## Sedona Lofts

Sedona, Arizona

# **Traffic Impact Analysis**

Lee Engineering Project No. 1165.03

April 2021

Prepared for:

MK Company, Inc. 15010 N. 78<sup>th</sup> Way, Suite 109 Scottsdale, AZ 85260

Prepared by:

Lee Engineering, LLC 3610 N. 44<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85018 (602) 955-7206

LEE ENGINEERING

## **Traffic Impact Study**

## Sedona Lofts

#### **Prepared for:**

## MK Company, Inc.

15010 N. 78<sup>th</sup> Way, Suite 109 Scottsdale, Arizona, 85260

Prepared by:

#### Lee Engineering

3610 N. 44th Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, Arizona, 85018

April 2021



1165.03

## CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Executive Summary	I
2.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	3
2.1	Site Location	3
2.2	Site Characteristics	3
3.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS	6
3.1	Surrounding Land Use	6
3.2	Land Use and Zoning	6
3.3	Roadway Characteristics	6
3.4	Intersection Control	7
3.5	Traffic Volumes	7
3.6	Collected Intersection Volumes	7
4.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT 1	1
4.1	Proposed Land Use 1	1
4.2	Trip Generation1	. 1
4.3	Site Access 1	. 1
4.4	Trip Distribution and Assignment1	2
5.0	PROJECTED TRAFFIC 1	2
5.1	2022 Background Traffic Estimates (without site traffic) 1	2
5.2	2022 Total Traffic Conditions at Site Opening (with site traffic) 1	2
6.0	ANALYSIS OF CONDITIONS 1	6
6.1	Intersection Capacity Analysis1	6
6.2	Capacity Analysis Summary 1	7
6.3	Traffic Signal Needs Analysis1	8
6.4	Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon	20
6.5	Turn Lane Requirements 2	21
6.6	Intersection Sight Distance	21
6.7	Driveway Design / Parking Characteristics 2	23
7.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	24

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Historical Traffic Volumes (SR 89A)	. 7
Table 2.	ITE Trip Generation Estimate	11
Table 3.	Level of Service Criteria for Signalized/Unsignalized Intersections	16
Table 4.	Intersection Level of Service Summary	17
Table 5.	Signal Warrant Analysis Results Summary – SR 89A and Southwest Drive	20

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Vicinity Map	4
Figure 2: Conceptual Site Layout Plan	5
Figure 3: 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (Peak Season) and Conditions Diagram	9
Figure 3A: 2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (Average Volume) and Conditions Diagram 1	10
Figure 4: Site Traffic Distribution and Assignment 1	13
Figure 5: 2022 Background Traffic, Peak Season and Average Conditions 1	14
Figure 6: 2022 Total Traffic, Peak Season and Average Conditions 1	15

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

#### 1.1 Introduction

Lee Engineering has prepared this report to present the results of our traffic analysis for the proposed Sedona Lofts residential development located northeast of the State Route 89A and Southwest Drive intersection at 10 Navajo Drive in Sedona, Arizona. The objective of this analysis is to prepare a traffic impact study as outlined in the City of Sedona's Land Development Code (LDC) to evaluate adjacent major intersections, site access conditions, and on-site vehicle and pedestrian circulation characteristics to ensure safe and efficient movement of all travel modes.

This report follows the report format outlined in the Arizona Department of Transportation *Polices, Guidelines, and Procedures*, Section 240.

## 1.2 Executive Summary

#### 1.2.1 Site Location and Study Area

A residential development is proposed for an undeveloped 4.5-acre parcel (APN 480-24-536B) located northeast of the State Route 89A (SR 89A) and Southwest Drive intersection in Sedona, Arizona, at the address of 10 Navajo Drive.

The study area includes of following intersections:

- SR 89A and Southwest Drive
- Southwest Drive and Navajo Drive
- Site Driveways

## 1.2.2 Development Description

The Sedona Lofts residential development is to consist of 30 new duplex buildings to accommodate a total of 60 multi-family dwelling units, or 13.3 units per acre (60 units / 4.5 ac.). Access to the site is via two driveways, one onto Southwest Drive and one onto Aria Street. The proposed land use is best defined by the Institute of Transportation Engineers as Land Use Code #220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise).

## 1.2.3 Principal Findings

At opening year/build-out in 2022, the site is anticipated to generate 30 AM peak-hour trip ends (7 in, 23 out), 38 PM peak-hour trip end (24 in, 14 out), and a total of 413 new daily trip ends.

Intersection capacity analysis indicates the minor-street STOP controlled intersection at SR 89A and Southwest Drive will operate at acceptable service levels (LOS D or better) during average volume conditions in the AM and PM peak hours with the proposed Sedona Lofts traffic. During peakseason conditions, the southbound Southwest Drive approach and the business driveways on the south side of SR 89A are anticipated to operate at LOS F conditions although with volume to capacity ratios below 0.80 indicating acceptable operations.

If delays at SR 89A/Southwest Drive become predictable and are perceived to be long, drivers can use the local street connectivity to access SR 89A at the traffic signals located at Andante Drive or Dry Creek Road.

Analysis indicates a traffic signal is not warranted at SR 89A and Southwest Drive.

Analysis indicates a pedestrian hybrid beacon is not warranted near Southwest Drive due to no pedestrian crossings at this location over a 24-hour period. However, the City's TMP shows a preference for a pedestrian crossing at or near this location due to demand that may not have been captured in this study. If a PHB is to be installed, the proposed development should not be responsible for its cost, design, or installation since demand for the crossing has been established without the proposed development and the subject site is expected to contribute a negligible pedestrian crossing volume at this location.

The site's driveway location at the Southwest/Navajo Drives intersection is offset to the north by approximately 30 feet; however, low-speed low-volume conditions and positive offset that prevents interlocking left-turn movements on the major street is beneficial and deemed to be situated in an acceptable location.

When drivers are exiting the site and looking to the south from the proposed site driveway at the intersection of Southwest Drive/Navajo Drive, the AASHTO required 240 feet of roadway visibility can't be confirmed due to the uncertainty of analysis (scale of site plan, placement of image, other). The City and developer should validate 240 feet or more roadway visibility can be provided to drivers before the driveway is operational.

#### 1.2.4 Conclusions and Recommendations

It is recommended that minor-street STOP control be maintained at the intersection of SR 89A and Southwest Drive. At times of perceived, elevated delays, drivers can use the local street connectivity to access the signals at Andante Drive or Dry Creek Road, if desired.

If a pedestrian hybrid beacon is to be installed on SR 89A near Southwest Drive, the proposed development should not be responsible for its cost, design, or installation since demand for the crossing has been established without the proposed development and the subject site is expected to contribute a negligible pedestrian crossing volume at this location.

The City or developer should validate that adequate sight visibility is available at the proposed site driveway location onto Southwest Drive when looking to the south. If adequate visibility is not or can't be provided, mitigation to the design, location, or restriction of vehicle movements should be considered prior to site opening.

## 2.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## 2.1 Site Location

A residential development is proposed for an undeveloped 4.5-acre parcel (APN 480-24-536B) located northeast of the State Route 89A (SR 89A) and Southwest Drive intersection in Sedona, Arizona, at the address of 10 Navajo Drive.

The subject property has approximately 775 feet of frontage on the east side of Southwest and Navajo Drives beginning approximately 192 feet north of the SR 89A right-of-way line. The property's frontage extends north to Aria Street then east along Aria Street for approximately 230 feet to Symphony Way. The property line then turns south 682 feet then west 418 feet to its point of beginning. A vicinity map is provided in **Figure 1**.

## 2.2 Site Characteristics

A conceptual site layout plan for Sedona Lofts is provided in **Figure 2**. The site has the following characteristics:

- 30 new duplex buildings to accommodate a total of 60 multi-family dwelling units, equal to 13.3 units per acre (60 units / 4.5 ac.).
- All units have 1 car garages with 54 units having a space fronting their garage for tandem parking for 1 additional vehicle.
- Additional parking spaces include 5 ADA spaces and 6 regular parking spaces with a depth of 15'-6" excluding 2-foot landscape overhang.
- Access is provided from two proposed driveways, one at the intersection of Southwest Drive and Navajo Drive and the other onto Aria Street.
- Internal drive aisles are 26 feet in width.
- Sidewalk/public trail is provided around the perimeter of the site.
- Internal ADA path, sidewalks, bicycle rack, and other amenities are provided on-site.
- Anticipated site opening year is 2022, planned for development in a single construction phase.

Based on the information provided, the land use of the subject property is best defined by the Institute of Transportation Engineers is Land Use Code #220 Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise).



**Site Location** 

## Enlargement







Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study





Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study

Figure 2

## 3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### 3.1 Surrounding Land Use

The land uses neighboring the proposed Sedona Lofts development appear limited to mostly low trip-generating uses, including:

- East The existing Harmony Hills residential subdivision.
- South Undeveloped parcel.
- West Southwest and Navajo Drives, small retail and commercial properties, and the Sedona-Red Rock Fire District (Station #1).
- North Aria Street, a dental office, an undeveloped parcel, and residential properties.

#### 3.2 Land Use and Zoning

The site is currently undeveloped and in its natural state. Per the Yavapai County Assessor's webpage, the parcel is zoned as CO (commercial).

#### **3.3** Roadway Characteristics

*State Route 89A.* SR 89A is a 4-lane divided north-south roadway with a continuous center two-way left turn lane oriented on an east-west alignment near the subject site under ADOT jurisdiction but maintained by the city. The roadway is considered a principal arterial and is a major travel route connecting Sedona and Flagstaff in the east to Cottonwood and other places west and south. In the immediate area adjacent to the site, the roadway is scaled to have 11-foot travel lanes, bike lanes in each direction, and per Google Earth Street View imagery, has a relatively straight alignment with little vertical deflection near the Southwest Drive intersection. Detached sidewalks and decorative roadway lighting poles are located on each side of the street. The posted speed limit is 35 mph. Driveway curb cuts exist on both sides of the street serving local business while also accommodating numerous local street intersections. Traffic signals exist approximately 1,800 feet to the west and 1,600 feet to the east of Southwest Drive at Arroyo Pinon Drive and Andante Drive, respectively.

