Enh	<u>'</u>	es for artisti	c display, engagen	nent and lea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		Compliance:	□ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	Not Applicable Note Applicable

5	Pres	serve and	celebrat	te the comm	unity's history.			
		C 1:			Don't al		✓ Niat Ameliankia	
		Compliar	ice:	□ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	⊠ Not Applicable	
CFA	CON	MUNITY	EXPECT	ATIONS				
CFA	3 DR	Y CREEK					Communi	ity Plan p. 39
1					alkable environm	ent that build	on the variety of civic, socia	l, service, and visitor
	orie	nted uses		•	a variety of diffe	arent land use	s, including public/semi-pub	lic (library city hall
		and char	ter scho adds t	ool), comme o these esta	rcial, lodging, of	fice, multi-fam	nily, and single-family reside I apartments that don't cu	ntial. An apartment
		a walkab	le envii	ronment, giv	ving residents ea	sy access to n	nousing type, the location w learby restaurants, retail, ar rde Lynx bus line.	_
		Compliar		⊠ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	☐ Not Applicable	
2					Iternatives as tra eighborhoods.	ansitions betw	een more intensive comme	rcial and residential
						veen the lowe	r density residential neighbo	orhood to the south
						•	tment complex is a more ap	
		_			er to the adjacen		esignation/zoning. The conc ea to the south.	eptuai site pian aiso
		Compliar		⊠ Yes	☐ Partial	\square No	☐ Not Applicable	
3	Pres	serve natu	ral oper	n space alon	g SR 89A.			
	Гоо	Compliar		☐ Yes	☐ Partial	□ No	Not Applicable	ity Dlam)
4	FOC						dor (see page 36 of the Comr as a vibrant, walkable plac	
		oriented	activitie	es, mixed lar		athering space	s, and controlled vehicular	•
		• (Creation	of incentive	s and priorities fo	or developmen	t that achieve a broad range	of land uses.
				•		•	uses by introducing high de	•
		c	n the c	orridor, a us	e that only comp	rises 4% of all	housing in the community.	,
		• 1	dentific	ation of loca	tions for desired	land uses.		
		C	onsider	red a desirab	le location for ap	partments beca	I uses, and apartments adds ause of the location directly ake it a more walkable envir	on the highway and
		• (coording:	ation with ac	cess control plan	ning and relief	of congestion in the corridor	r.
		t t	lirect ac	ccess from t	he highway. This	would reduce	e property will be from Pind e the number of curb cuts of safety, better access control	on the highway and
				•	sistent design the	emes or charac	cter	
			lot appl	licable at this	s stage.			

The location along SR 89A, which has bike lanes and sidewalks provides safe and convenient access for pedestrians and bicycles to a variety of destinations, including the bus stop. • Creation of strong connections between CFA's, including good pedestrian links. Again, the location on SR 89A provides a strong connection to other CFAs on SR 89A, with pedestrian links along and off of the highway. • Provision of park and ride or park and walk opportunities. The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property. • Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including	
for pedestrians and bicycles to a variety of destinations, including the bus stop. • Creation of strong connections between CFA's, including good pedestrian links. Again, the location on SR 89A provides a strong connection to other CFAs on SR 89A, with pedestrian links along and off of the highway. • Provision of park and ride or park and walk opportunities. The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property. • Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Safe and convenient access for pedestrians and bicyclists.
Again, the location on SR 89A provides a strong connection to other CFAs on SR 89A, with pedestrian links along and off of the highway. • Provision of park and ride or park and walk opportunities. The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property. • Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	The location along SR 89A, which has bike lanes and sidewalks provides safe and convenient access for pedestrians and bicycles to a variety of destinations, including the bus stop.
 Provision of park and ride or park and walk opportunities. The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property. Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city. 	 Creation of strong connections between CFA's, including good pedestrian links.
The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property. • Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Again, the location on SR 89A provides a strong connection to other CFAs on SR 89A, with pedestrian links along and off of the highway.
than 700 feet from the subject property. • Identification of key open space needs. Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Provision of park and ride or park and walk opportunities.
Not applicable. • Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	The proposal is in close proximity to northbound and southbound transit stops. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property.
 Identification of aesthetic components. Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city. 	Identification of key open space needs.
Not applicable at this stage. The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Not applicable.
The Plan recommendations for the West Sedona Corridor also cite the need for diverse housing, including consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Identification of aesthetic components.
consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply throughout the city.	Not applicable at this stage.
	consideration for the allowance of residential densities greater than 12 units per acre with specific plan approval. Although a CFA plan has not yet been completed for this area, the fact that the Plan states that a higher density should be considered indicates support for doing so. The proposed apartment complex will add to the desired mix of land uses in this area, and fulfill a need for a housing type that is in short supply
Compliance: $oxtimes$ Yes $oxtimes$ Partial $oxtimes$ No $oxtimes$ Not Applicable	
	Compliance: ⊠ Yes □ Partial □ No □ Not Applicable

