Monthly Financial Report

November 2020



CITY OF SEDONA

November 10, 2021

Monthly Financial Report

November 2020

Executive Summary


The City's largest revenue sources are sales and bed tax revenues. Year-to-date City sales taxes are 11% higher than the prior year and year-to-date bed taxes are 17% higher than the prior year. (See pg. 52) In spite of the COVID-19 pandemic, the year-to-date amounts represent the City's highest combined first five months of the fiscal year in both the sales and bed tax categories.

November YTD Increase (Decrease) Over Prior Year								
City Sales Taxes	\$ 1,030,690							
Bed Taxes	364,547							
Total	\$ 1,395,237							

The largest increases for the month were in the Retail (21%), Restaurant & Bar (12%), Hotel/Motel (22%), and Construction (18%) categories. The Amusements & Other (-37%) category was down significantly; however, Amusements & Other has continued to show improvement compare to earlier months in the pandemic. (See pg. 51)

Bed tax revenues increased 19% for the month. (See pg. 52) The hotel occupancy rate (<-1%) was down slightly while the average daily hotel rate (15%) was up. Other transient occupancy types not captured in the hotel occupancy rate were contributing to the number of visitors and may have partially contributed to the higher than anticipated revenues in many of the categories. While nationally and statewide tourism were down significantly, Sedona's tourism seems to be close to, or even above, normal levels.

Year-to-date City sales taxes are 80% over the budget projections and year-to-date bed taxes are 169% over the budget projections. (See pg. 52) The budget was prepared before data was available to indicate how strong the resurgence of tourism would be.



Due to anticipated revenue losses as a result of the financial crisis caused by the COVID-19 closures, actions were taken to freeze all nonessential expenditures. A financial management plan was developed that may be implemented in a multi-tiered approach depending on how severe the City's revenue losses are. The tiers included options for expenditure reductions, use of accumulated reserves, and management of cash flows with debt financing. Since revenues were increasing, the freezes were lifted October 19, 2020 with the caution be conservative in spending in case a second round of closures were necessary.

Revenues

In total, General Fund revenues are up 11% from last year, and Wastewater Fund revenues are down 10% from last year. (See pgs. 30 & 35) Total City revenues are up 5% from last year and at 47% of budget, with 42% of the year completed so far. (See pg. 38)

Several individual revenue categories are **showing to be generally under target**; however, most are expected to be on target by the end of the year. The following categories may not be reach budget anticipations by the end of the fiscal year:

- Other Intergovernmental (95% under YTD target) (See pg. 44)
 - A contingency of \$300,000 was included in case a significant grant opportunity arises. Grant revenues
 match grant expenditures. When grant expenditures are lower, the grant revenues are also lower. The
 effect is no net impact to the City's financial position.
- Capacity Fees (77% under YTD target) (See pg. 49)
 - Due to delays in some significant one-time development projects, revenues may be under target by the
 end of the fiscal year. However, sufficient surpluses exist in the Wastewater Fund to cover the
 potential shortage in this category.

Expenditures

In total, **General Fund expenditures are at 37% of budget** for the year-to-date, and **Wastewater Fund expenditures are at 34% of budget** for the year-to-date, with 42% of the year completed so far. (See pgs. 6 & 11) **Total City expenditures, excluding capital improvements and internal charges, are at 34% of the budget.** (See pg. 14)

Expenditures for each department are **expected to be on or under target** by the end of the fiscal year. City Manager's Office expenditures are high for five months but are on track due to the nature of semiannual payments for the Tourism Bureau contract. (See pg. 15) General Services expenditures are high for five months due to the nature of semiannual community service contract payments and quarterly casualty insurance premiums. (See pg. 20)

Expenditures for capital improvements (13%) (See pgs. 58-59) and streets rehabilitation and preservation (2%) (See pg. 7) are not incurred consistently throughout the year and, as of November 2020, are overall well under targets for the fiscal year.

Report Format

The format for the City of Sedona Monthly Financial Report has been modified to provide both summarized financial information and additional historical information. The City's fiscal year (FY) is July 1through June 30. This report for November 2020 is the fifth month of the current fiscal year, FY 2021, and **represents 42% of the fiscal year**.

The report consists of the following sections:

- **Executive Summary** This summary includes a narrative discussion of the most significant information in this report.
- Table of Contents The table of contents includes hyperlinks to the sections and tables in this report. It also includes the status for the City's expenditures and revenues, highlighted as follows:
 - Green represents a status favorable, including expenditures on or under target and revenues on or exceeding target. Comments have been included regarding any significant favorable status, better than the target by more than 10%.
 - Yellow represents a cautionary status indicating that the particular category should be observed but is expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year. Comments have been included regarding the cautionary status.
 - Red represents an unfavorable status indicating that particular category is not expected to be on target by more than 10% by the end of the fiscal year. Comments have been included regarding the unfavorable status.
- Expenditures and Revenues Expenditure and revenue Information has been provided both by fund (including the two Community Facilities Districts managed by the City) and by department for non-capital improvement expenditures (excluding internal charges) and by type for revenues. The information includes:
 - Year-to-date (YTD) expenditures and revenues for the current fiscal year and the four previous fiscal years
 - Total annual expenditures and revenues, excluding contingencies, for the four previous fiscal years and budget amounts for the current fiscal year
 - Comparison of YTD amounts to annual amounts, which is used to determine if current year YTD
 amounts are on target, and any applicable comments regarding the status compared to targets
 - Increases and decreases in YTD and annual amounts and color-coded explanations of significant increases and decreases
- > Sales & Bed Tax Revenues These revenues are the most significant funding sources for the City and historically have been susceptible to fluctuations in the economy. The information includes comparisons by taxing category and by month.
- Fund Summaries The City's two most significant funds, the General Fund and the Wastewater Enterprise Fund, are presented with detailed comparisons of YTD amounts to the budgets and prior fiscal year. A summary of all City funds, plus the two Community Facilities Districts, is also included. The schedules include encumbrances, which represents the balance of purchase orders not yet fulfilled.
- ▶ Paid Parking Program Summary A table of the City's paid parking program has been presented with detailed comparisons of YTD amounts to the budgets and prior fiscal year. The table includes gross revenues less program support costs to arrive at net revenues of the program available for Uptown enhancements. The ending available balances represent the balances at the beginning of the year plus net revenues less costs for Uptown enhancements.
- ▶ **Debt Outstanding** A table of the City's outstanding debt has been presented by fund with the remaining principal and interest payments for each. Bond payments are made on July 1 and January 1 in accordance with the bond debt repayment schedules. The capital lease payments and installment purchase agreement payments are made annually when due.

➤ Capital Projects Summary — A table of the current fiscal year capital improvement projects has been presented with the total project amounts for projects spanning more than one fiscal year.

Additional Detail

This report provides broad summary information and analysis of the City's financial data. Additional detailed information is offered on the City's website at www.sedonaaz.gov/transparency. It is a searchable, user-friendly site that citizens and other interested parties can use to access real-time financial data.

For questions or additional information, contact:

Cherie R. Wright, CPA, CGFM

Director of Financial Services

City of Sedona

102 Roadrunner Drive

Sedona, AZ 86336

(928) 204-7185

cwright@sedonaaz.gov

(click on page nu	mber to navi	igate to th	at page)	Table of Contents
. 10	Page			Comments Partial of Figure Very Complete = 44 679/
Total Expenditures by Fund				Portion of Fiscal Year Complete = 41.67%
General Fund	6	37%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Special Revenue Funds: Streets Fund	7	2%	Under Target for FY 2021	Expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Affordable Housing Fund	7	2%	Under Target for FY 2021	Expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Grants, Donations & Other Funds	8	2%	Under Target for FY 2021	Expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Transportation Sales Tax Fund <u>Capital Projects Funds:</u>	8	35%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Development Impact Fees Funds	9	11%	Under Target for FY 2021	Capital improvement expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Capital Improvements Fund	9	11%	Under Target for FY 2021	Capital improvement expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Art in Public Places Fund Enterprise Funds:	10	N/A	On Target for FY 2021	No projects planned for FY 2021.
Public Transit Enterprise Fund	10	0%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	11	34%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Internal Service Funds: Info. Tech. Internal Service Fund	12	38%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Community Facilities Districts:				
Sedona Summit II Fairfield	13 13	96% 0%	On Target for FY 2021	Capital improvement expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Familied	13	U%	Under Target for FY 2021	Capital improvement expenditures do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year.
Total Non-Capital Improvement Expenditures by E	Departmen		ding Internal Charges)	
Total Exp. (excl. Cap. Impr. & Internal Charges)	14	34%	Under Target for FY 2021	
City Council City Manager's Office	14 15	26% 43%	Under Target for FY 2021 On Target for FY 2021	While expenditures are high, they are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Human Resources	15	29%	Under Target for FY 2021	while experiences are riigh, they are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Financial Services	16	31%	Under Target for FY 2021	
City Attorney's Office	17	30%	Under Target for FY 2021	
City Clerk's Office	18	38%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Parks & Recreation	19	31%	Under Target for FY 2021	William and the second of the
General Services	20 20	46%	On Target for FY 2021	While expenditures are high, they are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Debt Service Community Development	20 21	42% 25%	On Target for FY 2021 Under Target for FY 2021	
Public Works	22	24%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Economic Development	23	22%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Police	24	37%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Municipal Court	25	28%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Transit Administration	26	0% 0%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Transit Operations Transit Capital Projects Management	26 27	0%	Under Target for FY 2021 Under Target for FY 2021	
Wastewater Administration	27	40%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Wastewater Capital Projects Mgmt.	28	36%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Wastewater Operations	28	27%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Information Technology	29	38%	Under Target for FY 2021	
Total Revenues by Fund				
General Fund	30	57%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Special Revenue Funds:				
Streets Fund	31	49%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Affordable Housing Fund Grants, Donations & Other Funds	31 32	3% 5%	Under Target for FY 2021 Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year. The FY 2021 budget includes \$300,000 of contingent grant revenues in case a significant grant opportunity arises.
Transportation Sales Tax Fund	32	56%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Capital Projects Funds:				
Development Impact Fees Funds	33	17%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Capital Improvements Fund Art in Public Places Fund	34	3%	Under Target for FY 2021 Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year. Revenues are low and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year.
Enterprise Funds:	34	34%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues are low and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year.
Public Transit Enterprise Fund	35	N/A	On Target for FY 2021	
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	35	33%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues are low due to accommodations made for COVID-19 restrictions and timing of receipts but are expected to be on
Internal Comice Frieder				target by the end of the fiscal year.
Internal Service Funds: Info. Tech. Internal Service Fund	36	41%	On Target for FY 2021	
Community Facilities Districts:				
Sedona Summit II	37	5%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues are low due to timing of receipts but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Fairfield	37	25%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues are low due to timing of receipts but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Total Revenues by Type				
Total Revenues	38	47%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
City Sales Taxes	39	59%	On Target for FY 2021	
Bed Taxes	40	78%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
In-Lieu	41 41	4% 30%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Franchise Fees State Sales Taxes	41 42	30% 45%	On Target for FY 2021 Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Urban Revenue Sharing	42	45%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Vehicle License Taxes	43	54%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Highway User	43	49%	Exceeds Target for FY 2021	
Other Intergovernmental	44	2%	Under Target for FY 2021	The FY 2021 budget includes \$300,000 of contingent grant revenues in case a significant grant opportunity arises.
Licenses & Permits Charges for Services	45 46	38% 38%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Charges for Services	40	30%	Under Target for FY 2021	Paid parking fees and wastewater service charges are lower than anticipated but expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
Fines & Forfeitures	47	42%	On Target for FY 2021	Late fees on wastewater billings and court fines are lower than anticipated but expected to be on target by the end of the fisc
B	4-	4001		year.
Development Impact Fees Capacity Fees	48 49	16% 10%	Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year. Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year.
Other Miscellaneous	49 50	38%	Under Target for FY 2021 Under Target for FY 2021	Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year. Revenues do not occur consistently throughout the fiscal year but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Sales Tax Revenues by Category	51			
Sales & Bed Tax Revenues by Month	52			
-				
General Fund Summary	53			
Wastewater Enterprise Fund Summary	54			
A !! 5 d. 0	55			
	-			
All Funds Summary				
All Funds Summary Paid Parking Program Summary	56			
	56 57			
Paid Parking Program Summary				

NAVIGATION TIP: When you click on a link to jump to a different page, you can return to where you were originally by holding the Alt key and pressing the back left arrow key. You can do this as many times as you like to keep backtracking your movement in the document.