*Southwest Drive*. This is a local 2-lane roadway originating at SR 89A and continuing north for approximately 400 feet before turning to the west at its intersection with Navajo Drive. The roadway has a rural cross-section design with single 12-foot travel lanes separated by a double yellow centerline. Driveways to local businesses exist on the west side of the street. No sidewalks, bike lanes, or roadway lighting exist. The road has a posted speed limit of 25 mph.

*Navajo Drive*. An 800-foot, 2-lane local north/south roadway originating at the Southwest Drive bend, terminating north of Aria Street at the Shadowbrook Apartments entrance. The roadway alignment shifts horizontally via an "S-curve" at its intersection with Southwest Drive. The roadway is marked with a solid double yellow centerline and white roadway edge lines to guide drivers around the curve section and separate the roadway from a local business parking area. No sidewalks, bike lanes, or roadway lighting exists. The road, as a continuation of Southwest Drive, has an assumed 25 mph speed limit.

*Aria Street.* A local 2-lane east-west residential street connecting to other residential roadways within the Harmony subdivision providing access to the SR89A/Andante Drive traffic signal.

#### **3.4** Intersection Control

Currently, all study intersections have minor-street stop control.

## 3.5 Traffic Volumes

#### <u>Historical Data</u>

A review of historical traffic volumes on SR 89A from the ADOT Traffic Monitoring website, as shown in **Table 1**, indicates the following average annual daily traffic (AADT) volumes on SR 89A since 2018:

Location	Direction	2020	2019	2018
SR 89A East of Dry Creek Road	NB (EB)	10615		11355
	SB (WB)	9482		11476
	Total 2-way	20097	21654	22831

## Table 1. Historical Traffic Volumes (SR 89A)

Additional information provided by ADOT for the 2019 AADT data indicates the SR 89A roadway segment between Dry Creek Road and Andante Drive has a K-factor (peak-hour factor) of 8%, a D-factor (directional distribution factor) of 56%, a T-factor (truck factor) of 9.9%, and a 2040 future daily traffic projection of 35,581 vehicles for a calculated growth rate of 2.4% per year. This rate is similar to the growth rate identified in the *Sedona Transportation Master Plan* (January 2018).

From review of the Sedona website, no side-street volumes on the local study-area residential streets are available.

## 3.6 Collected Intersection Volumes

Intersection turning movement counts were collected by Field Data Services (FDS) at the intersection of SR 89A and Southwest Drive on Wednesday, March 31, 2021, for a 24-hour period via traffic monitoring camera. As part of the traffic count, pedestrian and bicycle movements crossing each roadway approach were also recorded. A copy of the raw traffic count data is provided in the appendix. The results of the count indicate peak-hour traffic conditions occurred during typical commuter peak periods beginning at 8:00 AM in the morning and 4:00 PM in the evening. No vehicle movements were identified to or from the two business driveways located in close proximity to the Southwest Drive intersection on the south side of SR 89A. However, it is likely some vehicle in/out movements occur at these driveways and have some impact to the turn movement operation from Southwest Drive. Therefore, for traffic operational analysis purposes, nominal peak-hour turn volumes have been assumed from a combined driveway location on the south side of the street.

Counts were not conducted at the Southwest Drive and Navajo Drive intersection. However, to estimate traffic movements at this future site driveway location, vehicles were "flowed" from the SR 89A/Southwest Drive intersection and a 50/50 split between Southwest Drive and Navajo Drive assumed for all analysis periods.

From discussion with the City Engineering Department, it was noted traffic volumes within the Sedona area are near seasonal peaks during the Spring months. From the 24-hour traffic volumes collected on SR 89A west of Southwest Drive, a daily volume of 32,000 vehicles was identified. This calculates to a 59.2% increase in traffic compared to ADOT's 2020 AADT estimates (utilizing 2018 adjusted volumes), a concurrence of peak-season conditions.

For analysis purposes, two volume scenarios will be considered:

- 1. Peak Conditions. Volumes as collected by FDS without adjustment.
- 2. Average Conditions. Volumes as collected by FDS with SR 89A through volumes reduced by a factor of 0.64 to estimate 2021 AADT values (2020 AADT \* 2.4% yearly growth).

The 2021 peak season vehicle counts, as collected by FDS, have been summarized and displayed in **Figure 3.** The 2021 average conditions, as estimated by reducing SR 89A through volumes by a factor of 0.64, are displayed in **Figure 3A**.





(\*) Volumes flowed from Int. 1 and turn movements estimated.

#### NOTES:

1. Volumes shown are from field collected information without adjustment.



XX/XXAM/PM Peak-Hour VolumeXX,XXX24-Hour Vehicle VolumeImage: Image: Ima

Intersection Identifier

(\*) Movements to/from driveways on

south side of SR 89A assumed

**LEGEND** 

(#)

Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study



2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (Peak Season) and Conditions Diagram Figure 3





(\*) Volumes flowed from Int. 1 and turn movements estimated.

## **LEGEND**

(\*) Movements to/from driveways on

south side of SR 89A assumed



## NOTES:

1. SR 89A volumes have been reduced by 0.64 to estimate average volumes conditions.

Not to scale



2021 Existing Traffic Volumes (Average Volume) and Conditions Diagram

Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study

Figure 3a

## 4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

#### 4.1 Proposed Land Use

The proposed development is to construct 30 residential duplex buildings that will accommodate 60 new dwelling units. Per the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) land use codes, the land use that best describes the proposed development is LUC #220, Multifamily Housing (low-rise).

#### 4.2 Trip Generation

Information from the ITE *Trip Generation Manual* (10<sup>th</sup> Edition) was used to estimate the number of vehicle trip ends the subject site is to generate based on the information available within the publication and the development characteristics indicated on the site plan. **Table 2** shows the trip generation estimate for Sedona Lofts based on 60 multi-family residential dwelling units. All trips are assumed to be new passenger vehicle trips to be added to the adjacent roadway network.

Trip reductions due to transit, walk, bike, alternative travel modes or pass-by traffic were not believed to be significant and therefore, for a conservative analysis, were not considered.

Sedona Lofts						All Units			
Land Use: (220) Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)						# of Trips Equation			
# of Units	Da	ily	AM Peak	Roadway	PM Peak	Roadway	Daily	413.0	T = 7.56(X) - 40.86
60	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	Enter	Exit	AM Pk	30.0	Ln(T) = 0.95 Ln(X) - 0.51
Dir. Dist.	50%	50%	23%	77%	63%	37%	PM Pk	38.0	Ln(T) = 0.89 Ln(X) - 0.02
Tring	207	207	7	23	24	14			
inps	43	13	3	0	(1)	38	Source:	TE Trip Gen	eration, 10th Edition

 Table 2. ITE Trip Generation Estimate

The results shown in Table 2 indicate the site is anticipated to generate a total of 413 daily trip ends with 30 trip ends (7 entering, 23 exiting) in the AM peak-hour and 38 trip ends (24 entering, 14 exiting) during the PM peak-hour.

#### 4.3 Site Access

Access to the site is to be gained through two proposed paved driveways. Driveway 1 is to be constructed as the east leg to the Southwest/Navajo Drive intersection, its centerline aligned slightly to the north of the west leg while Driveway 2 is proposed onto Aria Street, midway between Navajo Drive and Symphony Way. Both driveways are scaled to be 26 feet wide without turn restrictions.

From the site's main ingress/egress point (Driveway 1), the majority of residents are anticipated to turn to/from the south and SR 89A. Travel on Southwest Drive to the west provides other options to/from SR 89A via Dry Creek Road, Roadrunner Drive, or Tortilla Drive. Other business and institutional access is possible as well as to minimize travel on SR 89A, although the overall

percentage of site-related vehicles using the side-street connectivity is anticipated to be low. The site's Aria Street driveway is likely to be used by residents living closer to the property's north end destined to or originating from SR 89A east. When considering travel distance and left-turn delay onto SR 89A, use of the local roadway network via Harmony Drive and Melody Lane, to reach the traffic signal at Andante Drive is a viable option opposed to using Southwest Drive.

## 4.4 Trip Distribution and Assignment

Based on the traffic volumes shown Figure 3 and local roadway options that are available, the trip distribution of the site-generated traffic has been estimated and shown in the top half of **Figure 4**. For simplicity, the percentages are assumed to be similar for all time periods as well as entering and exiting traffic.

Applying the site's trip generation estimates shown in Table 2 to the distribution percentages shown in the top half of Figure 4, the peak-hour trip assignment of the development at full build-out can be calculated. The bottom half of Figure 4 shows the assignment of vehicle trip ends onto the adjacent street network.

## 5.0 **PROJECTED TRAFFIC**

## 5.1 2022 Background Traffic Estimates (without site traffic)

To estimate traffic conditions prior to the opening of the subject site, traffic volumes presented in Figures 3 and 3A have been increased by 2.4% to represent the ambient traffic growth of the community. Traffic volumes for the 2022 Peak and Average season conditions are presented in **Figure 5**. Because only the through traffic volume on SR 89A change between conditions, the peak season through volumes on SR 89A are shown in red while the average SR 89A conditions and all other turn movement volumes applicable to both seasons are shown in black.

## 5.2 2022 Total Traffic Conditions at Site Opening (with site traffic)

To estimate total traffic volume conditions for the 2022 opening year (full build-out) of the site, the site-generated traffic volumes shown in the bottom half of Figure 4 have been added to the background traffic volumes shown in Figure 5. The resulting 2022 total traffic volumes for the average and peak-season volume conditions are presented in **Figure 6**.









#### **LEGEND**





Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study



Site Traffic Distribution and Assignment

Figure 4





 Volumes shown are a 1.023 increase (rounded) above the volumes shown in Figures 3 and 3A.





Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study



2022 Background Traffic Peak Season and Average Conditions



#### NOTES:

- Volumes shown are a summation of the volumes shown in Figures 4 and 5.
- 2. Volumes are not shown for the north site driveway due to low volume conditions.