PZ17-00009 (Major CPA, Conceptual ZC, Conceptual DEV) Pinon/89A Multifamily Project

City of Sedona
Community Development Department
102 Roadrunner Drive Sedona, AZ 86336
(928) 282-1154 • Fax: (928) 204-7124

Planning & Zoning Commission Work Session Responses

On August 15, 2017, the Planning and Zoning Commission held a Work Session to discuss the proposed Major Community Plan Amendments the City is considering for 2017. The purpose of the work session was for the Planning and Zoning Commission to review the proposals and request additional information they felt would be needed to allow them to act on each proposal.

During the discussion for Pinon/89A Multifamily Project (PZ17-00009), the Commission requested clarification on a number of items. The following is provided in response to that request.

1. Traffic, Transit, Connectivity

- a. Ingress/Egress: The conceptual site plan shows the access to the site off of Pinon Drive, with the existing driveway on State Route 89A being closed. The Trip Generation Letter states that the site's Pinon Drive approach should be STOP-controlled.
- b. Proximity to Transit: The Verde Lynx has a northbound and southbound stop at the State Route 89A/Dry Creek intersection. The northbound stop (going to Sedona) is in front of the Arroyo Pinon Hotel. The southbound stop (going to Cottonwood) is in front of the Famous Pizza building. Both stops are less than 700 feet from the subject property.

c. Parking Clarification:

- i. In order to account for guest parking, the City's Land Development Code requires that the first 5 units in an apartment complex provide an extra 0.5 spaces. However, this standard is applied to the first 5 units of each unit type, based on number of bedrooms. Therefore, the first 5 one-bedroom units in the proposed development and the first 5 two-bedroom units would be required to provide an extra 0.5 spaces each. Based on these parking requirements, the applicant has calculated a total parking requirement of 82.5 spaces.
- ii. The conceptual site plan shows a total of 68 vehicle parking spaces and 24 bicycle parking spaces. Based on the applicant's response to the Community Development Department Comments (included in Attachment 4), the applicant is requesting a parking reduction for the following reasons:
 - (1) Based on the size of the 1 bedroom units, the applicant is anticipating a demand of 29 parking spaces for the 1 bedroom units.
 - (2) Based on the assumption that some residents of the 2 bedroom units will use the second room as a home office, the applicant is anticipating a demand of 30 spaces for the two bedroom units.
 - (3) The parking provided is in line with requirements of cities such as Phoenix, Tolleson, Chandler, Gilbert, and Glendale.
 - (4) The design and location of the project increase walkability and bike-ability to nearby businesses and employers.
 - (5) The conceptual site plan includes indoor and outdoor bike storage to further promote a bicycle friendly environment.

(6) The reduced parking allows a more environmentally friendly development with less asphalt surfaces and more open space.

A final determination regarding the requested parking reductions will be made during the next stage of review. However, this is a concept being presented by the applicant during the conceptual stage of review for feedback. If this concept is not acceptable, the site plan will need to be redesigned to incorporate more parking.

- d. Sidewalks and Pedestrian Crossing
 - i. The conceptual site plan shows internal pedestrian walkways and a connection to the existing sidewalk along State Route 89A.

2. Number of Households

a. A total of 45 units are proposed.

3. Details of Previously Proposed Development

- a. Previous development proposals for this site also included the two properties to the west of the site. These properties include a total of approximately 4.12 acres, making the subject property approximately 55% of the project site. These proposals include the following:
 - i. 98 Room Hotel Project (PZ14-00008)
 - (1) Submitted May 2014
 - (2) Withdrawn by the applicant during the conceptual stage of review
 - (3) As proposed, all 98 hotel rooms were on the subject parcel with the majority of the parking being located on the lots to the west, across Pinon Drive.
 - ii. Mixed Use Project (DEV 2007-6 & SUB 2007-7)
 - (1) Approved in September 2008
 - (2) 43,794 square feet of retail/commercial/office space, 25 residential condominiums, and underground parking.
 - (3) Project was never developed and approvals expired.
 - (4) This project also included a realignment of Pinon Drive, making it difficult to determine which elements of the project were included on the subject parcel.