Total Gene	eral F	und Expendi	ture	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD penditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	6,290,229	\$	16,799,273	37%		
2018	\$	7,746,418	\$	19,379,409	40%	23%	15%
2019	\$	7,827,238	\$	20,027,537	39%	1%	3%
2020	\$	8,358,665	\$	20,230,645	41%	7%	1%
2021	\$	7,811,944	\$	21,125,099	37%	-7%	4%
YTD Increa	se fr	om FY 2017	to F	Y 2018:			

- (1) The increase was partly due to payments related to the refunds of PSPRS contributions to employees that were deemed to be unconstitutional.
- (2) The allocation to the destination marketing program increases approximately \$217,000 as a result of higher estimated bed tax revenue collections.
- (3) Accrued bond payments are approximately \$310,000 higher than the prior year.
- (4) The increase is also due to a change in allocation of property and liability insurance premium payments. In FY 2017, a portion of the premium was charged directly to the Wastewater Fund. In FY 2018, the premium is allocated based on an indirect cost allocation plan.
- (5) Vacancy savings were experienced in the prior year, in addition to budgeted cost-of-living adjustments of 2.5% and average merit increases of 2.5%, as well as an increase of 30% to the required contributions to PSPRS and a 4% increase in health insurance premiums.
- (6) The increase is also partly due to additional lease payments of approximately \$73,000 for the assigned vehicle program for patrol officers.

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


- (1) The increase was partly due to payments related to the refunds of PSPRS contributions to employees that were deemed to be unconstitutional.
- (2) The allocation to tourism management and development increased approximately \$68,000 as a result of higher estimated bed tax revenue collections.
- (3) Accrued bond payments are approximately \$758,000 higher than the prior year.
- (4) Vacancy savings were experienced in the prior year, in addition to budgeted cost-of-living adjustments of 2.5% and average merit increases of 2.5%, as well as an increase of 30% to the required contributions to PSPRS and a 4% increase in health insurance premiums.
- (5) The increase is also partly due to additional lease payments of approximately \$73,000 for the assigned vehicle program for patrol officers.
- (6) Costs for the startup and ongoing costs of the paid parking program were approximately \$122,000.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Stre	ets F	und Expen	ditu	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	499,774	\$	1,226,595	41%		
2018	\$	264,562	\$	1,181,500	22%	-47%	-4%
2019	\$	18,900	\$	1,032,566	2%	-93%	-13%
2020	\$	21,380	\$	924,656	2%	13%	-10%
2021	\$	20,300	\$	1,205,980	2%	-5%	30%

Increases/Decreases: Much of the activity in the Streets Fund is from paving and maintenance projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year. Annual maintenance expectations average approximately 4.5 to 5.0 miles per year.




Under Target for FY 2021 Total Affordable Housing Fund Exp. % of % Increase -**November YTD** Annual % Increase FY Annual November Expenditures Expenditures* Annual Exp. YTD 2017 N/A 2018 N/A N/A N/A 2019 38.374 38.451 100% 2020 65,559 29% -50% 19,297 \$ 71% 2021 20,685 \$ 1,020,555 2% 7% 1457%

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase includes the addition of a Housing Manager position and contingencies for the implementation of the Affordable Housing program.


Other Increases/Decreases: Due to the nature of the activity in the Affordable Housing Fund, expenditures will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Gra	nts, E	onations &	Ot	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		November YTD Expenditures		Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	109,616	\$	314,560	35%		
2018	\$	111,909	\$	201,703	55%	2%	-36%
2019	\$	18,548	\$	84,724	22%	-83%	-58%
2020	\$	16,652	\$	274,711	6%	-10%	224%
2021	\$	22,334	\$	1,026,573	2%	34%	274%

Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Grants & Donations Funds is based on the funding awarded and received during the year so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.




Transportation Sales Tax Fund

Total Trai	nspor	tation Sale	s T	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	552	0%	N/A	∞
2019	\$	6,638	\$	63,684	10%	∞	11442%
2020	\$	24,407	\$	42,018	58%	268%	-34%
2021	\$	44,680	\$	126,750	35%	83%	202%

The decrease is a result of a vacancy that was frozen as a result of the impacts of the COVID-19 financial crisis.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase is a result of a vacancy savings in the prior year and the addition of costs for travel time data collection.




^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Dev	elop.	Impact Fee	es E	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual openditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	54,500	\$	839,927	6%		
2018	\$	259,981	\$	284,626	91%	377%	-66%
2019	\$	18,750	\$	70,926	26%	-93%	-75%
2020	\$	67,502	\$	862,063	8%	260%	1115%
2021	\$	149,705	\$	1,404,417	11%	122%	63%

Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Development Impact Fees Funds is based on the timing of budgeted capital improvement projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.


For FY 2021, budgeted expenditures include approximately \$860,000 for Sedona in Motion projects.



Under Target for FY 2021 Total Capital Improvements Fund Exp. % of % Increase -**November YTD** Annual % Increase FY November Annual Expenditures Expenditures* Annual Exp. YTD 2017 280,761 \$ 2,677,559 10% 2018 265,990 \$ 4,199,954 6% -5% 57% 29% 7% 2019 343.725 4,481,715 8% 1,549,299 351% 65% 2020 7,377,124 21% 2021 1,256,391 \$ 11,328,382 11% -19% 54%

Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Capital Improvements Fund is based on the timing of budgeted capital improvement projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.

For FY 2021, budgeted expenditures include approximately \$6.5 million for Sedona in Motion projects and \$2.6 million for other streets and transportation projects.




^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Art	in Pub	lic Places	Fur	On Target for FY 2021			
FY		mber YTD enditures	E>	Annual cpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A


Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Art in Public Places Fund is based on the timing of budgeted arts projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.

For FY 2021, no capital improvement were budgeted.



Total Po	ublic 1	ransit Enter	pri	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		ovember YTD xpenditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	-	\$	137,850	0%	N/A	∞

The Public Transit Enterprise Fund was initiated in FY 2021.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Was	tewa	ater Enterpr	ise	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD xpenditures	Annual Expenditures*		% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	4,797,049	\$	10,625,910	45%		
2018	\$	3,194,084	\$	9,924,662	32%	-33%	-7%
2019	\$	3,331,862	\$	10,128,594	33%	4%	2%
2020	\$	3,689,098	\$	13,049,485	28%	11%	29%
2021	\$	3,832,232	\$	11,281,630	34%	4%	-14%

YTD Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) The decrease is largely due to the expenditures incurred for the injection well drilling in the prior year.
- (2) The debt service costs are approximately \$307,000 lower and are based on the monthly accruals of scheduled bond principal and interest payments.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:


- (1) The increase is largely due to expenditures incurred for the administration building remodel and the initiation of the tertiary filter upgrades.
- (2) The increase is also due to the down payment for a one-time purchase of a cattail cutter.
- (3) In addition, the debt service costs are approximately \$104,000 higher and are based on the monthly accruals of scheduled bond principal payments.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) The increase is largely due to capital improvement expenditures incurred for the administration building remodel, the initiation of the tertiary filter upgrades, initiation of the SR179 sewer main replacement, initiation of the grit reclassifier replacement, and the continuation of the Mystic Hills and Chapel lift stations improvements.
- (2) The increase is also partly due to one-time capital purchases of a closed-circuit television van, a cattail cutter, and an air curtain burner.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) Budgeted capital improvement expenditures decreased by approximately \$2.2 million. Projects include replacement of a sewer main, a force main value, a reservoir liner, and an HVAC system; lift station upgrades, and an upgrade of the computerized plant control system.
- (2) The decrease is also due to significant one-time capital purchases in the prior year.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Info	. Tecl	h. Internal S	Svc.	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of % Increase Annual November Exp. YTD		% Increase • Annual	
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A			
2018	\$	619,390	\$	1,699,824	36%	∞	∞	
2019	\$	617,551	\$	1,764,525	35%	<-1%	4%	
2020	\$	546,453	\$	1,541,849	35%	-12%	-13%	
2021	\$	633,379	\$	1,675,424	38%	16%	9%	


The Information Technology Internal Service Fund was initiated in FY 2018.

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease is primarily due to delays in equipment replacement and expenditure freezes during the COVID-19 financial crisis.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The increase is partly due to timing of annual hardware replacements and software maintenance and licensing costs.
- (2) The increase is also due to the purchase of cameras for the Skate Park.




^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total CFL	o - Se	dona Sumn	nit I	On Target for FY 2021			
FY		November YTD Expenditures		Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	33,757	\$	119,131	28%		
2018	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	-100%	-100%
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$	14,428	0%	N/A	∞
2021	\$	47,762	\$	50,000	96%	∞	247%

Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Sedona Summit II Community Facilities District is based on the timing of budgeted capital improvement projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.

For FY 2021, capital improvement projects include improvements to the Brewer Road property.




On Target for FY 2021: The percentage of annual expenditures is high for five months of the fiscal year (96% actual compared to five-month budget of 42%). Capital improvement costs do not occur consistently throughout the year and will be within budget for FY 2021.

Total CFL) - Fai	rfield Expe	ndi	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	823,191	\$	934,239	88%		
2018	\$	25,659	\$	90,207	28%	-97%	-90%
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	-100%	-100%
2020	\$	160,000	\$	160,000	100%	∞	∞
2021	\$	_	\$	126.000	0%	-100%	-21%


Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Fairfield Community Facilities District is based on the timing of budgeted capital improvement projects so spending will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.

For FY 2021, capital improvement projects include improvements at the Brewer Road property.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Total Exp.	(excl	. Cap. Impr. &	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	10,290,841	\$	26,917,407	38%		
2018	\$	11,199,721	\$	28,830,925	39%	9%	7%
2019	\$	11,065,338	\$	28,986,656	38%	-1%	1%
2020	\$	11,752,952	\$	29,817,338	39%	6%	3%
2021	\$	11,129,914	\$	32,511,968	34%	-5%	9%



City Coun	cil Ex	penditures	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	19,645	\$	60,524	32%		
2018	\$	26,243	\$	64,087	41%	34%	6%
2019	\$	24,803	\$	63,929	39%	-5%	<-1%
2020	\$	27,078	\$	59,415	46%	9%	-7%
2021	\$	16,703	\$	65,375	26%	-38%	10%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase is partly due to an increase in Travel & Training costs and vacancy savings


YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease was partly due to the result of timing differences in payroll accruals and one Council member who declined the stipend due to the impacts of the COVID-19 financial crisis.
- (2) The decrease was also due to the cancellation of the annual League conference and other events.



Budget capacity was maintained for Meals and Professional Services that were restricted during the COVID-19 pandemic.

^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.



City Mana	ger's	Office Expen	On Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	286,399	\$	878,130	33%		
2018	\$	1,375,454	\$	2,965,716	46%	380%	238%
2019	\$	1,409,106	\$	3,034,193	46%	2%	2%
2020	\$	1,658,566	\$	3,293,022	50%	18%	9%
2021	\$	1,560,431	\$	3,602,035	43%	-6%	9%


YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The Tourism Management & Development costs were moved from General Services to the City Manager's Office budget, and the Economic Development program was moved to a separate department.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) The increase was partly due to an increase in the Chamber contract for tourism management & development costs.
- (2) The increase is also due to the transfer of sustainability costs from other departments including participation in the Oak Creek Watershed Council and the U.S. Forest Service trails maintenance agreement.