#### **LEGEND**





Sedona Lofts, Traffic Impact Study



2022 Total Traffic Peak Season and Average Conditions

## 6.0 ANALYSIS OF CONDITIONS

#### 6.1 Intersection Capacity Analysis

The study area intersections were analyzed based on the methodologies presented in the Highway Capacity Manual 6<sup>th</sup> Edition (HCM6, 2017) and evaluated using the Synchro software package (version 10). To provide an indication of intersection performance, signalized and unsignalized intersections are typically reported in terms of Levels of Service (LOS). The analysis of signalized intersections is based on the approach control delay, which includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay for all movements. Unsignalized STOP-controlled intersection analysis is based on the minor street approach or critical movement, whichever is applicable. The capacity criteria for signalized and unsignalized intersection analysis are presented in **Table 3**.

Level of Service	Average Control Dela	ay (seconds/vehicle)
LOS	Signalized	Unsignalized
А	≤10.0	≤10.0
В	>10.0 and $\leq 20.0$	$>10.0$ and $\le15.0$
С	>20.0 and ≤35.0	>15.0 and $\leq$ 25.0
D	>35.0 and ≤55.0	$>25.0$ and $\le 35.0$
Е	>55.0 and ≤80.0	$>35.0 \text{ and } \le 50.0$
F	>80.0	>50.0

 Table 3. Level of Service Criteria for Signalized/Unsignalized Intersections

Source: *Highway Capacity Manual, HCM 6<sup>th</sup> Edition*, Transportation Research Board, 2017.

Additional performance measures such as volume to capacity (v/c) ratios and queue lengths also provide an indication of operation. The HCM6 offers the following in Chapter 19:

"For a typical major street with two lanes in each direction and an average traffic volume in the range of 15,000 to 20,000 vehicles/day (roughly equivalent to a peak hour flow rate of 1,500 to 2,000 vehicles/hour), the delay equation will predict greater than 50s of delay (LOS F) for many urban two-way-stop-controlled (TWSC) intersections that allow minor-street left-turn movements. LOS F will be predicted regardless of the volume of minor-street left-turning traffic. Even with a LOS F estimate, most low-volume minor-street approaches would not meet any of the volume or delay warrants for signalization noted in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. As a result, analysts who use the HCM LOS thresholds as the sole measure to determine the design accuracy of TWSC intersections, it is important to consider measures of effectiveness such as volume-to-capacity ratios for individual movements, average queue lengths, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentile queue lengths in addition to considering delay. By focusing on a single measure of effectiveness for the worst movement only, such as delay for the minor-street left-turn, users may make less effective traffic control decisions."

For the purposes of this study, TWSC movements operating at LOS E or F with v/c ratios under 0.80 will be considered as operating at an acceptable level when the side street traffic volumes do not warrant a traffic signal.

#### 6.2 Capacity Analysis Summary

Intersection analysis of the SR 89A/Southwest Drive and the Southwest/Navajo/Site Driveway 1 intersections was conducted for the AM and PM peak-hour time periods. Analysis of the Aria Street/Site Driveway 2 was not conducted noting low volume conditions and anticipated very good operational conditions (LOS A) for all periods and conditions. The volumes corresponding to 2021 Existing, 2022 Background (no site traffic), and 2022 Total (with site traffic) conditions were input into the Synchro software program (version 10) to estimate the operational conditions of the study intersections with and without site traffic to identify the impacts associated with the proposed site. Using typical ADOT peak-hour factors based on vehicles per lane and a 10% truck percentage on SR 89A, the capacity analysis results for both average and peak season volume conditions are presented in **Table 4**. The capacity output sheets are provided in the appendix.

			Aver	age Volu	me Coi	ndition					Peak Se	eason Vo	lume C	ondition	1	
		AM	Peak			PM	Peak			AM	Peak			PM	Peak	
Intersection/Movement	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue	LOS	Delay	V/C	Queue
						2021	Existi	ng								
Int 1. SR 89A/Southwest D	or (MSS,	)														
EB Left	Α	9.1		<50	В	10.6		<50	В	10.6		<50	В	14.3		<50
WB Left	В	10.1		<50	А	9.5		<50	В	13.1		<50	В	11.7		<50
NB Left/Thru/Right	D	25.9		<50	D	28.3		<50	F	81.7	0.21	<50	F	102.0	0.26	<50
SB Left/Thru/Right	С	16.1		<50	С	23.4		<50	D	25.2		<50	F	59.4	0.49	55
Int 2. Southwest/Navajo	Drives (	'MSS)														
EB Left/Right	Α	9.0		<50	Α	9.3		<50	Α	9.0		<50	Α	9.3		<50
WB Approach		Not Ap	plicabl	e		Not Ap	plicabl	e		Not Ap	plicable	5		Not Ap	olicable	2
NB Left	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50
						2022 B	ackgro	und								
Int 1. SR 89A/Southwest D	r (MSS,	)														
EB Left	Α	9.1		<50	В	10.7		<50	В	10.7		<50	В	14.7		<50
WB Left	В	10.2		<50	Α	9.6		<50	В	13.4		<50	В	11.9		<50
NB Left/Thru/Right	D	26.7		<50	D	29.6		<50	F	90.7	0.23	<50	F	116.5	0.28	<50
SB Left/Thru/Right	С	16.4		<50	С	24.5		<50	D	26.2		<50	F	65.5	0.52	60
Int 2. Southwest/Navajo	Drives (	'MSS)														
EB Left/Right	Α	9.0		<50	Α	9.4		<50	Α	9.0		<50	Α	9.4		<50
WB Approach		Not Ap	plicabl	e		Not Ap	plicabl	e		Not Ap	plicable	5		Not Ap	olicable	<u>ě</u>
NB Left	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50
						202	22 Tota									
Int 1. SR 89A/Southwest D	or (MSS,	)														
EB Left	Α	9.2		<50	В	10.9		<50	В	10.8		<50	С	15.0		<50
WB Left	В	10.2		<50	Α	9.6		<50	В	13.4		<50	В	11.9		<50
NB Left/Thru/Right	D	27.3		<50	D	30.6		<50	F	90.7	0.23	<50	F	123.6	0.30	<50
SB Left/Thru/Right	С	17.8		<50	D	27.1		<50	D	30.9		<50	F	87.7	0.68	88
Int 2. Southwest/Navajo	Drives a	and Site L	Drivew	ay 1 (MSS	5)											
EB Left/Thru/Right	Α	9.1		<50	Α	9.4		<50	Α	9.1		<50	Α	9.4		<50
WB Left/Thru/Right	Α	9.6		<50	В	10.0		<50	Α	9.6		<50	В	10.1		<50
NB Left	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50	Α	7.3		<50	Α	7.4		<50
SB Left	Α	0.0		<50	Α	0.0		<50	Α	0.0		<50	Α	0.0		<50

Table 4.	Intersection	Level of	Service	Summary
----------	--------------	----------	---------	---------

Notes:

1. MMS = Minor Street Stop Control

The results of Table 4 indicate the following:

## 2022 Total Traffic, Average Conditions

• All movements at both study area intersections are estimated to operate at acceptable service levels, LOS D or better conditions, in all analysis scenarios.

## 2022 Total Traffic, Peak Season Conditions

- During both AM and PM peak hours, all movements at the Southwest Drive/Navajo Drive/Site Driveway location are anticipated to operate at LOS A/B.
- During the AM peak-hour at the SR 89A/Southwest Drive intersection, the northbound business driveways are anticipated to operate at LOS F while the southbound Southwest Drive approach is anticipated to operate at LOS D. These conditions are the same as the 2021 Existing operational conditions during peak visitor season. Although operating at LOS F, the northbound volume to capacity (v/c) ratio is well below 0.80 (at 0.23) indicating longer than desirable delays, but sufficient gaps in the traffic stream are available for turn movements to be conducted, permitting the movements to operate well below capacity. During the PM peak-hour, both stop-controlled northbound and southbound approaches indicate LOS F conditions for all volume scenarios (Existing, Background, and Total). Although delays are higher during the PM peak-hour than the AM peak, the v/c ratios are still below 0.80 (highest is 0.68) and considered acceptable.

Overall, it is likely motorists exiting the business driveways and those who are turning left from Southwest Drive are repeat drivers familiar with Sedona roadway conditions. Drivers are likely to find alternative routes to minimize their travel delay if conditions are repeatable. Similarly, Sedona Lofts residents who anticipate long delays turning east onto SR 89A will likely utilize the local street connectivity to travel to Andante Drive to make a left turn at the existing signalized intersection. No traffic control modifications are recommended due to the small increase in site traffic volumes at the SR 89A/Southwest Drive intersection.

#### 6.3 Traffic Signal Needs Analysis

The City has requested analysis to determine if a traffic signal is warranted at the SR 89A and Southwest Drive intersection. A signal warrant analysis reviewing the *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices* (MUTCD) volume warrants has been conducted below. However, the City should consider the following information before changing the existing traffic control at this location:

- The City's *Transportation Master Plan* (TMP, 2018) does not propose a traffic signal at this location, but it supports a potential pedestrian crossing strategy such as a pedestrian hybrid beacon (PHB) or an unsignalized two-stage crosswalk. The pedestrian crossing is shown to include a potential raised median within SR 89A, which would prohibit left-turn movements to and from Southwest Drive.
- A new traffic signal will increase stops and delays along SR 89A due to other existing traffic signal in close proximity. Two-way vehicle progression may be difficult to provide.
- Other travel route options are available to local residents permitting access to the adjacent traffic signals at Dry Creek Road (west) and at Andante Drive (east), if so desired, without a significant increase in travel duration or distance.