4. Unit Availability and Affordability

- a. Potential Assurances
 - i. The applicant has suggested the following as potential assurances that the project will meet the proposed Community Plan language regarding provision of strategies for achieving housing diversity, affordability, and availability in order to address local housing needs:
 - (1) Prohibition on subdividing (condominium conversion)
 - (2) Minimum lease length of 90 days
 - (3) Prohibition on subletting
 - (4) Priority for employees working within the City for some of the units

These are provided as conceptual ideas at this stage of the process. A final review of these concepts will be conducted at the next stage, including the appropriate ways to ensure the representations proposed by the applicant are carried through.

b. Unit Sizes

- i. The application documents show the following unit types:
 - (1) 25 1-bedroom/1-bathroom units
 - (2) 16 2-bedroom/1-bathroom units
 - (3) 4 2-bedroom/2-bathroom units

c. Management of Complex

- i. The applicant stated during the Work Session that he is unsure whether the complex will have on-site management.
- d. Workforce Housing Definitions: Workforce housing is designed to meet the needs of employees in close proximity to the workplace. While workforce housing may include deed restricted affordable housing, that is not the only criteria used. More importantly, in Sedona, workforce housing needs to be available to those who work in the City and not a part of the short-term rental market.
- e. Ensuring Workforce and Senior Residents are Considered: While the applicant has discussed giving some priority for some of the units to employees working locally, this priority would not cover all of the units and units would be available to senior residents. These types of details will be worked out during the next stage of review.
- f. ADA Units: The applicant will include required ADA units on the first floors of the buildings. No elevators are being proposed at this time.

5. Fire District Review

a. The Sedona Fire District will review all plans and ensure that all of their requirements are being met.

6. Shield Views from 89A

a. The latest conceptual site plan submitted by the applicant moved the northernmost building further north and move the parking that was previously along State Route 89A to other areas of the site. In addition, the applicant will be required to install landscaping to further screen the site from State Route 89A.

City Of Sedona Community Development Department



102 Roadrunner Drive Sedona, AZ 86336 (928) 282-1154 • Fax: (928) 204-7124

The following are provided as general comments on the Letter of Intent.

The applicant's Letter of intent has noted the ways in which the Community Plan's goals, CFA Community Expectations and land use policies are being addressed through this proposal. The Letter of Intent also proposes several items that, in the applicant's opinion, comprise community benefits. Staff has completed a comprehensive evaluation of the proposal relative to the Plan's CFA expectations and applicable goals based on the degree of compliance with the Community Plan (see the accompanying checklist). The following comments are intended to provide clarification or correction regarding items in the Letter.

1. Page 1:

a. The applicant's market study lists 391 apartment units in Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek. Their inventory includes 149 apartment units in Sedona and 158 in the Village of Oak Creek. An additional 69 units are within mobile home parks and 15 units may have been counted twice. The City's current inventory of apartment units yields 241 units within the City.

2. Pages 2 and 3:

a. These pages discuss the compatibility of the proposal with the Land Use, Housing and Growth Goals of the Plan. While the applicant has addressed the ways in which they believe the project is meeting these goals, some goals are intended to be fairly broad in context. The attached checklist provides a comprehensive evaluation and discussion regarding the applicability of the goals to the project.

3. Page 3:

a. #6: It is <u>apartments</u> that account for 4% of the current housing. This <u>excludes</u> condos and townhomes. Apartments would actually account for much less than the 2% of the planned land uses as stated in the Letter. Apartments, condos and townhomes account for 14% of all housing in the City.

4. Pages 5-11:

a. These pages refer to each land use policy from the Community Plan and how, in the applicant's opinion, the project addresses these policies. The land use policies in the Community Plan are also often broad and applicable City-wide rather than project-specific.

5. Page 5:

- a. Policy #1: Approve new housing units only if within the City's current overall limit on the total number of homes that can be built under current zoning.
 - i. This policy is intended to address the buildout of the City within its overall capacity for growth when all of the residentially-zoned land is occupied. Since the City's residential lands are currently less than 75% built out and the residential land base has been historically under-utilized, this is not an issue for this project. The applicant notes that the Community Plan designation is "Commercial/Lodging". The designation is actually "Commercial", but is within the Lodging Area Limits in the Plan.