On Target for FY 2021: The percentage of annual expenditures is high for five months of the fiscal year (43% actual compared to five-month budget of 42%) due to the Tourism & Development program costs paid semiannually. Based on the timing and size of these payments, the City Manager's Office expenditures are on track for FY 2021.



YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was largely due to training costs for the implementation of the City's Lean Six Sigma program.


YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease was largely due to training costs for the implementation of the City's Lean Six Sigma program.
- (2) The decrease was also due to hiring freezes and expenditure freezes as a result of the unknown financial impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.



Budget capacity was maintained for recruitment/relocation, employee exams costs, and employee appreciation programs.





^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Financial	Servi	ces Expendit	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	323,497	\$	859,666	38%		
2018	\$	344,664	\$	995,149	35%	7%	16%
2019	\$	440,796	\$	1,190,722	37%	28%	20%
2020	\$	498,438	\$	1,190,451	42%	13%	<-1%
2021	\$	396,550	\$	1,264,870	31%	-20%	6%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- Service charges were moved from General Services to the Financial Services
 Department and increased to account for service charges applicable to the new
 paid parking program.
- (2) Vacancy savings were experienced in FY 2017.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) Vacancy savings were experienced in FY 2018.
- (2) Service charges increased due to an increase in the amount charged by the state for processing sales tax payments, the implementation of remittance processing for utility bills, and a reduction of compensating balances for pooled investments.

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:


- (1) Vacancy savings were experienced in FY 2018.
- (2) Service charges increased due to an increase in the amount charged by the state for processing sales tax payments, the implementation of remittance processing for utility bills, and a reduction of compensating balances for pooled investments.
- (3) Professional services increased due to one-time costs for a wastewater rate study and implementation of report writing software.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) A part-time Administrative Assistant position was added.
- (2) One-time costs associated with wastewater rate study, biennial development impact fee audit, and implementation of report writing software were incurred.
- (3) There were timing differences in payments for both the financial audit and sales tax audits, as well as postage reloads with the utility bill printing vendor.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease was largely due to vacancy savings due to freezes in place as a result of the COVID-19 financial crisis.
- (2) The decrease was partly due to a reduction in the sales tax audit contract.
- (3) The decrease was also partly due to one-time professional services costs for a wastewater rate study and implementation of report writing software in the prior year.
- (4) The decrease was also the result of reduced merchant service charges for the Uptown paid parking program that was suspended during construction and COVID-19 restrictions.
- (4) The decrease was also the result of timing difference in costs for the City's annual financial audit and postage.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

City Attor	ney's	Office Expen	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E:	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	169,664	\$	548,304	31%		
2018	\$	209,719	\$	563,398	37%	24%	3%
2019	\$	232,401	\$	546,348	43%	11%	-3%
2020	\$	261,111	\$	656,569	40%	12%	20%
2021	\$	233,529	\$	782,760	30%	-11%	19%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase is primarily due to costs associated with claims for sewage cleanup and vacancy savings incurred in the prior year.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was primarily due to costs associated with City Hall flood damages.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase is primarily due to restructuring of the Associate City Attorney position to an Assistant City Attorney position.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) The increase is largely due to restructuring of the Associate City Attorney position to an Assistant City Attorney position.
- (2) The increase is also due to increases in deductibles for legal claims.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease is largely due to vacancy savings.
- $(2) \ The \ decrease is also \ due \ to \ a \ one-time \ purchase \ of \ risk \ management \ software \ in \ the \ prior \ year.$

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The estimated increase is partly due to vacancy savings in the prior year.
- (2) Budgeted capacity was also included for contracted legal services.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Under Target for FY 2021 City Clerk's Office Expenditures % Increase -% of **November YTD Annual** % Increase FY Annual November **Expenditures Expenditures*** Annual **YTD** Exp. 2017 106,875 \$ 265,657 40% 2018 93,408 \$ 251,368 37% -13% -5% 2019 114,621 \$ 301,095 38% 23% 20% 106.298 \$ 40% -7% -12% 2020 266.079 8% 2021 \$ 115,090 \$ 304,485 38% 14%

YTD Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

FY2017 was an election year.

YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:


The increase is largely due to election costs.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease is primarily due to election costs in the prior year.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase is largely due to election costs and budget capacity for code updates.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Parks & Recreation Expenditures

Parks & R	recrea	tion ⊑ xpenai	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		Annual Expenditures*		% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	240,781	\$	608,478	40%		·
2018	\$	232,063	\$	605,545	38%	-4%	<-1%
2019	\$	292,646	\$	679,128	43%	26%	12%
2020	\$	315,698	\$	613,460	51%	8%	-10%
2021	\$	257,916	\$	819,190	31%	-18%	34%

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) The increase is partly due to payments for holiday decorations authorized from the paid parking monies designated for Uptown improvements.
- (2) The increase is also due to timing differences in other special events payments. *Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:*
- (1) An increase in donations allowed for an increase in special events costs.
- (2) The Uptown merchants requested \$40,000 be added to the holiday decorations budget from the paid parking monies designated for Uptown improvements.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease was primarily due to the cancellation of special events and recreation programs and the closure of the public swimming pool during the COVID-19 pandemic.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease was primarily due to the cancellation of special events and recreation programs and the closure of the public swimming pool during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase included budget capacity for the resumption of special events and recreation programs and the reopening of the public swimming pool following the COVID-19 pandemic.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

General S	Servi	ces Expend	On Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	1,880,872	\$	4,209,363	45%		
2018	\$	951,919	\$	1,747,264	54%	-49%	-58%
2019	\$	746,597	\$	1,573,590	47%	-22%	-10%
2020	\$	817,482	\$	1,712,571	48%	9%	9%
2021	\$	731,648	\$	1,588,910	46%	-10%	-7%

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) The debt service costs were moved to a separate departmental code in the general
- (2) Tourism Management & Development costs were moved to the City Manager's Office budget.

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The decrease is primarily due to payments related to the prior year refunds of PSPRS contributions to employees that were deemed to be unconstitutional.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease is primarily due to a reduction in the small grants program due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.

On Target for FY 2021: The percentage of annual expenditures is high for five months of the fiscal year (46% actual compared to five-month budget of 42%). Community service contracts are paid semiannually while property and casualty insurance premiums are paid quarterly. Based on the timing and size of these payments, the General Services Department expenditures are on track for FY 2021.

\$5,000,000

\$4.500.000

\$4,000,000 \$3,500,000

\$3,000,000

\$2,500,000

\$2,000,000

\$1,500,000

\$1,000,000

\$500,000

2017


2018

2019

Fiscal Year


2020

2021



FY	November YTD Expenditures		Ex	Annual penditures*	Annual Exp.	November YTD	% Increase - Annual	
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A			
2018	\$	2,431,928	\$	5,853,030	42%	∞	∞	
2019	\$	2,437,687	\$	5,864,449	42%	<1%	<1%	
2020	\$	2,383,019	\$	5,726,266	42%	-2%	-2%	
2021	\$	2,382,115	\$	5,725,335	42%	<-1%	<-1%	

Debt Service costs were moved to a separate departmental code in the general ledger starting in FY 2018.



Debt Service

■ November YTD One-

■November YTD Ongoing

Time

Total Annual

Expenditures*

Ongoing Annual Expenditures*

^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Commun	ity D	evelopment	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	529,861	\$	1,576,171	34%		
2018	\$	615,296	\$	1,550,218	40%	16%	-2%
2019	\$	611,573	\$	1,468,592	42%	-1%	-5%
2020	\$	570,948	\$	1,676,732	34%	-7%	14%
2021	\$	541,167	\$	2,125,360	25%	-5%	27%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


The increase is primarily due to Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) expenditures. The grant is on a different fiscal year, and some of the final expenditures were incurred in FY 2018.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase is due to a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) award.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase includes the addition of a Housing Manager position, a CDBG award, additional capacity for contracted reviews, and an increase in costs for monitoring of short-term rentals.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Public Wo	rks E	Expenditures	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E:	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	1,605,864	\$	4,397,351	37%		
2018	\$	1,589,929	\$	4,705,978	34%	-1%	7%
2019	\$	1,272,740	\$	4,554,481	28%	-20%	-3%
2020	\$	1,490,735	\$	4,587,899	32%	17%	1%
2021	\$	1,278,995	\$	5,331,891	24%	-14%	16%

YTD Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) The decrease was partly due to one-time capital purchases in the prior year for a hot box for the Streets program, replacement of the Posse Grounds Hub roof, and a variable message sign for the Transportation Services program.
- (2) The decrease was also partly due to the timing of streets maintenance and facilities maintenance costs.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:


- (1) The increase is partly due to one-time facilities maintenance costs including replacement of the pergola in the City Hall courtyard, roof repairs, small remodel projects, and other miscellaneous facilities projects.
- (2) The increase is also partly due to vacancy savings incurred in the prior year.
- (3) The increase is also due to timing of streets maintenance costs.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease is a result of freezes in place as a result of the COVID-19 financial crisis including timing of streets and drainage maintenance, reduction of landscaping maintenance in street medians and rights-of-way, and delays in facilities maintenance projects.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

Budgeted increases include added capacity for road rehabilitation/pavement preservation and drainage maintenance.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Economic	Deve	lopment Exp	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Expenditures		E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	59,078	\$	169,978	35%	∞	∞
2019	\$	67,962	\$	215,831	31%	15%	27%
2020	\$	87,341	\$	220,819	40%	29%	2%
2021	\$	64,095	\$	293,707	22%	-27%	33%

The Economic Development program was moved to its own department in FY 2018.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was primarily due to salaries and benefits increases.

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was due to expenditures related to the Rural Business Development Grant and additional program marketing.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) The increase was partly due to costs associated with the AmeriCorps volunteer position.
- (2) The increase was also due to the timing of support payments for VVREO.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease was party due to timing of costs associated with the AmeriCorps volunteer position due to freezes in place as a result of the COVID-19 financial crisis.
- (2) The decrease was partly due to the timing of support payments for VVREO.
- (3) The decrease was also partly due to reductions in workshop and event costs, as well as travel & training, as a result of the expenditure freezes in place due to the COVID-19 financial crisis.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase is primarily due to adding capacity for marketing and professional services for entrepreneurial and business assistance.




^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Police Exp	oendi	itures	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		vember YTD xpenditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	1,416,797	\$	4,080,748	35%		
2018	\$	1,710,448	\$	4,618,303	37%	21%	13%
2019	\$	1,723,379	\$	4,888,499	35%	1%	6%
2020	\$	1,806,024	\$	5,017,771	36%	5%	3%
2021	\$	1,888,227	\$	5,098,271	37%	5%	2%

YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) Vacancy savings were experienced in FY 2017.
- (2) The PSPRS required contribution rate increased approximately 30%.
- (3) The increase is also partly due to additional lease payments for the completion of the assigned vehicle program for patrol officers.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Municipal	Cour	t Expenditure	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		vember YTD penditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	109,366	\$	322,022	34%		
2018	\$	119,145	\$	358,670	33%	9%	11%
2019	\$	150,743	\$	383,746	39%	27%	7%
2020	\$	170,447	\$	416,255	41%	13%	8%

536,540

28%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

150,397 \$

2021

- (1) Salary and benefit costs were approximately \$17,000 higher partly due to vacancy savings experienced in FY 2017.
- (2) Court appointed attorney costs were approximately \$22,000 higher.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was primarily a result of the increase of a Court Clerk position from parttime to full-time.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) Salary and benefit costs were approximately \$8,000 higher partly due to vacancy savings experienced in FY 2019.
- (2) Court-appointed attorney costs were approximately \$8,000 higher.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

(1) The decrease is partly due to vacancy savings due to positions frozen in response to the unknown financial impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.


-12%

29%

(2) The decrease is also partly due to a reduction in court-appointed attorney costs.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:


The estimated increase is primarily due to the increase in the Magistrate Judge position from part-time to full-time and the addition of a Court Security Officer.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Transit A	dminist	ration Expe	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		ember YTD enditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	_	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	_	\$	104,013	0%	N/A	∞

The Transit Administration program was created in FY 2021.