A traffic signal assessment for the intersection of SR 89A and Southwest Drive was evaluated for the 2022 Total traffic condition with site traffic based on criteria from the MUTCD. Only Warrant 1A and 1B (Eight-Hour Volume) and Warrant 2 (Four-Hour Volume) were evaluated. Warrant 3, Peak Hour, was not evaluated since the warrant is intended to be applied only in unusual cases. Volumes associated with Warrants 1 and 2 must meet the values associated with the 100% column since the posted speed limit on SR 89A does not exceed 40 mph and the population of Sedona based on US Census Bureau



Number of lanes for moving traffic on each approach (total of both approaches)         Vehicles per hour on major street (total of both approaches)					Vehicle minor-stre	es per hour eet approac	on higher- h (one dire	volume ction only)	
Major Street	Minor Street	100%ª	80% <sup>b</sup>	<b>70%</b> °	56% <sup>d</sup>	100% <sup>a</sup>	80% <sup>b</sup>	<b>70%</b> °	56% <sup>d</sup>
1	1	500	400	350	280	150	120	105	84
2 or more	1	600	480	420	336	150	120	105	84
2 or more	2 or more	600	480	420	336	200	160	140	112
1	2 or more	500	400	350	280	200	160	140	112

Condition	B—Interruption of Continuous Traffic	

Number of lar traffic on ea	Vehicle (tot	s per hou al of both	r on majo approach	r street ies)	Vehicles per hour on higher-volume minor-street approach (one direction only)				
Major Street	Minor Street	100%ª	80% <sup>b</sup>	<b>70</b> %°	56% <sup>d</sup>	100% <sup>a</sup>	80% <sup>b</sup>	<b>70</b> %°	56% <sup>d</sup>
1	1	750	600	525	420	75	60	53	42
2 or more	1	900	720	630	504	75	60	53	42
2 or more	2 or more	900	720	630	504	100	80	70	56
1	2 or more	750	600	525	420	100	80	70	56

Basic minimum hourly volume

<sup>b</sup> Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures

<sup>c</sup> May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000

<sup>d</sup> May be used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10.000



The table at right summarizes the hourly volume results estimated for the SR 89A mainline (summation of both approach volumes) and the higher southbound Southwest Drive approach for the 2022 Total traffic conditions. From the side street volume rank, the 7:00AM period is the 8<sup>th</sup> highest hour while the 6:00PM period is the 4<sup>th</sup> highest hourly condition. When the volume table at right is compared to the volume criteria for Warrants 1A, 1B and 2, the results are summarized in **Table 5**.

population estimates for 2019 exceeds 10,000 people. The peak hour volumes used as the basis for this analysis were obtained from the 12-hour count and adjusted to 2022 conditions as previously discussed. Site traffic was added based on the ITE hourly breakdown of vehicles exiting the site as well as the distribution of site trips displayed in Figure 4.

	Mainline	Southwest Dr.	
Hour	Volume	Approach Volume	Rank
6:00 AM	856	15	13
7:00 AM	1787	50	6
8:00 AM	2358	49	9
9:00 AM	2343	50	6
10:00 AM	2372	48	10
11:00 AM	2537	51	5
12:00 PM	2566	48	10
1:00 PM	2629	43	12
2:00 PM	2683	50	6
3:00 PM	2676	57	2
4:00 PM	2651	68	1
5:00 PM	2244	54	3
6:00 PM	1798	53	4

Table 5.	Signal	Warrant Analysis	<b>Results Summar</b>	v – SR 89.	A and South	west Drive
	~-8			,		

	Warra	ant 1A	Warra	ant 1B	Warr	ant 2
	Hours	Criteria	Hours	Criteria	Hours	Criteria
Time Period	Satisfied	Met	Satisfied	Met	Satisfied	Met
2022 Total Condtion						
Int 1. SR 89A / Southwest Dr	0	No	0	No	0	No

The results indicate, with the site-generated traffic included, low side street volumes will not satisfy any hourly volume criteria for the MUTCD volume warrants analyzed. Therefore, installation of a traffic signal at this location is not warranted or recommended based on anticipated traffic volume.

## 6.4 Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon

The City of Sedona has requested analysis pertaining to the warranting of a PHB to assist pedestrians in crossing SR 89A near Southwest Drive. The desire for a pedestrian crossing of SR 89A near this location is highlighted in the City's TMP.

The latest edition of the MUTCD provides guidance to help determine if a PHB should be considered for installation. From Section 4F.01:

If one of the signal warrants of Chapter 4C is met and a traffic control signal is justified by an engineering study, and if a decision is made to install a traffic control signal, it should be installed based upon the provisions of Chapters 4D and 4E.

If a traffic control signal is not justified under the signal warrants of Chapter 4C and if gaps in traffic are not adequate to permit pedestrians to cross, or if the speed for vehicles approaching on the major street is too high to permit pedestrians to cross, or if pedestrian delay is excessive, the need for a pedestrian hybrid beacon should be considered on the basis of an engineering study that considers major-street volumes, speeds, widths, and gaps in conjunction with pedestrian volumes, walking speeds, and delay.

For a major street where the posted or statutory speed limit or the 85th-percentile speed is 35 mph or less, the need for a pedestrian hybrid beacon should be considered if the engineering study finds that the plotted point representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding total of all pedestrians crossing the major street for 1 hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) of an average day falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4F-1 for the length of the crosswalk.

For a major street where the posted or statutory speed limit or the 85th-percentile speed exceeds 35 mph, the need for a pedestrian hybrid beacon should be considered if the engineering study finds that the plotted point representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding total of all pedestrians crossing the major street for 1 hour (any four consecutive 15-minute periods) of an average day falls above the applicable curve in Figure 4F-2 for the length of the crosswalk.

For crosswalks that have lengths other than the four that are specifically shown in Figures 4F-1 and 4F-2, the values should be interpolated between the curves.

Figure 4F-1 from the MUTCD is provided for major streets that have a speed limit of 35 mph or less. Assuming the existing SR 89A roadway width of 64 feet would be the minimum crossing distance and a two-way PM peak hour volume on SR 89A exceeds 1800 vph (2022 build-out volume, Figure 4), a 20-person crossing of SR 89A in one hour (or any hour with 950 or more SR 89A vehicles)

would meet guidelines for a PHB. Per the count data collected, pedestrian zero crossings of SR 89A near Southwest Drive were identified over a 24-hour period. Based on this information the installation a PHB is not met.

Overall, if the City does determine the need for the PHB installation, Sedona Lofts should not be required to



participate in the cost of design or installation since need has already been established for such a crossing without the proposed development, and the proposed development is expected to contribute a negligible pedestrian volume.

#### 6.5 Turn Lane Requirements

Exclusive right- and left-turn lanes are typically not required at minor street intersections or on low volume, low-speed collector roadways when adequate stopping sight distance is provided since vehicle turn speed compared to through speeds are not excessively different. For a 25 mph roadway, AASHTO recommends a minimum stopping sight distance of 155 feet. Per review of Google Earth images, over 155 feet of sight distance can be provided to motorists travelling Navajo Drive, Southwest Drive, or Aria Street to safely recognize a vehicle within either proposed site driveway and can come to a safe stop prior to reaching the intersection, if required. Therefore, right- or left-turn lanes at the site driveway locations are not recommended. Moreover, as indicated in the capacity analysis table, LOS A/B conditions are anticipated at the site driveways under existing single-lane conditions.

## 6.6 Intersection Sight Distance

Utilizing AASHTO's *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets*, minimum intersection sight visibility requirements for left and right turning movements onto a 2-lane roadway from a stopped condition requires the following distance for departing drivers from a location 15 feet from the edge of travelled way:

- ISD Right Turn Movement (looking left): 1.47 \* 25 mph \* 6.5 sec = 240 feet (rounded)
- ISD Left Turn Movement (looking right): 1.47 \* 25 mph \* 7.5 sec = 280 feet (rounded)

Images 1 and 2 below show the conceptual site layout plan overlayed on a Google Earth map. The images also indicate a driver's unobstructed line of sight needed to observe vehicles approaching from within the driveway, 15 feet from the edge of travelled way. Because of uncertainty in the site scale, placement of the image, rotation angle, and Google Earth image skew, the exact location of the driver eye to the edge of travelled and physical features cannot be determined with certainty. Image 1, looking north, shows over 280 feet of sight visibility can be provided, exceeding minimum sight requirements. Image 2, looking south, appears to show the driver line of sight extending into the far west dwelling unit by approximately 4 feet which may or may not be the actual field condition. Scaling the actual site layout plan appears to indicate the line of sight without infringement. The city and developer should validate that 240 or more feet of unobstructed sight distance can be provided to exiting motorists. If mitigation is required, the driveway could be positioned further to the south, the duplex itself positioned further to the south, outbound left turn movements prohibited from the driveway, or other options that have not been considered. Within the sight triangles formed on the images, no landscaping materials (between 3 feet and 6 feet in height, Sedona LDC), walls, fences, utilities, bike racks, signs, or other structures that may obscure driver visibility to approaching vehicles should be placed. The design does exceed the visibility requirements (30' x 10') identified in the Sedona Land Development Code.



Image 1. Looking North on Navajo Drive from Proposed Site Access Location



Image 2. Looking South on Southwest Drive at Proposed Site Access Location

#### 6.7 Driveway Design / Parking Characteristics

Driveway clearance distance to adjacent STOP-controlled intersection exceeds the 75/50 feet requirements for collector/local streets identified in Table 3.6 of the of Sedona *Design Review*, *Engineering and Administrative Manual* (DREAM).

The offset of the site's proposed driveway north of the Southwest/Navajo Drives intersection is estimated to be about 30 feet for opposing movements. This separation is not ideal, but low-volume low-speed conditions and positive offset preventing interlocking left-turn movements on the major-street is beneficial. The design, as shown in the site layout plan is identified to be acceptable.

Driveways and drive aisles are 26 feet in width and meets the City's 30-foot wide maximum design requirement in the LDC and are adequate for maneuvering into and out of 90 degree parking spaces.

Parking space design shown on the site plan include the following:

- Tandem spaces fronting garages: 18' x 9'
- General parking spaces: 15.5' x 9' (excluding 2' overhang)
- ADA car spaces: 15.5' x 8.5' (excluding 2' overhang)

The parking space widths appear to be appropriate, however, the 17.5-foot overall length dimension may be slightly below guidance. No city materials to confirm parking space design requirements could be found.