- b. Policy #2: Limit expansion of the existing commercial areas, as represented on the Future Land Use Map, unless supported by an approved plan within a Community Focus Area or Planned Area.
 - i. This project reduces the commercial area by re-designating an existing commercial site to Multi-family.
- c. Policy #3: Ensure that a balance of land uses is maintained and identify general areas for concentrated, mixed use development, public gathering places, and land use transitions to provide healthy and sustainable residential neighborhoods and commercial areas and to address specific area needs.
 - i. Although this policy is intended for general planning and CFA-level planning, this proposal does contribute to the mixed use environment in the immediate area by adding high density rental apartments that don't currently exist in the area. The project also is transitional between lower density uses to the south and commercial and lodging uses to the north and north east of the project.
- d. Policy #4: Ensure that the proportion of lodging uses to other commercial uses does not significantly increase by limiting locations for lodging uses and by evaluating the proportional increase in all lodging rezoning applications.
 - i. The Community Plan currently restricts lodging locations by establishing "Lodging Area Limits". While the subject property is located within an area that the Plan supports for lodging uses, this proposal would remove the site from this area, reducing the potential acreage for lodging uses.

6. Page 6:

- a. Policy #5: Preserve scenic views, including potential utility undergrounding and the approval of multi-story structures.
 - i. The revised conceptual site plan provides 3 separate buildings, with parking located behind and between buildings, with the closest building mass perpendicular to the street. This serves to hide the parking from the street view and limits the view of the buildings profile from the street. The City's development review process has been put in place with standards to ensure that components such as height, massing and design standards are in harmony with the natural environment. The rezoning and development review process will evaluate the project in accordance with those standards.
- b. Policy #6 refers to land uses being compatible with sand and gravel resources. As noted by the applicant, this is not applicable to the project.
- c. Policy #7 refers to the City's creation of parking standards not to compliance with existing standards. This is not applicable to the project.
- d. Policy #8 refers to the City's creation of design and sign standards. This is not applicable to this project as noted.
- e. Policy #9 refers to neighborhood-scale planning for public gathering spaces and residential services and, as stated, is not applicable to this project.

7. Page 6 and 7:

a. Policy #10 refers to consideration of densities greater than 12 units per acre in City-initiated CFA planning projects in the West Sedona Corridor. Although this application proposes a density

greater than 12 units per acre, this is a brought forward for consideration in an applicant-initiated major amendment request, so this policy is not directly applicable to this project.

8. Page 7:

- a. Policy #11 refers to maintaining the integrity of older neighborhoods, not to new development. It is therefore not applicable.
- b. Policy #12 refers to retention of low to moderate housing in the re-development of mobile home parks and is not applicable to this project.
- c. Policy #13: Encourage housing for seniors by identifying locations for assisted living, age in place, and other housing options in close proximity to health services and by allowing a wide range of housing choices.
 - i. This policy is intended for general larger-scale planning, however, this project does contribute to housing diversity in fairly close proximity to health services.
- d. Policy #14: Consider new and emerging trends for non-traditional housing developments, such as co-housing, garden apartments and other alternate housing types.
 - i. The applicant is proposing two-story walk up garden apartments.
- e. Policy #15 refers to clustering of residential units on a site to preserve environmentally-sensitive areas. Although the applicant has pointed out that their conceptual site plan is preserving native trees in the southwestern portion of the site, this policy is really intended for larger scale projects that could use building envelopes rather than traditional lot layouts.

9. Page 8:

a. Policy #16 refers to regional coordination and is not applicable to this proposal.

10. Pages 8-11:

a. The applicant has also addressed the other Community Plan goals. Many of these goals are not necessarily applicable to individual projects. The accompanying checklist discusses the applicability of these goals to the project.

11. Page 13:

a. This page outlines several points regarding the link between the business community, economic vitality and workforce housing. Although the applicant cites the most recent apartment project constructed about 10 years ago, there have been additional units constructed more recently in the same complex. However, these units were approved in 1998. The last apartment project approved with more than 5 units (12 units) was approved in 2000. These units have been converted to condominiums. Another apartment project with more than 5 units was approved more recently, but the zoning had expired and the project was never constructed.

12. Pages 14 and 15:

a. The applicant has noted that this project will increase the supply of apartment units by nearly 12% based on 391 existing units identified in their own housing study. Staff believes that the increase in supply within the City will be substantially higher since many of the 391 units in the applicant's inventory are in the Village of Oak Creek and a couple of the projects on the list are mobile home sites. Based on the City's current inventory of apartment units, the introduction of 45 units from this project would increase the supply of apartment units within the City by almost 19% based on 241 units rather than 391.