Transit O	peration	s Expendit	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		mber YTD enditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	-	\$	2,500	0%	N/A	∞


The Transit Operations program was created in FY 2021.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Transit Ca	apital F	rojects Mgr	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		ember YTD enditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	_	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$	-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	-	\$	31,338	0%	N/A	∞

The Transit Capital Projects Management program was created in FY 2021.



Wastewater Administration


Wastewat	er Ad	ministration l	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY		vember YTD openditures	E	Annual spenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	2,255,095	\$	5,465,854	41%		·
2018	\$	93,129	\$	218,100	43%	-96%	-96%
2019	\$	97,134	\$	250,153	39%	4%	15%
2020	\$	102,777	\$	220,819	47%	6%	-12%
2021	\$	85,937	\$	217,390	40%	-16%	-2%

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (2) The decrease was also partly due to one-time miscellaneous expenditures in the prior year related to the Admin building remodel.

The decrease was primarily due to timing of maintenance expenditures for the Administration Building in the prior year.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Under Target for FY 2021 Wastewater Capital Projects Mgmt Exp. % of % Increase · % Increase **November YTD Annual** FY Annual November **Expenditures* Expenditures** Annual Exp. YTD 2017 28,015 \$ 64,796 43% 2018 20.825 \$ 57.580 36% -26% -11% 23.781 \$ 58.376 41% 14% 1% 2019 2020 33,165 \$ 79.773 42% 39% 37% 2021 29,222 \$ 81,460 36% -12% 2%

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


The decrease is primarily due to vacancy savings in FY 2018.

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The increase is primarily due to change in allocations of positions to the Capital Projects Management program.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease is primarily due to vacancy savings due to positions frozen in response to the unknown financial impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.



Wastewa	ter O	perations E	Und	er Target for I	Y 2021		
FY		vember YTD penditures	E	Annual xpenditures*	% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	758,200	\$	2,241,279	34%		
2018	\$	733,293	\$	2,607,751	28%	-3%	16%
2019	\$	816,205	\$	2,382,350	34%	11%	-9%
2020	\$	825,909	\$	2,584,129	32%	1%	8%
2021	\$	749,622	\$	2,748,530	27%	-9%	6%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase is largely a result of a generator replacement and rental.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase is due to increases for irrigation maintenance, biosolids disposal, and sewer line cleaning/repairs.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.

Inf	ormatic	n Te	chnology Exp	Under Target for FY 2021				
	FY	November YTD Expenditures		Annual Expenditures*		% of Annual Exp.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
	2017	\$	465,196	\$	1,083,123	43%		
	2018	\$	507,072	\$	1,238,666	41%	9%	14%
	2019	\$	511,740	\$	1,284,242	40%	1%	4%
	2020	\$	489,018	\$	1,237,573	40%	-4%	-4%
	2021	\$	559,684	\$	1,485,619	38%	14%	20%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


- (1) Hardware purchases included replacement of a server and a storage area network.
- (2) A generator failed during the year and needed to be replaced.
- (3) Software purchases included a migration to Microsoft 365 and budget automation software.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The increase is partly due to timing of annual hardware replacements and software maintenance and licensing costs.
- (2) The increase is also due to the purchase of cameras for the Skate Park.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The budgeted increase is primarily due to estimated increases in software maintenance and licensing costs, server upgrades, and network refreshes.



^{*} For current year, Annual Expenditures represent total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Expenditures represent total actual.


Total Gene	ral F	und Revenue	Exceeds Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	9,635,677	\$ 25,135,539	38%		
2018	\$	10,774,513	\$ 27,601,469	39%	12%	10%
2019	\$	11,258,527	\$ 29,367,300	38%	4%	6%
2020	\$	12,363,475	\$ 27,474,636	45%	10%	-6%
2021	\$	13,744,813	\$ 24,324,110	57%	11%	-11%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) City sales taxes increased 8% and bed tax revenues increased 18%. The increases are partly due to increases in tourism categories, as well as increases due to the change in legislation regarding short-term rentals effective January 1, 2017.
- (2) In addition, the paid parking program was initiated the end of June 2017. Charges for services include additional revenues related to the program of approximately \$220.000.

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

(1) City sales taxes increased 13% and bed tax revenues increased 16%. The increases are partly due to increases in tourism categories, as well as increases due to the change in legislation regarding short-term rentals effective January 1, 2017.



(2) In addition, the paid parking program was initiated the end of June 2017. Charges for services include additional revenues related to the program of approximately \$565,000. YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

City sales taxes increased 10% and bed tax revenues increased 17%.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

City sales taxes increased 11% and bed tax revenues increased 17%.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated decrease is a result of sales and bed tax projections based on assumed impact of the COVID-19 financial crisis.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Stre	ets F	und Reven	Exceeds Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*		% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	372,709	\$	950,751	39%		
2018	\$	412,200	\$	960,751	43%	11%	1%
2019	\$	422,368	\$	1,032,078	41%	2%	7%
2020	\$	629,039	\$	1,188,185	53%	49%	15%
2021	\$	455,732	\$	933,060	49%	-28%	-21%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


An unbudgeted one-time additional State funding for FY 2018 resulted in an extra \$35,343 for Sedona.

YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to a one-time state allocation of \$18 million to cities and towns for street and highway projects. Funds must be spent in the same manner as Highway User revenues.

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:


The decrease was primarily due to a one-time state allocation in the prior year.



Total Affo	rdabl	e Housing	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		ember YTD evenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	19,800	\$ 19,800	100%		
2018	\$	-	\$ 98	0%	-100%	-100%
2019	\$	1,493	\$ 644,214	<1%	∞	658403%
2020	\$	9,655	\$ 38,627	25%	547%	-94%
2021	\$	5,896	\$ 205,280	3%	-39%	431%

Increases/Decreases: Due to the nature of the activity of the Affordable Housing Fund, revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.

Under Target for FY 2021: A significant one-time Affordable Housing in lieu fee was budgeted but not expected until late in the fiscal year. Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, revenues are low but expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Gra	nts, D	onations &	? O	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	38,906	\$	289,608	13%		
2018	\$	128,627	\$	191,726	67%	231%	-34%
2019	\$	66,507	\$	126,649	53%	-48%	-34%

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

36,502 \$

42,457 \$

2020

2021


\$

The increase was primarily due to one-time AZCares Act funding for revenue losses during the COVID-19 financial crisis.

1,487,947

798,330

Other Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Grants & Donations Funds is based on the funding awarded and received during the year so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.



Under Target for FY 2021: The FY 2021 budget includes \$300,000 of contingent grant revenues in case a significant grant opportunity arises.

-45%

16%

5%

1075%

-46%

Total Trai	ıspo	rtation Sale	Exceeds Target for FY 2021				
FY		November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$	-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$	1,045,367	0%	N/A	∞
2019	\$	1,180,091	\$	3,062,947	39%	∞	193%
2020	\$	1,344,510	\$	2,939,033	46%	14%	-4%
2021	\$	1,465,758	\$	2,604,800	56%	9%	-11%


The Transportation Sales Tax Fund was initiated in FY 2018.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to an increase in sales tax revenue collections.

*Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated decrease is a result of projections based on assumed impact of the COVID-19 financial crisis.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

i otai Dev	еюр.	Impact Fee	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	478,432	\$ 654,256	73%		
2018	\$	64,353	\$ 255,051	25%	-87%	-61%
2019	\$	135,273	\$ 384,847	35%	110%	51%
2020	\$	127,621	\$ 548,418	23%	-6%	43%
2021	\$	105,684	\$ 632,740	17%	-17%	15%

Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


The decrease was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of the new Marriott facility and the new CVS in the prior year.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of a large multifamily development.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of two large commercial developments.



Other Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Development Impact Fees Funds is based on development and permitting so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year. As the City approaches build-out, these revenues are expected to decrease.


Under Target for FY 2021: Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Under Target for FY 2021 Total Capital Improvements Fund Rev. % of % Increase -% Increase **November YTD** Annual FY November **Annual** Revenues Revenues* Annual YTD Rev. 863.346 15% 2017 132,522 \$ 1,168,259 14% 35% 2018 165,390 \$ 25% 2019 1,386,445 -31% 19% 114,908 \$ 8% 2020 756.029 19% 25% -45% 143.217 \$ 2021 \$ 41,791 \$ 1,234,454 3% -71% 63%

Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Capital Improvements Fund is based on the timing of budgeted capital improvement projects and the receipt of funding designated for those projects so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.


Under Target for FY 2021: Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low but expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.



Total Art in	Publ	ic Places Fu	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*		% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	6,269	\$	6,528	96%		
2018	\$	88	\$	98	90%	-99%	-99%
2019	\$	549	\$	3,536	16%	525%	3523%
2020	\$	1,020	\$	3,478	29%	86%	-2%
2021	\$	424	\$	1,250	34%	-58%	-64%

Increases/Decreases: The Art in Public Places Fund relies primarily on transfers from other funds. Minimal revenues are received, and for several years have only consisted of interest earnings, with the exception of FY 2017 when a contribution was received in lieu of the City's public art requirement.


Under Target for FY 2021: Due to the reduction in interest rates, year-to-date revenues are low and may not reach target by the end of the fiscal year.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Publ	ic Tran	sit Enterpri	se		On Target for FY 2021			
FY		ember YTD evenues		Annual Revenues*		% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$		-	N/A		
2018	\$	-	\$		-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019	\$	-	\$		-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2020	\$	-	\$		-	N/A	N/A	N/A
2021	\$	-	\$		-	N/A	N/A	N/A

The Public Transit Enterprise Fund was initiated in FY 2021.




Total Wast	ewat	er Enterprise	Under Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*		% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase Annual
2017	\$	3,393,464	\$	7,180,562	47%		
2018	\$	2,716,504	\$	7,195,914	38%	-20%	<1%
2019	\$	2,908,083	\$	7,398,305	39%	7%	3%
2020	\$	2,959,524	\$	7,489,953	40%	2%	1%
2021	\$	2,654,668	\$	8,019,680	33%	-10%	7%

YTD Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The decrease was primarily due to one-time significant capacity fees received in the prior year.

YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The decrease was partly due to a decrease in capacity fees received compared to the prior year.
- (2) The decrease was also due to accommodations made to customers as a result of COVID-19 restrictions.



Under Target for FY 2021: Revenues are low due to accommodations made to customers as a result of the COVID-19 restrictions and timing of collections of capacity fees; however, revenues are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Revenues by Fund


Total Info. Tech. Internal Svc. Fund Rev

i otai iiiio.	rec	cn. miernai s	On Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD Revenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	-	\$ -	N/A		
2018	\$	704,344	\$ 1,705,824	41%	∞	∞
2019	\$	708,894	\$ 1,795,609	39%	1%	5%
2020	\$	654,954	\$ 1,580,839	41%	-8%	-12%
2021	\$	726.117	\$ 1.761.300	41%	11%	11%

The Information Technology Internal Service Fund was initiated in FY 2018.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

Revenues are low due to lower than anticipated indirect cost allocations to the fund creating lower expenditures and, therefore, lower charges allocated to the benefitting programs.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Revenues by Fund


Total CFD - Sedona Summit II Revenues

TOTAL CED	<i>-</i> 3ec	Jona Summ	Und	ier Target for F	Y 2021	
FY		ember YTD evenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	1,600	\$ 49,312	3%		
2018	\$	187	\$ 48,910	<1%	-88%	-1%
2019	\$	1,896	\$ 58,332	3%	912%	19%
2020	\$	2,846	\$ 54,232	5%	50%	-7%
2021	\$	2,650	\$ 51,030	5%	-7%	-6%


Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase in revenues was due to interest earnings.

Under Target for FY 2021: The CFD in lieu fees are received quarterly, with the largest payments typically in the second and third quarters of the fiscal year. Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low but expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.