## 7.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the analysis conducted within this traffic statement, the following conclusions and recommendations are identified:

- The development is planned for a single construction phase that will provide a total of 60 multi-family dwelling units. The development is planned for a 2022 opening.
- At full occupancy, ITE estimates that the planned development will generate 30 AM peakhour trip ends (7 in, 23 out), 38 PM peak-hour trip end (24 in, 14 out), and a total of 413 new daily vehicle trip ends.
- Count data collected during peak-season conditions at the intersection of SR 89A and Southwest Drive indicate traffic volumes exceed ADOT average conditions by over 50%. No pedestrian crossings of SR 89A were identified during the 24-hour period.
- Intersection capacity analysis with the proposed Sedona Lofts traffic indicates the minorstreet STOP controlled intersection at SR 89A and Southwest Drive will operate at acceptable service levels (LOS D or better) during average volume conditions in the AM and PM peak hours. During peak-season conditions, the southbound Southwest Drive approach and the business driveways on the south side of SR 89A are anticipated to operate at LOS F although volume to capacity ratios are below 0.80 indicating acceptable operation.
- If delays at SR 89A/Southwest Drive become predictable and are perceived to be long, drivers can use the local street connectivity to access SR 89A at the traffic signals located at Andante Drive or Dry Creek Road.
- Analysis indicates a traffic signal is not warranted at SR 89A and Southwest Drive. It is recommended that minor-street STOP control be maintained at this intersection.
- Analysis indicates a pedestrian hybrid beacon is not warranted near Southwest Drive due to no pedestrian crossings at this location over a 24-hour period. However, the City's TMP shows a preference for a pedestrian crossing at or near this location due to demand that may not have been captured in this study. If a PHB is to be installed, the proposed development should not be responsible for its cost, design, or installation since demand for the crossing has been established without the proposed development and the subject site is expected to contribute a negligible pedestrian volume.
- Turn lanes are not required at the site driveways due to good operational levels, low volume and speed conditions, and sight distance that exceeds AASHTO's minimum stopping sight distance.
- Intersection sight distance for the site's proposed driveway at the Southwest/Navajo Drives intersection indicates over 280 feet of sight visibility can be provided to exiting site drivers when looking to the north, exceeding AASHTO requirements. When looking to the south, the AASHTO required 240 feet of roadway visibility may or may not be provided due to the uncertainty of analysis (scale of site plan, placement of image, other). The City and developer should validate 240 feet or more roadway visibility can be provided to drivers before the driveway is operational.
- The site's driveway location at the Southwest/Navajo Drives intersection is offset to the north by approximately 30 feet; however, low-speed low-volume conditions and positive offset that prevents interlocking left-turn movements on the major street is beneficial. The driveway is deemed to be situated at an acceptable location.
- Some on-site parking spaces show a 17.5-foot design length. This dimension could not be confirmed as appropriate within city design materials.

APPENDIX

## **TRAFFIC COUNTS**

Intersection Turning Movement Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona, Inc. 520.316.6745







## Pedestrian & Bicycle Study

N-S STREET: Southwest Dr E-W STREET: SR-89A Date: 03/31/21 Day: WEDNESDAY

City: Sedona Project #: 21-1187-001

	PEDESTRIANS											
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG								
6:00 AM	0	0	0	0								
6:15 AM	0	0	0	0								
6:30 AM	1	0	0	0								
6:45 AM	3	0	0	0								
7:00 AM	4	0	0	0								
7:15 AM	5	0	0	0								
7:30 AM	6	0	0	0								
7:45 AM	5	0	0	0								
8:00 AM	1	0	0	0								
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0								
8:30 AM	1	0	0	0								
8:45 AM	1	0	0	0								
9:00 AM	3	0	0	0								
9:15 AM	0	0	0	0								
9:30 AM	4	0	0	0								
9:45 AM	1	0	0	0								
10:00 AM	0	0	0	0								
10:15 AM	7	0	0	0								
10:30 AM	1	0	0	0								
10:45 AM	0	0	0	0								
11:00 AM	0	0	0	0								
11:15 AM	2	0	0	0								
11:30 AM	1	0	0	0								
11:45 AM	2	0	0	0								
12:00 PM	0	0	0	0								
12:15 PM	0	0	0	0								
12:30 PM	3	0	0	0								
12:45 PM	2	0	0	0								
1:00 PM	5	0	0	0								
1:15 PM	2	0	0	0								
1:30 PM	7	0	0	0								
1:45 PM	0	0	0	0								
2:00 PM	2	0	0	0								
2:15 PM	0	0	0	0								
2:30 PM	0	0	0	0								
2:45 PM	0	0	0	0								
3:00 PM	1	0	0	0								
3:15 PM	4	0	0	0								
3:30 PM	0	0	0	0								
3:45 PM	0	0	0	0								
4:00 PM	0	0	0	0								
4:15 PM	1	0	0	0								
4:30 PM	1	0	0	0								
4:45 PM	1	0	0	0								
5:00 PM	2	0	0	0								
5:15 PM	0	0	0	0								
5:30 PM	0	0	0	0								
5:45 PM	0	0	0	0								
6:00 PM	0	0	0	0								
6:15 PM	4	0	0	0								
6:30 PM	0	0	0	0								
6:45 PM	1	0	0	0								
	84	•	•	• •								

		BICY	CLES	
	N-LEG	S-LEG	E-LEG	W-LEG
6:00 AM	0	0	0	0
6:15 AM	0	0	0	0
6:30 AM	0	0	0	0
6:45 AM	0	0	0	0
7:00 AM	0	0	0	0
7:15 AM	0	0	0	0
7:30 AM	0	0	0	0
7:45 AM	0	0	0	0
8:00 AM	0	0	0	0
8:15 AM	0	0	0	0
8:30 AM	0	0	0	0
8:45 AM	0	0	0	0
9:00 AM	0	0	0	0
9:15 AM	2	0	0	0
9:30 AM	0	0	0	0
9.45 AM	0	0	0	0
10.00 AM	0	0	0	0
10:15 AM	0	0	0	0
10:10 AM	0	0	0	0
10:45 AM	2	0	0	0
11.00 AM	0	0	0	0
11.00 / IM	0	0	0	0
11:30 AM	0	0	0	0
11:45 AM	3	0	0	0
12:00 PM	0	0	0	0
12:00 F M	2	0	0	0
12:10 PM	0	0	0	0
12:30 F M	0	0	0	0
1:00 PM	1	0	0	0
1.00 F M	0	0	0	0
1.10 PM	0	0	0	0
1:45 PM	0	0	0	0
2.00 DM	1	0	0	0
2.00 T M	0	0	0	0
2.13 F M	0	0	0	0
2:30 T M	0	0	0	0
2.40 F M	0	0	0	0
3.00 F M	1	0	0	0
3.13 F M	0	0	0	0
3:45 DM	0	0	0	0
1.00 DM	0	0	0	0
4.00 F M	2	0	0	0
4.13 F M	2	0	0	0
4.30 F M	0	0	0	0
4.40 FIVI	0	0	0	0
5.15 DM	0	0	0	0
5.20 PM	0	0	0	0
5.45 DM	0	0	0	0
0.40 PM	0	0	0	0
6:15 DM	0	0	0	0
6.20 PM	0	0	0	0
6.45 DM	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	14	0	0	0
IUIAL	14		0	

North Leg

West Leg

East Leg

South Leg

#### Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona/Veracity Traffic Group (520) 316-6745

Volumes for: Wednesday, March 31, 2021

P.H.F.

0.92

0.91

0.95

City: Sedona

Project #: 21-1187-001

0.97

0.96

0.99

Location: SR-89A ea	ast of South	hwest l	Dr												
AM Period NB	SB	EB		WB			PM Period	NB		SB	EB		WB		
00:00		5		7			12:00				362		304		
00:15		2		3			12:15				332		328		
00:30		4		9			12:30				298		269		
00:45		2	13	15	34	47	12:45				327	1319	276	1177	2496
01:00		3		6			13:00				353		311		
01:15		4		1			13:15				335		295		
01:30		0		3			13:30				351		309		
01:45		1	8	6	16	24	13:45				293	1332	309	1224	2556
02:00		2		3			14:00				348		328		
02:15		1		4			14:15				318		298		
02:30		1		4			14:30				302		364		
02:45		0	4	2	13	17	14:45				307	1275	350	1340	2615
03:00		4		1			15:00				306		343		
03:15		5		3			15:15				329		327		
03:30		4		2			15:30				323		316		
03:45		6	19	1	7	26	15:45				289	1247	368	1354	2601
04:00		8		2			16:00				291		365		
04:15		4		2			16:15				284		344		
04:30		10		5			16:30				295		343		
04:45		16	38	8	17	55	16:45				311	1181	371	1423	2604
05:00		23		8			17:00				262		339		
05:15		21		11			17:15				242		345		
05:30		35		27			17:30				254		296		
05:45		84	163	42	88	251	17:45				195	953	267	1247	2200
06:00		94		35			18:00				205		263		
06:15		104		65			18:15				219		243		
06:30		122		89			18:30				208		230		
06:45		202	522	116	305	827	18:45				208	840	192	928	1768
07:00		196		117			19:00				174		247		
07:15		229		161			19:15				150		208		
07:30		264	1010	205	707	1720	19:30				141	FF(	166	770	1224
07:45		323	1012	244	121	1739	19:45				91	556	157	//8	1334
08:00		365		216			20:00				72		145		
08:15		318		224			20:15				83		143		
08:30		328	1250	243	055	2205	20:30				61	701	114	524	90E
00.45		339	1550	272	900	2303	20.45				05	201	114	J24	005
09:00		280		253			21:00				51		101		
09:15		210		230			21:15				21 27		100		
09.30		345	1258	270	1022	2280	21.30				31	170	77	380	550
10:00		201	1250	205	1022	2200	22:00				25	170	66	500	550
10.00		201 212		202 259			22:00				20 74		00 70		
10:10		306		301			22.13				24		54		
10:45		304	1229	263	1084	2313	22:30				14	87	48	238	325
11.00		330		200	1001	2010	23.00				0	07	45	200	010
11.00		318		331			23.00				14		40		
11:30		316		279			23:30				12		25		
11:45		320	1293	288	1188	2481	23:45				6	41	11	121	162
Tatal Val			6000		FAFC	12265						0202		10724	20016
			0909		5450	12305						9202		10/54	20010
GPS Coordinates:	34.86233	5, -111.80	9603						NB	SB	Dai	FR FR	IS	WB	Combined
								-		50		16101		16100	37391
			٨м									DM		10190	J2301
Snlit %			55.00/		44 10/	38 70%		_				46 404	<b>`</b>	53 60%	61 8%
			55.5%		11.1 /0	50.2 /0						10.77	,	55.070	51.0 /0
Peak Hour			08:00		11:15	11:30						12:45		16:00	14:30
Volume			1350		1202	2529						1366		1423	2628