CFD - Fairfield



Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The decrease is partly due to the timing of in lieu fees for the Community Facilities Districts. Approximately \$30,000 of FY 2016 revenue was recognized in FY 2017 due to the lateness of receipt.**

Under Target for FY 2021: The CFD in lieu fees are received quarterly, with the



\$160,000

\$140,000

\$120,000

\$100,000

\$80,000

\$60,000

\$40,000

\$20,000

2017

2018

2019

Fiscal Year

2020

2021



November YTD One-

November YTD Ongoing

■■Total Annual Revenues*

Ongoing Annual

Revenues*

Time

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Total Rev	enu	es	Exceeds Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD Revenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	14,109,889	\$ 35,302,858	40%		
2018	\$	14,966,781	\$ 40,293,974	37%	6%	14%
2019	\$	16,829,249	\$ 45,384,586	37%	12%	13%
2020	\$	18,303,921	\$ 43,685,873	42%	9%	-4%
2021	\$	19,277,077	\$ 40,689,374	47%	5%	-7%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:


The most significant increases were in the categories of sales tax, bed tax, charges for services, and other miscellaneous revenues.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The most significant increases were in the categories of sales tax, bed tax, and other miscellaneous revenues.

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The most significant increases were in the categories of sales tax, bed tax, in lieu, and other miscellaneous revenues.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

City Sales	Tax	Revenues	On Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	6,471,906	\$ 16,268,459	40%		
2018	\$	6,958,273	\$ 18,393,517	38%	8%	13%
2019	\$	8,479,204	\$ 21,381,693	40%	22%	16%
2020	\$	9,341,235	\$ 20,119,580	46%	10%	-6%
2021	\$	10 371 925	\$ 17 509 500	50%	11%	-13%

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase was partly due to the increase in the sales tax rate for transportation projects.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was partly due to the increase in the sales tax rate for transportation projects. In addition, the most significant increases were in the Retail, Hotel/Motel, and Communications & Utilities categories.

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was partly due to the increase in the sales tax rate for transportation projects. In addition, the most significant increases were in the Hotel/Motel, Communications & Utilities, and Amusements & Other categories.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:


The most significant increases were in the Hotel/Motel and Amusements & Other categories.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The most significant increases were in the Retail, Restaurant & Bar, Hotel/Motel and Construction categories.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated decrease is a result of projections based on assumed impact of the COVID-19 financial crisis.



See City Sales Tax Revenues by Category and City Sales Taxes by Month for more information.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Bed Tax R	even	ues	Exceeds Target for FY 2021			
FY	November YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	1,433,211	\$ 3,811,727	38%		
2018	\$	1,694,531	\$ 4,431,680	38%	18%	16%
2019	\$	1,823,115	\$ 4,788,239	38%	8%	8%
2020	\$	2,140,251	\$ 4,160,184	51%	17%	-13%
2021	\$	2,504,798	\$ 3,199,900	78%	17%	-23%

YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

A portion of the increase represents an increase as a result of the change in legislation regarding short-term residential rentals.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was partially a result of increased year-to-date average daily room rates and occupancy rates, in addition to continued impacts resulting from changes in legislation regarding short-term residential rentals.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease was a result of the COVID-19 closures and slightly reduced activity after the closures were lifted.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The increase is a result of higher average daily room rates, as well as the impacts of transient occupancy types not captured in the hotel occupancy rate.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated decrease is a result of projections based on assumed impact of the COVID-19 financial crisis.



See Bed Taxes by Month for more information.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

In Lieu Re	venue	s	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		ember YTD evenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	57,220	\$ 686,301	8%		
2018	\$	-	\$ 643,087	0%	-100%	-6%
2019	\$	30,259	\$ 1,280,721	2%	∞	99%
2020	\$	30,836	\$ 670,736	5%	2%	-48%
2021	\$	32,433	\$ 866,700	4%	5%	29%

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:


The increase is primarily due to the one-time receipt of significant Affordable Housing in lieu revenues.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease is primarily due to the one-time receipt of significant Affordable Housing in lieu revenues in the prior year.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:


The estimated increase is due to the anticipated one-time receipt of significant Affordable Housing in lieu revenues.



Under Target for FY 2021: The in lieu fees are received quarterly, with the largest payments typically in the second and third quarters of the fiscal year. In addition, a significant one-time Affordable Housing in lieu fee was budgeted but not expected until late in the fiscal year. Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low but expected to be under target at the end of the fiscal year.

Franchise	Fee F	Revenues	On Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD Revenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	221,090	\$ 783,413	28%		
2018	\$	223,873	\$ 822,122	27%	1%	5%
2019	\$	225,953	\$ 810,916	28%	1%	-1%
2020	\$	233,315	\$ 809,674	29%	3%	<-1%
2021	\$	252,583	\$ 849,600	30%	8%	5%


On Target for FY 2021: The franchise fees are primarily received quarterly. Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low but on target for this point in the fiscal year.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.


State Sale	s Tax	Revenues	Exceeds Target for FY 2021				
FY		vember YTD Revenues	Anr	nual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	377,848	\$	950,879	40%		
2018	\$	393,487	\$	998,202	39%	4%	5%
2019	\$	411,613	\$	1,039,635	40%	5%	4%
2020	\$	431,368	\$	1,067,529	40%	5%	3%
2021	\$	444,789	\$	985,600	45%	3%	-8%

Increases/Decreases: State sales taxes are based on state-wide sales tax collections allocated to each of the cities and towns primarily based on population.



Urban Rev	/enue	Sharing Re	Exceeds Target for FY 2021				
FY		rember YTD Revenues	Ann	ual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	529,879	\$	1,270,897	42%		
2018	\$	536,570	\$	1,287,767	42%	1%	1%
2019	\$	521,532	\$	1,251,688	42%	-3%	-3%
2020	\$	556,861	\$	1,336,465	42%	7%	7%
2021	\$	615,661	\$	1,375,800	45%	11%	3%


Increases/Decreases: Urban Revenue Sharing is state-shared income taxes. The State provides a preliminary estimate of each city's and town's allocation, which is based on population.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Vehicle Lie	cense	e Tax Revent	Exceeds Target for FY 2021				
FY	November YTD Revenues		Anr	nual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	249,059	\$	606,030	41%		
2018	\$	270,618	\$	642,895	42%	9%	6%
2019	\$	286,349	\$	662,934	43%	6%	3%
2020	\$	287,505	\$	664,581	43%	<1%	<1%
2021	\$	338,585	\$	627,900	54%	18%	-6%

Increases/Decreases: Vehicle license taxes are shared with counties and municipalities and allocated primarily based on population. The amount can fluctuate based on vehicle sales each year.




Highway User Revenues **Exceeds Target for FY 2021** % of % Increase -**November YTD** % Increase FY Annual Revenues* Annual November Revenues Annual YTD Rev. 372,708 \$ 2017 \$ 949,028 39% 2018 \$ 411.764 \$ 958.278 43% 10% 1% 2019 \$ 417,896 \$ 988,814 42% 1% 3% 2020 \$ 422.176 \$ 956,340 44% 1% -3% \$ 451,466 \$ 7% -4% 2021 919.200 49%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

An unbudgeted one-time additional State funding for FY 2018 resulted in an extra \$35,343 for Sedona.

Other Increases/Decreases: The activity of the Highway User revenues is based on gasoline sales within each county and across the state and allocated primarily based on population. The amount can fluctuate based on the portion that the legislature appropriates to the state Highway User Revenue Fund.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

to year.

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase is primarily due to increases in grant funding and intergovernmental agreements.

YTD Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) The decrease is partly due to grant activity, which is based on the funding awarded and received so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year.
- (2) The decrease was also due to a change in the way Coconino County Flood Control monies are distributed. Annual Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The decrease is primarily due to decreases in grant funding.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase is due to an unbudgeted one-time state allocation of \$18 million to cities and towns for street and highway projects. Funds must be spent in the same manner as Highway User revenues.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to one-time AZCares Act funding for revenue losses during the COVID-19 financial crisis.


YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease is due to a one-time state allocation of \$18 million to cities and towns for street and highway projects received in the prior year.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated decrease is based on anticipated decreases in grant funding.

Under Target for FY 2021: Grants and intergovernmental agreements tied to various projects are received as awarded or based on the timing of the project. In addition, the FY 2021 budget includes \$300,000 of contingent grant revenues in case a significant grant opportunity arises. Due to the effect of the timing of these revenues, year-to-date revenues are low and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year due to the contingent revenues.



Other Intergovernmental November YTD One-Time November YTD Ongoing Total Annual Revenues* \$400,000 Ongoing Annual \$200,000 Revenues* 2019 2017 2018 2020 2021 Fiscal Year

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

License &	Perm	it Revenues		Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		rember YTD Revenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual	
2017	\$	207,603	\$ 478,016	43%			
2018	\$	203,410	\$ 456,278	45%	-2%	-5%	
2019	\$	163,452	\$ 381,501	43%	-20%	-16%	
2020	\$	149,360	\$ 313,929	48%	-9%	-18%	
2021	\$	186,375	\$ 490,150	38%	25%	56%	

YTD and Annual Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) The decrease was partly due to a change in business license requirements eliminating the need for businesses without a physical presence in the City limits to obtain a license.
- (2) The decrease was also a result of a reclassification of charges for services previously classified as licenses and permits.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:


The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in building permit fees. While activity has been high, the valuation of permits has been smaller on average.


YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The increase was primarily due to an increase in building permit revenues.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase was primarily due to anticipated increases in building permits.






^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Charges for	or Se	rvices Reven	Under Target for FY 2021			
FY		vember YTD Revenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	2,535,641	\$ 6,057,534	42%		
2018	\$	3,504,146	\$ 8,528,856	41%	38%	41%
2019	\$	3,620,493	\$ 8,855,382	41%	3%	4%
2020	\$	3,539,965	\$ 8,224,004	43%	-2%	-7%
2021	\$	3,320,852	\$ 8,695,450	38%	-6%	6%

YTD and Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase was primarily due to the start of the paid parking program and the indirect cost allocations to fund the Information Technology Internal Services Fund.

Under Target for FY 2021: Charges for Services are low due to a temporary suspension of the paid parking program and accommodations made for wastewater customers. While revenues are low, they are anticipated to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Fines & Forfeitures Revenues

rines & r	ortei	tures Reve	nue	es	On	larget for FY	2021
FY		ember YTD levenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	84,606	\$	186,404	45%		
2018	\$	119,043	\$	333,546	36%	41%	79%
2019	\$	119,265	\$	295,737	40%	<1%	-11%
2020	\$	95,561	\$	226,164	42%	-20%	-24%
2021	\$	120,397	\$	288,460	42%	26%	28%

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The increase was primarily due to an increase in court fines collected, which is partly due to the start of the paid parking program and the related fines.

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) The increase was primarily due to an increase in court fines collected, which is partly due to the start of the paid parking program and the related fines.
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} \end{tabular} \beg$

Annual Decrease from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

- (1) The decrease was partly due to a reduction in court fines collected.
- (2) The decrease was partly due to a reduction in towing fees.

YTD Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

- (1) The decrease was largely due to a significant write-off of wastewater late fees.
- (2) The decrease was also partly due to a reduction in court fines collected.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:


- (1) The decrease was partly due to a significant write-off of wastewater late fees and the suspension of late fees during the COVID-19 closures.
- (2) The decrease was also partly due to a reduction in court fines collected.

YTD Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The increase was largely due to a change in the collection of paid parking citations from the Court to Finance, which eliminated the requirement to split parking citation fine revenues with other agencies.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

- (1) The estimated increase was partly due to assumed increases in court fines collected.
- (2) The estimated increase was also partly due to assumed increases in wastewater late fees.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Development Impact Fee Revenues

Develo	pilielit	impact i ee Ki	CVC	nues	UII	der Target for F	1 2021
FY	N	ovember YTD Revenues		Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
201	7 \$	485,310	\$	618,740	78%		
201	8 \$	50,727	\$	207,076	24%	-90%	-67%
201	9 \$	120,361	\$	292,546	41%	137%	41%
202	0 \$	104,124	\$	478,598	22%	-13%	64%
202	1 \$	97,785	\$	595,200	16%	-6%	24%

Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The decrease was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of the new Marriott facility and the new CVS in the prior year.

Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of a large multifamily development.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of two large commercial developments.

Other Increases/Decreases: The activity of the development impact fees is based on development and permitting so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from

month to month or year to year. As the City approaches build-out, these revenues are expected to decrease.



Under Target for FY 2021: Development impact fees are not consistent from month to month or year to year. The revenues are low and but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.

Under Target for EV 2021

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Capacity Fee Revenues

Capacity r	ee ne	evenues			der Target for F	1 2021
FY		ember YTD evenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual
2017	\$	908,344	\$ 1,167,388	78%		
2018	\$	115,439	\$ 523,013	22%	-87%	-55%
2019	\$	194,487	\$ 507,170	38%	68%	-3%
2020	\$	235,917	\$ 997,558	24%	21%	97%
2021	\$	154,244	\$ 1,593,100	10%	-35%	60%

Annual Decrease from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

The decrease was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of the new Marriott facility and the new CVS in the prior year.


Annual Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of a large multifamily development.

Annual Increase from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The estimated increase was primarily due to fees assessed with the permitting of two large commercial developments.

Other Increases/Decreases: The activity of the capacity fees is based on development and permitting so revenues will not necessarily be consistent from month to month or year to year. As the City approaches build-out, these revenues are expected to decrease.



Under Target for FY 2021: Capacity fees are not consistent from month to month or year to year. The revenues are low and may be under target by the end of the fiscal year due to delays in some significant one-time development projects.

^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Other Mis	scella	neous Rev	Under Target for FY 2021					
FY		ember YTD evenues	Annual Revenues*	% of Annual Rev.	% Increase - November YTD	% Increase - Annual		
2017	\$	2,083	\$ 511,285	<1%				
2018	\$	239,593	\$ 1,018,991	24%	11400%	99%		
2019	\$	375,448	\$ 1,902,883	20%	57%	87%		
2020	\$	499,956	\$ 1,578,215	32%	33%	-17%		
2021	\$	345.666	\$ 901.770	38%	-31%	-43%		

YTD Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) The increase was partly due to an increase in the interest earnings in LGIP accounts.
- (2) The increase was also partly due to insurance proceeds for the City Hall flood damage.
- (3) In addition, unanticipated donations of \$15,000 were received for park benches.
- (4) The increase was also partly due to an increase in auction proceeds for the disposal of assets.

Annual Increase from FY 2017 to FY 2018:

- (1) The increase was largely due to settlement proceeds from the SunEdison case.
- (2) The increase was also due to an increase in the interest earnings in LGIP accounts and pooled investment accounts.
- (3) The increase was also partly due to insurance proceeds for the City Hall flood damage.

YTD Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was primarily due to an increase in the interest earnings in the LGIP accounts.

Annual Increase from FY 2018 to FY 2019:

The increase was largely due to unrealized gain in market values of investments and increased interest earnings.

YTD Increase from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The increase was primarily due to an increase in the interest earnings in the LGIP accounts and pooled investment accounts.

Annual Decrease from FY 2019 to FY 2020:

The decrease was primarily due to the lowering of interest rates during the COVID-19 financial crisis.


YTD Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease was primarily due to the lowering of interest rates during the COVID-19 financial crisis, offset by the timing of the dividend received from the municipal insurance pool.

Annual Decrease from FY 2020 to FY 2021:

The decrease was primarily due to estimated reductions in interest earnings due to lowering of rates during the COVID-19 financial crisis.

Under Target for FY 2021: Miscellaneous revenues are not consistent from month to month or year to year. Year-to-date revenues are low but are expected to be on target by the end of the fiscal year.



^{*} For the current year, Annual Revenues represent the total budgeted, excluding contingencies. For prior years, Annual Revenues represent the total actual.

Sales Tax Revenues by Category


Month	F	Retail	Re	estaurant & Bar	Н	otel/Motel	Co	onstruction	ı	Leasing	С	ommuni- ations & Utilities	Aı	musements & Other	Totals
City Sales Tax Revenues by Category and		•													
July 2019		556,523	\$	338,347	\$	385,663	\$		\$	126,406	\$	65,539	\$	113,873	\$ 1,723,856
August 2019		533,678		335,441		340,211		116,576		132,515		67,910		170,141	1,696,472
September 2019		609,904		407,547		457,079		147,385		147,723		74,529		100,956	1,945,123
October 2019		685,931		433,018		511,731		142,976		152,000		59,328		125,885	2,110,869
November 2019		667,306		394,346		447,089		161,975		155,136		58,249		88,212	1,972,313
December 2019		725,119		310,857		369,481		168,222		143,855		55,259		67,271	1,840,064
January 2020		609,410		317,577		369,711		170,317		118,914		67,386		51,795	1,705,110
February 2020		595,491		201,021		370,185		131,076		108,770		60,966		71,085	1,538,594
March 2020		561,117		159,533		279,600		160,513		109,370		57,253		19,158	1,346,544
April 2020		408,676		174,697		55,711		133,564		104,488		50,978		3,744	931,858
May 2020		555,383		255,570		232,687		190,433		115,816		54,867		26,801	1,431,557
June 2020		700,094		383,905		379,471		170,004		130,513		59,252		54,415	1,877,654
Total FY 2020	\$7,	,208,632	\$:	3,711,859	\$	4,198,619	\$	1,830,546	\$	1,545,506	\$	731,516	\$	893,336	\$ 20,120,014
July 2020	\$	642,080	\$	303,536	\$	327,288	\$	144,261	\$	129,335	\$	73,241	\$	56,486	\$ 1,676,227
August 2020		668,354		383,834		456,650		129,278		150,115		77,986		50,285	1,916,502
September 2020		780,895		420,087		541,282		116,232		148,383		70,926		70,035	2,147,840
October 2020		813,536		441,651		681,485		129,703		144,446		69,192		90,097	2,370,110
November 2020		810,378		443,561		543,538		190,939		159,654		57,779		55,397	2,261,246
December 2020		-		_		_		_		_		_		_	_
January 2021		-		-		_		-		-		-		-	-
February 2021		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	-
March 2021		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	-
April 2021		_		-		-		-		-		_		-	-
May 2021		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	-
June 2021		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	-
Total Year-to-Date FY 2021	\$3,	,715,243	\$ [*]	1,992,669	\$	2,550,243	\$	710,413	\$	731,933	\$	349,124	\$	322,300	\$ 10,371,925
Current Month Comparison to Same Mo	nth	Last Year													
November 2020 vs. November 2021	\$	143,072	\$	49,215	\$	96,449	\$	28,964	\$	4,518	\$	(470)	\$	(32,815)	\$ 288,933
Change from November to November		21%		12%	·	22%	-	[´] 18%		[′] 3%		`-1%		-37%	[^] 15%
Year-to-Date Comparison to Year-to-Dat	e La	ast Year													
Difference in YTD		661,901	\$	83,970	\$	408,470	\$	3,996	\$	18,153	\$	23,569	\$	(276,767)	\$ 923,292
% Change from Prior YTD		22%		4%		19%		1%		3%		7%		-46%	10%


NOTE: For this table only, certain anticipated refunds have been adjusted in the prior months to enhance comparability.


Sales & Bed Tax Revenues by Month


City Sales Tax Revenues													
Month	FY 2020 Actuals	FY 2021 Actuals	Actual Variance	FY 2021 Budget	Budget Variance								
July	\$ 1,723,855	\$ 1,676,229	-3%	\$ 1,193,110	40%								
August	1,696,471	1,916,499	13%	1,134,350	69%								
September	1,945,122	2,147,841	10%	1,307,610	64%								
October	2,110,869	2,370,109	12%	1,464,090	62%								
November	1,864,918	2,261,247	21%	1,254,020	80%								
December	1,840,064	-	-	1,192,660	-								
January	1,705,109	-	-	1,179,980	-								
February	1,532,903	-	-	1,326,690	-								
March	1,346,544	-	-	2,068,720	-								
April	931,857	-	-	1,838,200	-								
May	1,431,558	-	-	1,852,430	-								
June	1,990,740	-	-	1,697,640	-								
Totals	\$ 20 120 012	\$10 371 925	11%	\$17 509 500	63%								

Bed Tax Revenues													
Month	FY 2020 Actuals			Y 2021 Actuals	Actual Variance		FY 2021 Budget	Budget Variance					
July	\$	380,276	\$	325,985	-14%	\$	173,990	87%					
August		340,704		451,740	33%		167,710	169%					
September		457,901		529,984	16%		206,490	157%					
October		515,088		666,300	29%		266,830	150%					
November		446,282		530,789	19%		197,480	169%					
December		360,997		-	-		186,680	-					
January		363,165		-	-		197,640	-					
February		360,160		-	-		233,630	-					
March		277,687		-	-		460,180	-					
April		54,848		-	-		417,840	-					
May		227,638		-	-		381,870	-					
June		375,438		-	-		309,560	-					
Totals	\$ 4	1,160,184	\$ 2	2,504,798	17%	\$:	3,199,900	147%					









Historical Changes - City Sales Tax

Early FY 2020: Effective October 1, 2019, all retail marketplaces are required to collect and remit taxes. This is the result of Wayfair v. South Dakota; however, many of the marketplaces were already collecting and remitting taxes.

Mid FY 2019: Effective January 1, 2019, all online marketplaces (not just AirBnB) are required to remit short-term residential rental taxes on behalf of property owners. This impacts the Hotel/Motel category.

Late FY 2018: The tax rate increased from 3.0% to 3.5% effective March 1, 2018.

Mid FY 2017: Effective January 1, 2017, the City is no longer allowed to prohibit short-term residential rentals. This impacts the Hotel/Motel category.

Mid FY 2016: The state took over collections effective January 1, 2016. For several months, tax collections were higher as a result of more timely payments by taxpayers presumably because they did not have to file a separate form with the City.

Historical Changes - Bed Tax

Mid FY 2019: Effective January 1, 2019, all online marketplaces (not just AirBnB) are required to remit short-term residential rental taxes on behalf of property owners.

Mid FY 2017: Effective January 1, 2017, the City is no longer allowed to prohibit short-term residential rentals.

Mid FY 2016: The state took over collections effective January 1, 2016. For several months, tax collections were higher as a result of more timely payments by taxpayers presumably because they did not have to file a separate form with the City.