## 45

Pr	epared by:	Field I	Data	Ser	vices	s of A	rizona/Vei	racity	Traffic	Group	) (5)	20) 3	16-6	745	
Volumes for	: Wednesday,	March 31,	, 2021	_		City	: Sedona				Pro	ject #:	21-1	187-00	)1
Location: SR-	-89A west of S	outhwest	Dr			-					-				
AM Period NF	SR SR	FR		WB			PM Period	NB	SB		FR		WB		
00.00	<u>, 35</u>	5		7			12.00				362		300		
00:00		2		, 3			12.00				338		321		
00:30		4		9			12:30				794		262		
00:45		2	13	15	34	47	12:45				325	1319	275	1158	2477
01.00		3	-	7	-		13.00				351		303		
01:15		5		1			13:15				334		286		
01:30		0		3			13:30				351		308		
01:45		1	9	7	18	27	13:45			2	297	1333	305	1202	2535
02:00		3		3			14:00				349		325		
02:15		1		4			14:15				316		293		
02:30		1		4			14:30				301		360		
02:45		0	5	2	13	18	14:45				304	1270	344	1322	2592
03:00		4		1			15:00				304		340		
03:15		5		3			15:15			-	332		330		
03:30		4		2			15:30				322		318		
03:45		6	19	1	7	26	15:45				291	1249	362	1350	2599
04:00		8		2			16:00				286		359		
04:15		4		2			16:15				273		326		
04:30		9		5			16:30				290		343		
04:45		16	37	8	17	54	16:45				307	1156	371	1399	2555
05:00		23		8			17:00				257		340		
05:15		21		11			17:15				238		336		
05:30		34		27			17:30				247		292		
05:45		83	161	42	88	249	17:45				194	936	265	1233	2169
06:00		94		34			18:00			:	199		258		
06:15		105		64			18:15				215		237		
06:30		124	520	89	202	021	18:30				202	0.21	228	010	1701
06:45		205	528	106	293	821	18:45				205	821	18/	910	1/31
07:00		196		111			19:00				167		245		
07:15		229		152			19:15				145		205		
07:30		200	1011	190	60E	1706	19:30				00	E 20	101	767	120E
07:45		201	1011	234	095	1700	19:45				<u>90</u> 72	530	1.40	/0/	1305
08:00		361		213			20:00				/2 02		146		
08:15		315		21/			20:15				62 61		140		
08.30		320	1339	259	930	2269	20.30				66	281	112	517	798
00:00		227	1555	2/0	550	2205	20.15				<u>/0</u>	201	07	517	750
09.00		2/7		279 227			21.00				79 51		97		
09.10		318		263			21.15				37		106		
09:45		347	1257	258	997	2254	21:45				32	169	76	372	541
10:00		297		257			22:00				25		68		
10:15		317		251			22:15				23		72		
10:30		307		292			22:30				23		53		
10:45		302	1223	266	1066	2289	22:45				14	85	48	241	326
11:00		342		279			23:00				9		45		
11:15		312		327			23:15				14		40		
11:30		317		278			23:30				13		24		
11:45		309	1280	282	1166	2446	23:45				6	42	13	122	164
Total Vol.			6882		5324	12206						9199		10593	19792
GPS Coordinate	s: 34.8	62234, -111.81	0335								Dail	y Total	s		
		,							NB	SB		EB		WB	Combined

						16081	15917	31998
	AM					PM		
Split %	56.4%	43.6%	38.1%			46.5%	53.5%	61.9%
Peak Hour	08:00	11:15	11:30			12:45	16:00	14:30
Volume P.H.F.	1339 0.93	1187 0.91	2507 0.95			1361 0.97	1399 0.94	2615 0.99

#### Prepared by: Field Data Services of Arizona/Veracity Traffic Group (520) 316-6745

Volumes for: Wednesday, March 31, 2021

P.H.F.

0.69

0.80

City: Sedona

Project #: 21-1187-001

0.82

0.73

0.75

0.92

#### CAPACITY ANALYSIS OUTPUT SHEETS Average Volume Conditions

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	FRI	FRT	FRR	WRI	WRT	WRR	NRI	NRT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>≜</b> ₽	LDIX	5	<b>≜</b> ₽	WBIX	NDL	4	NDIX	ODL	4	ODIX
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	852	5	5	586	40	5	0	5	18	0	15
Future Vol, veh/h	7	852	5	5	586	40	5	0	5	18	0	15
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	9	947	6	6	651	50	6	0	6	23	0	19

Major/Minor	Major1		Ν	lajor2		Ν	/linor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	701	0	0	953	0	0	1306	1681	477	1180	1659	351	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	968	968	-	688	688	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	338	713	-	492	971	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	892	-	-	717	-	-	117	94	534	146	97	645	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	273	330	-	403	445	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	650	434	-	527	329	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	892	-	-	717	-	-	112	92	534	142	95	645	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	112	92	-	268	211	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	270	327	-	399	441	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	626	431	-	516	326	-	
Annroach	FR			W/R			NR			SB			
HCM Control Delay	0.1			0.1			25.0			16.1			
LCM LOS	0.1			0.1			20.9 D			10.1			
HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	0.1			0.1			25.9 D			16.1 C			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	185	892	-	-	717	-	-	365
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.068	0.01	-	-	0.009	-	-	0.113
HCM Control Delay (s)	25.9	9.1	-	-	10.1	-	-	16.1
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	-	-	В	-	-	С
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.2	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.4

4

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	23	24	0	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	23	24	0	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	20	0	20	0	0	0	29	30	0	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	120	120	32	130	130	30	42	(	)	0	30	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	88	88	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	88	88	-	42	42	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218		-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	855	770	1042	843	761	1044	1567		-	-	1583	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	920	822	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	920	822	-	972	860	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %									-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	843	755	1042	815	747	1044	1567		-	-	1583	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	843	755	-	815	747	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	965	868	-	903	806	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	903	806	-	953	860	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9	0	3.6	0	
HCM LOS	Α	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	932	-	1583	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.018	-	-	0.043	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	<b>≜</b> ₽		1	<b>≜</b> ₽			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	1144	5	5	1378	45	5	0	5	27	0	21
Future Vol, veh/h	19	1144	5	5	1378	45	5	0	5	27	0	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, a	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	1271	6	6	1531	56	6	0	6	34	0	26

Major/Minor	Major1		I	Major2		I	Minor1		I	Minor2				
Conflicting Flow All	1587	0	0	1277	0	0	2100	2921	639	2255	2896	794		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1322	1322	-	1571	1571	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	778	1599	-	684	1325	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	410	-	-	540	-	-	30	15	419	~ 23	16	331		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	165	224	-	115	169	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	355	164	-	405	223	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	410	-	-	540	-	-	26	14	419	~ 21	15	331		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		-	-	-	-	-	26	14	-	83	91	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	211	-	108	167	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	323	162	-	376	210	-		
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	s 0.3			0			102			59.4				
HCM LOS							F			F				
Minor Lane/Major Mvi	mt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1					
Capacity (veh/h)		49	410	-	-	540	-	-	123					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.255	0.058	-	-	0.012	-	-	0.488					
HCM Control Delay (s	6)	102	14.3	-	-	11.7	-	-	59.4					
HCM Lane LOS	,	F	В	-	-	В	-	-	F					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	h)	0.9	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	2.2					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	elay exc	eeds 30	)0s	+: Com	putatior	n Not De	efined	*: All	major v	olume ii	n platoon	

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	24	24
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	24	24
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	30	0	30	0	0	0	40	40	0	0	30	30

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	165	165	45	180	180	40	60	C	0	40	0	0	
Stage 1	45	45	-	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	120	120	-	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	800	728	1025	782	714	1031	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	
Stage 1	969	857	-	884	796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	884	796	-	951	845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	784	709	1025	744	695	1031	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	784	709	-	744	695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	944	857	-	861	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	861	775	-	923	845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.3	0	3.7	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Capacity (veh/h)	1544	-	-	888	-	1570	-	-	
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	-	-	-	-	
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.3	0	0	-	-	
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-	
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	-	0	-	-	

#### Intersection

i i	EDI	EDT			MOT		NIDI	NDT	NDD	0.01	ODT	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBK	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBK	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>†</b> ]		1	14			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	872	5	5	599	41	5	0	5	18	0	15
Future Vol, veh/h	7	872	5	5	599	41	5	0	5	18	0	15
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	9	969	6	6	666	51	6	0	6	23	0	19

Major/Minor	Major1		Μ	lajor2		Ν	/linor1		N	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	717	0	0	975	0	0	1335	1719	488	1207	1697	359	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	990	990	-	704	704	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	345	729	-	503	993	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	880	-	-	703	-	-	112	89	526	139	92	638	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	264	323	-	394	438	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	644	426	-	519	322	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	880	-	-	703	-	-	107	87	526	135	90	638	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	107	87	-	261	206	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	261	320	-	390	434	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	620	422	-	508	319	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			0.1			26.7			16.4			

HCM LOS						D		С			
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)	178	880	-	-	703	-	- 357	7			

HCM Control Delay (s) 26.7 9.1 10.2 16.4 HCM Lane LOS D A B C	HCM Lane V/C Ratio
HCM Lane LOS D A B C	HCM Control Delay (s)
	HCM Lane LOS
	HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

#### Intersection

Movement EE	BL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control Sto	ор	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor 8	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow 2	20	0	20	0	0	0	30	30	0	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	122	122	32	132	132	30	42	C	)	0	30	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	90	90	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	90	90	-	42	42	-	-		•	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	•	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	•	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	853	768	1042	840	759	1044	1567	-	•	-	1583	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	917	820	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	917	820	-	972	860	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	•	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	841	753	1042	812	745	1044	1567	-	•	-	1583	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	841	753	-	812	745	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	965	868	-	900	804	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	900	804	-	953	860	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9	0	3.7	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	931	-	1583	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	0.043	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	Α	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>†</b> 1+		1	<b>†</b> ]-			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	749	5	5	902	46	5	0	5	28	0	21
Future Vol, veh/h	19	749	5	5	902	46	5	0	5	28	0	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	832	6	6	1002	58	6	0	6	35	0	26

Major/Minor	Major1		N	lajor2		Ν	/linor1		ľ	/linor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1060	0	0	838	0	0	1396	1955	419	1507	1929	530	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	883	883	-	1043	1043	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	513	1072	-	464	886	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	653	-	-	792	-	-	101	63	583	83	66	493	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	307	362	-	245	305	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	512	295	-	548	361	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	653	-	-	792	-	-	92	60	583	79	63	493	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	60	-	178	175	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	296	349	-	236	303	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	481	293	-	522	348	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3			0.1			29.6			24.5			

HCM LOS						D			С	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)	159	653	-	-	792	-	-	245		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.079	0.036	-	-	0.008	-	-	0.25		
HCM Control Delay (s)	29.6	10.7	-	-	9.6	-	-	24.5		
HCM Lane LOS	D	В	-	-	Α	-	-	С		

1

0

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

0.3

0.1

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	33	0	0	25	25
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	33	0	0	25	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	30	0	30	0	0	0	40	41	0	0	31	31

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	168	168	47	183	183	41	62	C	0	41	0	0	
Stage 1	47	47	-	121	121	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	121	121	-	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	796	725	1022	778	711	1030	1541	-	-	1568	-	-	
Stage 1	967	856	-	883	796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	883	796	-	949	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	779	705	1022	740	692	1030	1541	-	-	1568	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	779	705	-	740	692	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	941	856	-	859	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	859	775	-	921	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4	0	3.6	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1541	-	-	884	-	1568	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.4	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	Α	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

NA		EDT					NDI	NDT		001	ODT	000
Novement	EBL	FRI	EBK	WBL	WRI	WBR	NBL	NRI	NBK	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	<b>†</b>		1	<b>†</b> ]			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	872	5	5	599	45	5	0	5	30	0	22
Future Vol, veh/h	9	872	5	5	599	45	5	0	5	30	0	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	11	969	6	6	666	56	6	0	6	38	0	28

Major/Minor	Major1		Ν	/lajor2		Ν	/linor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	722	0	0	975	0	0	1339	1728	488	1213	1703	361	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	994	994	-	706	706	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	345	734	-	507	997	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	876	-	-	703	-	-	111	88	526	138	91	636	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	263	321	-	393	437	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	644	424	-	516	320	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	876	-	-	703	-	-	104	86	526	134	89	636	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	104	86	-	259	204	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	260	317	-	388	433	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	611	420	-	503	316	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			0.1			27.3			17.8			
HCM LOS							D			С			

HUM Control Delay, s	0.1		0.1			21.3		0.11		
HCM LOS						D		С		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR SBLn	1		
Capacity (veh/h)	174	876	-	-	703	-	- 34	6		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.072	0.013	-	-	0.009	-	- 0.18	8		
HCM Control Delay (s)	27.3	9.2	-	-	10.2	-	- 17.	.8		
HCM Lane LOS	D	А	-	-	В	-	-	С		

-

0

-

0.7

-

0.2

0

-

HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)

Baseline

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	19	0	0	24	24	6	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	19	0	0	24	24	6	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	20	0	20	24	0	0	30	30	8	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	126	130	32	136	136	34	42	0	0	38	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	94	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	94	98	-	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	848	761	1042	835	755	1039	1567	-	-	1572	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	913	817	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	913	814	-	972	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	835	746	1042	807	740	1039	1567	-	-	1572	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	835	746	-	807	740	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	964	868	-	895	801	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	895	798	-	953	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1	9.6	3.3	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	927	807	1572	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	0.043	0.029	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9.1	9.6	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.1	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Movement	FRI	FRT	FRR	W/RI	W/RT	W/BR	NRI	NRT	NRR	SBI	SBT	SBR
Movement			LDIX	VVDL	WDT	VUDIN	NDL	NDT	NDIN	JDL	301	SDI
Lane Configurations	- 1	¶¶-		1	T-P-			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	749	5	5	902	58	5	0	5	35	0	25
Future Vol, veh/h	26	749	5	5	902	58	5	0	5	35	0	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	33	832	6	6	1002	73	6	0	6	44	0	31

Major/Minor	Major1		Ν	/lajor2		Ν	/linor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1075	0	0	838	0	0	1414	1988	419	1533	1955	538	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	901	901	-	1051	1051	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	513	1087	-	482	904	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	644	-	-	792	-	-	98	60	583	80	63	488	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	299	355	-	243	302	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	512	290	-	534	354	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	644	-	-	792	-	-	88	56	583	76	59	488	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	56	-	173	170	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	284	337	-	231	300	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	476	288	-	501	336	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.4			0.1			30.6			27.1			
HCM LOS							D			D			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	153	644	-	-	792	-	-	237
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.082	0.05	-	-	0.008	-	-	0.316
HCM Control Delay (s)	30.6	10.9	-	-	9.6	-	-	27.1
HCM Lane LOS	D	В	-	-	А	-	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	1.3

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	11	0	0	32	33	19	0	25	25
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	11	0	0	32	33	19	0	25	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	4 -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	30	0	30	14	0	0	40	41	24	0	31	31

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	1ajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	180	192	47	195	195	53	62	0	(	)	65	0	0	
Stage 1	47	47	-	133	133	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	133	145	-	62	62	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-		-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-		-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	782	703	1022	764	700	1014	1541	-		-	1537	-	-	
Stage 1	967	856	-	870	786	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	870	777	-	949	843	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-		-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	766	684	1022	727	681	1014	1541	-		-	1537	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	766	684	-	727	681	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	941	856	-	847	765	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	847	756	-	921	843	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4	10	2.8	0	
HCM LOS	А	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1541	-	-	876	727	1537	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	0.019	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.4	10	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	В	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	0.1	0	-	-

#### CAPACITY ANALYSIS OUTPUT SHEETS Peak Season Volume Conditions

#### Intersection

	EDI	EDT			MOT		NIDI	NDT	NDD	0.01	ODT	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBK	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBK	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	<b>†</b> ]		1	<b>†</b> 1-			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	1332	5	5	915	40	5	0	5	18	0	15
Future Vol, veh/h	7	1332	5	5	915	40	5	0	5	18	0	15
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	9	1480	6	6	1017	50	6	0	6	23	0	19

Major/Minor	Major1		Μ	lajor2		Ν	/linor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1067	0	0	1486	0	0	2022	2580	743	1812	2558	534	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1501	1501	-	1054	1054	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	521	1079	-	758	1504	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	649	-	-	448	-	-	34	25	358	49	26	491	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	128	183	-	242	301	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	507	293	-	365	183	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	649	-	-	448	-	-	32	24	358	47	25	491	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	24	-	150	111	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	126	180	-	239	297	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	481	289	-	354	180	-	
Approach	FB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay	0.1			0.1			81.7			25.2			
HCM LOS	0.1			0.1			51.7 F			20.2 D			
							•			5			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	59	649	-	-	448	-	-	219
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.212	0.013	-	-	0.014	-	-	0.188
HCM Control Delay (s)	81.7	10.6	-	-	13.1	-	-	25.2
HCM Lane LOS	F	В	-	-	В	-	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.7	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.7

4

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	23	24	0	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	23	24	0	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	20	0	20	0	0	0	29	30	0	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	120	120	32	130	130	30	42	(	)	0	30	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	88	88	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	88	88	-	42	42	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12		-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218		-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	855	770	1042	843	761	1044	1567		-	-	1583	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	920	822	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	920	822	-	972	860	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %									-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	843	755	1042	815	747	1044	1567		-	-	1583	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	843	755	-	815	747	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	965	868	-	903	806	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	903	806	-	953	860	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9	0	3.6	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR B	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	932	-	1583	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.018	-	-	0.043	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

	EDI	EDT			MOT		NIDI	NDT	NDD	0.01	ODT	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBK	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBK	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>†</b> ]		1	<b>†</b> ]			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	1144	5	5	1378	45	5	0	5	27	0	21
Future Vol, veh/h	19	1144	5	5	1378	45	5	0	5	27	0	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	1271	6	6	1531	56	6	0	6	34	0	26

Major/Minor	Major1		N	Major2			Minor1		1	Minor2				
Conflicting Flow All	1587	0	0	1277	0	0	2100	2921	639	2255	2896	794		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1322	1322	-	1571	1571	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	778	1599	-	684	1325	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	410	-	-	540	-	-	30	15	419	~ 23	16	331		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	165	224	-	115	169	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	355	164	-	405	223	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	410	-	-	540	-	-	26	14	419	~ 21	15	331		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	14	-	83	91	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	155	211	-	108	167	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	323	162	-	376	210	-		
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB				
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3			0			102			59.4				
HCM LOS				•			F			F				
										-				
Minor Lane/Maior Myn	nt	NRI n1	FBI	FRT	FBR	WBI	WBT	WBR 9	SBI n1					
Canacity (veh/h)		49	410		-	540	-	-	123					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0 255	0.058	-	_	0.012	-		0 488					
HCM Control Delay (s)	)	102	14.3	-	_	11 7	-	_	59.4					
HCM Lane LOS	/	F	B	-	-	B	-		F					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	1)	0.9	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	2.2					
	.,	0.0				Ū								
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	pacity	\$: De	elay exc	eeds 30	DOs	+: Com	putatior	n Not De	efined	*: All	major v	olume iı	n platoon	

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	24	24
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	32	0	0	24	24
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	30	0	30	0	0	0	40	40	0	0	30	30

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	165	165	45	180	180	40	60	0	0	40	0	0	
Stage 1	45	45	-	120	120	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	120	120	-	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	800	728	1025	782	714	1031	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	
Stage 1	969	857	-	884	796	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	884	796	-	951	845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	784	709	1025	744	695	1031	1544	-	-	1570	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	784	709	-	744	695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	944	857	-	861	775	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	861	775	-	923	845	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-													
										0.5			