		Gener	ral	Fund Sun	ımary				Click to retu	iii to Ta
		EV 2224		V 0004 V/TD		F	Y 2021 YTD	0/ 5	EV 2000 V/ED	
		FY 2021 Budget	F	Y 2021 YTD Actuals	Encum- brances		Including	% of Budget	FY 2020 YTD Actuals	Actual Varianc
Payantina						En	cumbrances			
Revenues Taxes:										
City Sales Taxes	\$	15,011,000	\$	8,926,021		\$	8,926,021	59%	\$ 8,033,124	11
Bed Taxes	•	3,199,900	•	2,504,798		ľ	2,504,798	78%	2,140,251	17
Franchise Fees		849,600		252,583			252,583	30%	233,315	8
State Shared Revenues:								.=		
State Shared Sales Taxes		985,600		444,789			444,789	45% 45%	431,368	3 11
Urban Revenue Sharing Vehicle License Taxes		1,375,800 627,900		615,661 338,585			615,661 338,585	45% 54%	556,861 287,505	18
Other Intergovernmental:		021,300		000,000			000,000	3470	201,000	10
Grants		23,190		9,560			9,560	41%	1,971	385
Other		500		150			150	30%	123	22
In Lieu Fees		498,000		-			-	0%	-	N
Licenses & Permits		490,150 759,480		186,375			186,375 161,114	38% 21%	149,360 318,486	25 -49
Charges for Services Fines & Forfeitures		208,960		161,114 102,001			102,001	49%	78,329	30
Other Revenues:		200,500		102,001			102,001	4370	70,023	30
Interest Earnings		77,800		31,393			31,393	40%	62,420	-50
Rental Income		41,600		9,318			9,318	22%	20,973	-56
Miscellaneous		174,630		162,465			162,465	93%	49,390	229
Total Reven	ues \$	24,324,110	\$	13,744,813		\$	13,744,813	57%	\$ 12,363,475	1
Expenditures										
General Government:										
City Council	\$	65,375	\$	16,703	\$ -	\$	16,703	26%		-38
City Manager's Office Human Resources		752,260		263,911	-		263,911	35%	281,033	-6 19-
Financial Services		302,390 1,147,870		88,586 378,468	32,725		88,586 411,193	29% 36%	108,900 448,188	- 18 -16
City Attorney's Office		682,760		233,529	32,723		233,529	34%	254,111	-10
City Clerk's Office		304,485		115,090	_		115,090	38%	106,298	- 8
General Services		542,144		249,053	-		249,053	46%	283,619	-12
Community Development		807,850		277,578	-		277,578	34%	297,825	-7
Public Works		601,600		172,801	14,080		186,881	31%	282,647	-39
Municipal Court		515,540		145,574	-		145,574	28%	165,445	-12
Public Safety:										
General Services		75,363		37,710	37,460		75,169	100% 33%	37,710	<1
Community Development Police		700,555 4,902,986		227,804 1,846,996	-		227,804 1,846,996	33% 38%	,	-5 4
Public Works & Streets:		4,902,900		1,040,330	_		1,040,990	30 /0	1,700,303	7
Public Works		2,408,906		698,709	24,816		723,525	30%	784,678	-11
Culture & Recreation:				,	,		,		•	
City Manager's Office		98,150		25,977	-		25,977	26%	32,956	-21
Parks & Recreation		794,690		251,522			251,522	32%	309,553	-19
General Services		498,553		242,500	242,500		485,000	97%		<1
Community Development		724 610		250 022	2.050		260 883	N/A	13,415	-100
Public Works <u>Economic Development:</u>		724,610		258,833	2,050		260,883	36%	292,154	-11
City Manager's Office		2,446,060		1,223,030	1,223,030		2,446,060	100%	1,246,250	-2
Economic Development:		293,707		64,095	-,220,000		64,095	22%	87,341	-27
Health & Welfare:		•		,			,		•	
City Manager's Office		300,625		44,816	28,677		73,493	24%	98,095	-54
General Services		390,000		177,385	97,700		275,085	71%	191,435	-7
Public Transportation:		04.550		05.000	05.000		00.000	070	04.40:	
General Services Debt Service		61,550 1,034,560		25,000 428,875	35,000		60,000 428,875	97% 41%	61,464 429,779	-59 <-
Indirect Cost Allocations		672,510		317,400	-		317,400	41%	255,480	24
Contingencies		781,624		-	_			0%		N
Net Addition to Equipment Replacement Reserve		- ,		-	-		-	N/A	-	N
Total Expenditu	ires \$	21,906,723	\$	7,811,944	\$ 1,738,038	\$	9,549,982	44%	\$ 8,357,056	-7
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
	-							000/	\$ (892,053)	7
Transfers to Capital Improvements Fund	\$	(2,165,000)	\$	(833,333)		\$	(833,333)	38%	Ψ (002,000)	
Transfers to Wastewater Fund	\$	(3,400,000)	\$	(1,416,667)		\$	(1,416,667)	42%	(2,032,094)	30
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund	\$		\$			\$, , ,	42% 42%		30 82
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds	\$	(3,400,000) (200,000)	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333)		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333)	42% 42% N/A	(2,032,094) (458,333)	30 82 N
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund	·	(3,400,000) (200,000) - (272,840)		(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683)			(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683)	42% 42% N/A 42%	(2,032,094) (458,333) - (79,483)	30 82 N <
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Fransfers to Affordable Housing Fund Fransfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Fransfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us	·	(3,400,000) (200,000)		(1,416,667) (83,333)		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333)	42% 42% N/A	(2,032,094) (458,333) - (79,483)	30 82 N <
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us	·	(3,400,000) (200,000) - (272,840)	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683)			(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683)	42% 42% N/A 42% 41%	(2,032,094) (458,333) - (79,483)	30 82 1 <
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) - (272,840) (6,037,840)	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017)		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017)	42% 42% N/A 42% 41%	(2,032,094) (458,333) - (79,483) \$ (3,461,964)	30 82 1 <
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30:	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) - (272,840) (6,037,840)	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017)		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017)	42% 42% N/A 42% 41%	(2,032,094) (458,333) - (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553	30 82 N < 29
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Userund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30: Operating Reserve Debt Service Reserve	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) (272,840) (6,037,840) 11,696,653 6,118,351	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351	42% 42% N/A 42% 41% 113%	(2,032,094) (458,333) (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553 \$ 6,187,349	30 82 1 4
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30: Operating Reserve Debt Service Reserve Equipment Replacement Reserve	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) - (272,840) (6,037,840)	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530	42% 42% N/A 42% 41% 113% 100% N/A 100%	(2,032,094) (458,333) (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553 \$ 6,187,349 - 1,015,035	33 82 N <
Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30: Operating Reserve Debt Service Reserve Equipment Replacement Reserve Budget Carryovers Reserve	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) (272,840) (6,037,840) 11,696,653 6,118,351 - 983,967	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 - 983,967		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 - 983,967	42% 42% N/A 42% 41% 113% 100% N/A 100% N/A	(2,032,094) (458,333) (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553 \$ 6,187,349 - 1,015,035 217,000	300 822 NN <-11 29 9 9 -1 NN -3
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30: Operating Reserve Debt Service Reserve Equipment Replacement Reserve Budget Carryovers Reserve Assigned for Uptown Improvements	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) (272,840) (6,037,840) 11,696,653 6,118,351	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 - 983,967 - (36,928)		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 983,967 - (36,928)	42% 42% N/A 42% 41% 113% 100% N/A 100% N/A <1%	(2,032,094) (458,333) (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553 \$ 6,187,349 1,015,035 217,000 886,278	30 822 N <-1 29 9 -1 N -3 -100
Transfers to Wastewater Fund Transfers to Affordable Housing Fund Transfers to Development Impact Fees Funds Transfers to Streets Fund Total Other Financing Sources (Us Fund Balances Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 Ending Fund Balance, November 30: Operating Reserve Debt Service Reserve Equipment Replacement Reserve	ses) \$	(3,400,000) (200,000) (272,840) (6,037,840) 11,696,653 6,118,351 - 983,967	\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) - (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 - 983,967		\$	(1,416,667) (83,333) (113,683) (2,447,017) 13,245,530 6,118,351 - 983,967	42% 42% N/A 42% 41% 113% 100% N/A 100% N/A	(2,032,094) (458,333) (79,483) \$ (3,461,964) \$ 12,129,553 \$ 6,187,349 - 1,015,035 217,000	30 82 N

Wastewater Enterprise Fund Summary

						F'	Y 2021 YTD				
	FY 2021	FY	2021 YTD		Encum-		Including	% of	F)	Y 2020 YTD	Actual
	Budget		Actuals		brances	En	cumbrances	Budget		Actuals	Variance
Revenues											
Charges for Services \$	6,176,500	\$	2,435,135	т		\$	2,435,135	39%	\$	2,569,456	-5%
Capacity Fees	1,593,100	Ψ	154,244			Ψ	154,244	10%	Ψ	235,917	-35%
Fines & Forfeitures	55,800		12,149				12,149	22%		6,563	85%
Other Revenues:	33,000		12,149				12,143	22 /0		0,303	03 /0
Interest Earnings	187,180		47,296				47,296	25%		145,218	-67%
Miscellaneous	7,100		5,844				5,844	82%		2,271	157%
Total Revenues \$	8,019,680	\$	2,654,668			\$	2,654,668	33%	\$	2,959,424	-10%
	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_,,			Ť	_,,,		Ť	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Expenditures Westernature Administrations											
Wastewater Administration: Salaries & Benefits \$	177 F00	æ	74 000	đ		φ	74 000	440/	Φ	70.600	40/
·	177,580	Ф	71,992	4	-	\$	71,992	41%	Ф	72,603	-1%
Other Expenditures	39,810		13,945		-		13,945	35%		30,174	-54%
Wastewater Operations:	1 001 000		004.000				004.000	040/		000 004	400/
Salaries & Benefits	1,031,690		321,388		-		321,388	31%		366,031	-12%
Utilities	505,308		189,128		-		189,128	37%		189,311	<-1%
Maintenance	761,426		123,490		20,925		144,415	19%		97,675	26%
Other Expenditures	450,106		115,617		22,447		138,064	31%		95,502	21%
Wastewater Capital Projects:	101 700		47.004				47.004	000/		50.005	00/
Salaries & Benefits	124,760		47,224		-		47,224	38%		50,295	-6%
Other Expenditures	1,310		<u>-</u>		- -		<u>-</u>	0%		232	-100%
Capital Improvement Projects	2,046,250		531,440		44,656		576,097	28%		271,770	96%
Indirect Cost/Departmental Allocations:											
City Manager's Office	59,270		22,760		-		22,760	38%		22,360	2%
Human Resources	45,100		14,190		-		14,190	31%		16,640	-15%
Financial Services	571,760		185,803		-		185,803	32%		213,866	-13%
Information Technology	229,830		87,123		-		87,123	38%		71,222	22%
City Attorney's Office	162,170		18,860		-		18,860	12%		22,870	-18%
City Clerk's Office	11,180		3,880		-		3,880	35%		2,220	75%
General Services	75,810		37,460		-		37,460	49%		19,010	97%
Public Works	297,495		94,693		10,161		104,854	35%		93,464	1%
Debt Service	4,690,775		1,953,240		-		1,953,240	42%		1,953,240	<-1%
Contingencies	100,000		-		-		-	0%		-	N/A
Net Addition to Equipment Replacement Reserve	-		-		-		-	N/A		-	N/A
Net Addition to Major Maintenance Reserve	-		-		-		-	N/A		-	N/A
Total Expenditures \$	11,381,630	\$	3,832,232	\$	98,190	\$	3,930,422	35%	\$	3,588,485	7%
Other Financing Sources (Uses)											
Transfers from General Fund \$	3,400,000	\$	1,416,667	Т		\$	1,416,667	42%	\$	2.032.094	-30%
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses) \$	3,400,000		1,416,667			\$	1,416,667	42%		2,032,094	-30%
Fund Balances											
Beginning Fund Balance, July 1 \$	15,161,724	\$	16 774 954			\$	16,774,954	111%	\$	18,293,936	-8%
· ·	15,101,724	Ψ	10,774,554			Ψ	10,774,554	11170	Ψ	10,233,330	-070
Ending Fund Balance, November 30:									_		
Operating Reserve \$	1,498,675	\$	1,498,675			\$	1,498,675	100%	\$	1,687,957	-11%
Equipment Replacement Reserve	858,159		858,159				858,159	100%		1,026,527	-16%
Major Maintenance Reserve	125,636		125,636				125,636	100%		89,436	40%
Capital Improvements Reserve	3,900,000		3,900,000				3,900,000	100%		1,529,000	155%
Budget Carryovers Reserve	-		-				-	N/A		40,000	-100%
Unrestricted Fund Balance	8,817,304		10,631,586				10,533,397	119%		15,324,049	-31%
Total Ending Fund Balance, November 30 \$	15,199,774	\$	17,014,056			\$	16,915,867	111%	\$	19,696,969	-14%