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.3	0	3.7	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1544	-	-	888	-	1570	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.3	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

					WDT		NE	NDT		0.51	0.D.T	000
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBI	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>↑</b> Ъ		1	<b>↑</b> Ъ			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	7	1363	5	5	936	41	5	0	5	18	0	15
Future Vol, veh/h	7	1363	5	5	936	41	5	0	5	18	0	15
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	9	1514	6	6	1040	51	6	0	6	23	0	19

Major/Minor	Major1		Ν	lajor2		N	/linor1		ľ	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1091	0	0	1520	0	0	2067	2638	760	1853	2616	546	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1535	1535	-	1078	1078	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	532	1103	-	775	1538	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	635	-	-	435	-	-	31	23	349	46	24	482	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	122	176	-	233	293	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	499	285	-	357	176	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	635	-	-	435	-	-	29	22	349	44	23	482	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	22	-	144	107	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	120	174	-	230	289	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	473	281	-	346	174	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			0.1			90.7			26.2			
HCM LOS							F			D			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	54	635	-	-	435	-	-	211
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.231	0.014	-	-	0.014	-	-	0.195
HCM Control Delay (s)	90.7	10.7	-	-	13.4	-	-	26.2
HCM Lane LOS	F	В	-	-	В	-	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	0	-	-	0	-	-	0.7

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	0	0	0	24	24	0	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	20	0	20	0	0	0	30	30	0	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	122	122	32	132	132	30	42	C	)	0	30	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	90	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	90	90	-	42	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	853	768	1042	840	759	1044	1567	-	-	-	1583	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	917	820	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	917	820	-	972	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	841	753	1042	812	745	1044	1567	-	-	-	1583	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	841	753	-	812	745	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	965	868	-	900	804	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	900	804	-	953	860	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9	0	3.7	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR I	EBLn1W	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	931	-	1583	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	0.043	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	Α	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Int Delay, s/veh

Movement	FBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	<b>≜</b> ₽		ň	<b>≜</b> t⊧			4			4	•=
Traffic Vol, veh/h	19	1170	5	5	1410	46	5	0	5	28	0	21
Future Vol, veh/h	19	1170	5	5	1410	46	5	0	5	28	0	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	4 -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	24	1300	6	6	1567	58	6	0	6	35	0	26

Major/Minor	Major1		N	Major2			Minor1		1	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1625	0	0	1306	0	0	2147	2988	653	2306	2962	813	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1351	1351	-	1608	1608	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	796	1637	-	698	1354	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	396	-	-	526	-	-	27	14	410	~ 21	14	322	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	158	217	-	109	162	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	157	-	397	216	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	396	-	-	526	-	-	23	13	410	~ 20	13	322	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	13	-	79	86	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	148	204	-	102	160	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	315	155	-	367	203	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3			0			116.5			65.5			
HCM LOS							F			F			
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	SBLn1				
Capacity (veh/h)		44	396	-	-	526	-	-	117				
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.284	0.06	-	-	0.012	-	-	0.524				
HCM Control Delay (s	)	116.5	14.7	-	-	11.9	-	-	65.5				
HCM Lane LOS	,	F	В	-	-	В	-	-	F				
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ı)	1	0.2	-	-	0	-	-	2.4				
Notes													

-: Volume exceeds capacity \$: Delay exceeds 300s +: Computation Not Defined \*: All major volume in platoon

#### Intersection

••												
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	33	0	0	25	25
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	0	0	0	32	33	0	0	25	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage	, # -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	30	0	30	0	0	0	40	41	0	0	31	31

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1			Major2			
Conflicting Flow All	168	170	47	185	185	43	62	0	0	43	0	0	
Stage 1	47	47	-	123	123	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	121	123	-	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	796	723	1022	776	709	1027	1541	-	-	1566	-	-	
Stage 1	967	856	-	881	794	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	883	794	-	949	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	779	702	1022	736	688	1025	1541	-	-	1563	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	779	702	-	736	688	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	941	856	-	855	771	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	859	771	-	921	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4	0	3.6	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Vinor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	/BLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1541	-	-	884	-	1563	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	-	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.4	0	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	-	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	3	<b>≜</b> ₽		1	<b>≜</b> ₽			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	1363	5	5	936	45	5	0	5	30	0	22
Future Vol, veh/h	9	1363	5	5	936	45	5	0	5	30	0	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	11	1514	6	6	1040	56	6	0	6	38	0	28

Major/Minor	Major1		Ν	lajor2		N	Minor1		I	Minor2			
Conflicting Flow All	1096	0	0	1520	0	0	2071	2647	760	1859	2622	548	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1539	1539	-	1080	1080	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	532	1108	-	779	1542	-	
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	633	-	-	435	-	-	31	23	349	45	24	480	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	121	176	-	233	293	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	499	284	-	355	175	-	
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-							
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	633	-	-	435	-	-	29	22	349	43	23	480	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	29	22	-	143	106	-	
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	119	173	-	229	289	-	
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	464	280	-	343	172	-	
Approach	EB			WB			NB			SB			
HCM Control Delay, s	0.1			0.1			90.7			30.9			
HCM LOS							F			D			

Vinor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR \$	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	54	633	-	-	435	-	-	203
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.231	0.018	-	-	0.014	-	-	0.32
HCM Control Delay (s)	90.7	10.8	-	-	13.4	-	-	30.9
HCM Lane LOS	F	В	-	-	В	-	-	D
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	0.1	-	-	0	-	-	1.3

#### Intersection

Movement El	BL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	19	0	0	24	24	6	0	17	17
Future Vol, veh/h	16	0	16	19	0	0	24	24	6	0	17	17
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control St	top	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	20	0	20	24	0	0	30	30	8	0	21	21

Major/Minor	Minor2			Vinor1			Major1			Majo	or2			
Conflicting Flow All	126	130	32	136	136	34	42	0	C	)	38	0	0	
Stage 1	32	32	-	94	94	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	
Stage 2	94	98	-	42	42	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	- 4	.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.2	218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	848	761	1042	835	755	1039	1567	-	-	15	572	-	-	
Stage 1	984	868	-	913	817	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Stage 2	913	814	-	972	860	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-			-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	835	746	1042	807	740	1039	1567	-	-	15	572	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	835	746	-	807	740	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Stage 1	964	868	-	895	801	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
Stage 2	895	798	-	953	860	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.1	9.6	3.3	0	
HCM LOS	А	А			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1567	-	-	927	807	1572	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.019	-	-	0.043	0.029	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.3	0	-	9.1	9.6	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	А	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	0.1	0	-	-

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	<b>†</b> ]-		1	<b>†</b> ]			4			4	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	26	1170	5	5	1410	58	5	0	5	35	0	25
Future Vol, veh/h	26	1170	5	5	1410	58	5	0	5	35	0	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	100	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	90	80	80	90	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	10	2	2	10	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
M∨mt Flow	33	1300	6	6	1567	73	6	0	6	44	0	31

Maior/Minor	Maior1		Ν	Maior2			Minor1		1	Minor2				
Conflicting Flow All	1640	0	0	1306	0	0	2165	3021	653	2332	2988	820		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1369	1369	-	1616	1616	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	796	1652	-	716	1372	-		
Critical Hdwy	4.14	-	-	4.14	-	-	7.54	6.54	6.94	7.54	6.54	6.94		
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.54	5.54	-	6.54	5.54	-		
Follow-up Hdwy	2.22	-	-	2.22	-	-	3.52	4.02	3.32	3.52	4.02	3.32		
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	391	-	-	526	-	-	26	13	410	~ 20	14	318		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	154	213	-	108	161	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	347	154	-	387	212	-		
Platoon blocked, %		-	-		-	-								
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	391	-	-	526	-	-	22	12	410	~ 18	13	318		
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	12	-	76	85	-		
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	141	195	-	99	159	-		
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	309	152	-	349	194	-		
Annroach	FB			W/R			NR			SB				
HCM Control Delay	0.4			0			123.6	_		87.7		_	_	 
HCM LOS	0.4			U			120.0 F			57.7 F				
										1				
Minor Lane/Major Mvr	nt	NBLn1	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR S	SBLn1					
Capacity (veh/h)		42	391	-	-	526	-	-	111					
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.298	0.083	-	-	0.012	-	-	0.676					
HCM Control Delay (s	5)	123.6	15	-	-	11.9	-	-	87.7					
HCM Lane LOS		F	С	-	-	В	-	-	F					
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh	ו)	1	0.3	-	-	0	-	-	3.5					
Notes														
~: Volume exceeds ca	apacity	\$: De	elay exc	eeds 30	)0s	+: Com	putatior	n Not De	efined	*: All	major v	olume ir	n platoon	

#### Intersection

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	11	0	0	32	33	19	0	25	25
Future Vol, veh/h	24	0	24	11	0	0	32	33	19	0	25	25
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None									
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage,	# -	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	30	0	30	14	0	0	40	41	24	0	31	31

Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1			Major1		Ν	/lajor2			
Conflicting Flow All	180	194	47	197	197	55	62	0	0	67	0	0	
Stage 1	47	47	-	135	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	133	147	-	62	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy	7.12	6.52	6.22	7.12	6.52	6.22	4.12	-	-	4.12	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	6.12	5.52	-	6.12	5.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Follow-up Hdwy	3.518	4.018	3.318	3.518	4.018	3.318	2.218	-	-	2.218	-	-	
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	782	701	1022	762	699	1012	1541	-	-	1535	-	-	
Stage 1	967	856	-	868	785	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	870	775	-	949	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Platoon blocked, %								-	-		-	-	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	766	681	1022	723	679	1010	1541	-	-	1532	-	-	
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	766	681	-	723	679	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 1	941	856	-	843	762	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stage 2	847	753	-	921	843	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	9.4	10.1	2.8	0	
HCM LOS	А	В			

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBL	NBT	NBR	EBLn1V	VBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)	1541	-	-	876	723	1532	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.026	-	-	0.068	0.019	-	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	7.4	0	-	9.4	10.1	0	-	-
HCM Lane LOS	А	А	-	А	В	А	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.2	0.1	0	-	-