All Funds Summary

	Fu	Beginning and Balance, July 1, 2020	Revenues	Budgeted Actual Encumbrances Includ		Expenditures Including incumbrances	Budget Transfers		Net Interfund Transfers	No	Ending Fund Balance, vember 30, 2020			
General Fund	\$	13,245,530	\$ 13,744,813	\$	21,906,723	\$ 7,811,944	\$ 1,738,038	\$	9,549,982	44%	\$	(2,447,017)	\$	16,731,383
Special Revenue Funds														
Streets Fund	\$	1,256,742	\$ 455,732	\$	1,205,980	\$ 20,300	\$ -	\$	20,300	2%	\$	113,683	\$	1,805,858
Affordable Housing Fund	\$	2,064,987	\$ 5,896	\$	1,020,555	\$ 20,685	\$ -	\$	20,685	2%	\$	83,333	\$	2,133,532
Grants, Donations & Other Funds	\$	374,828	\$ 42,457	\$	1,026,573	22,334	\$ 25,000	\$	47,334	5%	\$	-	\$	394,951
Transportation Sales Tax Fund	\$	6,941,094	\$ 1,465,758	\$	126,750	\$ 44,680	\$ -	\$	44,680	35%	\$	(1,195,967)	\$	7,166,205
Capital Projects Funds														
Development Impact Fees Funds	\$	2,723,500	\$ 105,684	\$	1,404,417	\$ 149,705	\$ 49,209	\$	198,915	14%	\$	-	\$	2,679,479
Capital Improvements Fund	\$	9,899,847	\$ 41,791	\$	11,328,382	\$ 1,256,391	\$ 2,805,942	\$	4,062,333	36%	\$	2,028,974	\$	10,714,220
Art in Public Places Fund	\$	152,605	\$ 424	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	N/A	\$	326	\$	153,356
Enterprise Funds														
Public Transit Enterprise Fund	\$	-	\$ -	\$	137,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	-
Wastewater Enterprise Fund	\$	16,774,954	\$ 2,654,668	\$	11,381,630	\$ 3,832,232	\$ 98,190	\$	3,930,422	35%			\$	17,014,056
Internal Service Funds														
Information Technology Internal Service Fund	\$	696,772	\$ 726,117	\$	1,675,424	\$ 633,379	\$ 33,773	\$	667,153	40%	\$	-	\$	789,509
Total All City Funds	\$	54,130,858	\$ 19,243,341	\$	51,214,284	\$ 13,791,651	\$ 4,750,152	\$	18,541,803	36%	\$	-	\$	59,582,548
Community Facilities Districts														
Sedona Summit II	\$	390,605	2,650		50,000	47,762		\$	47,762	96%		-	\$	345,493
Fairfield	\$	119,818	\$ 31,086	\$	126,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	150,904

Click to return to Table of Contents												
Paid :	Parking Pr	ogr	am Summ	ary								
	FY 2021	F'	Y 2021 YTD	% of	E	Y 2020 YTD	Actual	То	tal FY 2020			
	Budget		Actuals	Budget		Actuals	Variance		Actuals			
Revenues												
Paid Parking Fees	433,000	\$	79,249	18%	\$	219,606	-64%	\$	362,413			
Total Revenues	433,000	\$	79,249	18%	\$	219,606	-64%	\$	362,413			
Program Support Costs												
Financial Services	48,100	\$	6,981	15%	\$	17,348	-60%	\$	32,791			
Police	75,100		20,871	28%		26,258	-21%		68,296			
Total Program Support Costs	123,200	\$	27,852	23%	\$	43,605	-36%	\$	101,086			
Net Revenues	309,800	\$	51,397	17%	\$	176,001	-71%	\$	261,327			
Uptown Enhancement Costs												
Christmas Decorations	82,385	\$	76,261	93%	\$	81,770	-7%	\$	81,770			
Uptown Lighting Improvements	63,604		-	0%		-	N/A		2,500			
Uptown Walkway Improvements	-		-	N/A		-	N/A		58,038			
Parking Study	-		-	N/A		34,080	-100%		58,330			
Land Purchase	-		-	N/A		-	N/A		898,880			
Other Uptown Enhancement Projects	109,100		-	0%		-	N/A		-			
Total Uptown Enhancement Costs	255,089	\$	76,261	30%	\$	115,850	-34%	\$	1,099,518			
Fund Balances												
Beginning Balance, July 1	-	\$	(12,064)	∞	\$	826,127	-101%	\$	826,127			
Total Ending Fund Balance, November 30	54,711	\$	(36,928)	<1%	\$	886,278	-104%	\$	(12,064)			

82,378

\$ 20,654,229 \$ 15,065,167 \$ 35,719,396

36,058 \$

3,096 \$

433,830

264,551

70,538

41,842

351,452 \$

228,493 \$

67,442 \$

41,842 \$

\$

\$

\$

29,497

						0				
	_	_	_	General Fund	d	1	Wastewater Fund	_	Grand Totals	
Bond Issue/Lease	Maturity Dates	Interest Rates	Remaining Principal Payments	Remaining Interest Payments	Total	Remaining Principal Payments	Remaining Interest Total Payments	Remaining Principal Payments	Remaining Interest Payments	Total
City Excise Tax Revenue Bonds										
Series 2012	7/1/2025-2026	4.5%	\$ -	\$ -	- \$ -	\$ 8,395,000	\$ 2,081,925 \$ 10,476,925	\$ 8,395,000	\$ 2,081,925	\$ 10,476,925
Second Series 2015	7/1/2021-2027	1.94%	\$ 6,665,000	\$ 526,710	\$ 7,191,710	\$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ 6,665,000	\$ 526,710	\$ 7,191,710
Sedona Wastewater Municipal Pr	operty Corporation I	Excise Tax Revent	ue Bonds							
Series 1998 ⁽¹⁾	7/1/2021-2024	5.20-5.24%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,905,000	\$ 12,335,000 \$ 17,240,000	\$ 4,905,000	\$ 12,335,000	\$ 17,240,000
Capital Leases										

433,830

235,054

70,538

41,842

7,972,974

\$

\$

\$

\$

- \$

- \$

- \$

\$ 13,325,357 \$ 14,421,065 \$ 27,746,422

25,357 \$

\$

\$

\$

4,140 \$

82,378 \$

31,918 \$

3,096 \$

644,102 \$

- \$

Debt Outstanding

3.60%

4.11%-7.34%

1.82%

0.00%

\$

\$

351,452 \$

203,136 \$

67,442 \$

41,842 \$

7,328,872 \$

12/20/2020-2030

11/20/2020-2/20/2025

7/30/2021-2024

7/15/2021-11/15/2021

MidState Energy

Police Vehicle

Grand Totals

American Christmas⁽²⁾

Enterprise Fleet Management

Installment Purchase Agreements

⁽¹⁾ The Series 1998 bonds are comprised of capital appreciation bonds (CABs). CABs offer an investment return on an initial principal amount and are reinvested at a stated compounded rate until maturity. At maturity, the investor receives a single payment (the "maturity value") representing both the initial principal amount and the total investment return.

⁽²⁾The installment purchase agreement with American Christmas is a 3-year agreement with no stated interest rate.

ks & Recreation	Funding Source Court Restricted Revenues Capital Reserves	Tot Budget		oject to Date	% of		F۱	/ 2021 to Date	
nicipal Court agua Courtroom Remodel (MC-01) P ks & Recreation	Court Restricted Revenues	_			% of		- F,	2021 to Date	
agua Courtroom Remodel (MC-01) P ks & Recreation					Budget		Budget	Actuals	% of Budget
P ks & Recreation		\$ 270,389	9 \$	15,716	6%	\$	254.673	s	- 0%
	Project Total	\$ 378,48° \$ 648,87 0		13,070 28,786	3% 4%	\$	254,673		- N/A
structure of Posse Grounds Park (PR-02) (estimated to resume in FY2022)	Capital Reserves CFD - Sedona Summit II	\$ 595,000 \$ 215,214		29,520	5% 68%	\$	14,301		- 0%
provements at Ranger Station (PR-03)	CFD - Sedona Summit II CFD - Fairfield	\$ 215,212 \$ 501,527		171,527	34%	\$ \$	50,000 126,000		2 969 - 09
	Development Impact Fees Capital Reserves	\$ 917,416 \$ 1,247,584		- 8,340	0% 1%	\$	133,481 182,859		- 09 0 59
P	Project Total	\$ 2,881,74		327,270	11%	\$	492,340		
nde Structures & Playground Equipment (PR-05) (estimated to resume in FY		\$ 24,490		24,490	100%	\$	-		- N//
	CFD - Sedona Summit II CFD - Fairfield	\$ 50,000 \$ 165,000		-	0% 0%	\$	-		- N//
_	Capital Reserves	\$ 225,000	0 \$	-	0%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
	Project Total	\$ 464,490		24,490	5%	\$	-		- N//
e Skills Park (PR-07)	Development Impact Fees Outside Participation	\$ 181,755 \$ 37,096		104,267 37,096	57% 100%	\$	24,311		- 0% - N//
	Donations Conital Reserves	\$ 3,000 \$ 241,447		3,000	100%	\$		\$	- N//
P	Capital Reserves Project Total	\$ 241,447 \$ 463,29 8		136,644 281,007	57% 61%	\$ \$	33,061 57,372	\$ \$	- 09 - 09
ice	0.712			051.115	050/		400.000	•	
dio infrastructure (PD-02)	Capital Reserves	\$ 993,939		251,115	25%	\$	100,000		- 0%
ice Station Remodel (PD-03)	Capital Reserves Development Impact Fees	\$ 697,426 \$ 533,464		108,998 27,707	16% 5%	\$	440,893 298,327		6 3% - 0%
P	Project Total	\$ 1,230,890	0 \$	136,705	11%	\$	739,220	\$ 14,360	6 2%
ooting Range Improvements (PD-04)	RICO Monies	\$ 66,388		41,388	62%	\$	25,000		- 09
	Development Impact Fees Capital Reserves	\$ 230,746 \$ 433,117		216,517 501,771	94% 116%	\$ \$	66,159 25,933	\$ 45,747 \$ 194	
P	Project Total	\$ 730,25	1 \$	759,676	104%	\$	117,092	\$ 45,94	1 39%
Car Video System Replacement (PD-05)	Capital Reserves	\$ 164,419		-	0% 0%	\$		\$	- 0%
P	Development Impact Fees Project Total	\$ 20,88° \$ 185,30 0		-	0% 0%	\$ \$	20,881 138,145		- 0% - 0 %
blic Works									
own Enhancements Unspecified Projects (PW-01)	Paid Parking Revenues 1% for the Arts	\$ 309,100 \$ 50,000		-	0% 0%	\$	109,100		- 0% - N/A
P	Project Total	\$ 359,100		-	0%	\$	109,100		- 0%
own Lighting Projects (PW-01b)	Paid Parking Revenues	\$ 120,814	4 \$	64,914	54%	\$	63,604	\$	- 0%
al Estate/Land Acquisition (PW-05)	Capital Reserves	\$ 2,120,080	J \$	-	0%	\$	2,120,080	\$	- 0%
dona in Motion		A 1000 51		4.540.004	1050/			_	
own Roadway Improvements (SIM-01)	Capital Reserves Transportation Sales Tax	\$ 4,338,514 \$ 83,257		4,542,004 144,435	105% 173%	\$	- 274,411		- N/A 5 53%
_	Development Impact Fees	\$ 641,496	6 \$	560,089	87%	\$	16,743	\$ 5,194	4 31%
	Project Total	\$ 5,063,267		5,246,529	104%	\$	291,154		
own Northbound Improvements (SIM-01b)	Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees	\$ 296,24° \$ 238,759		-	0% 0%	\$ \$	72,821	\$ \$	- 0% - N/A
P	Project Total	\$ 535,000		-	0%	\$	72,821	\$	- 0%
own Parking Improvements (SIM-03a)	Capital Reserves	\$ 13,463		13,463	100%	\$			- N/A
	Transportation Sales Tax Paid Parking Revenues	\$ 2,500,000 \$ 990,000		277 988,541	0% 100%	\$		\$ 277 \$	7 <1% - N/A
_	Debt Financing	\$ 11,116,700	0 \$	-	0%	\$	-		- N/A
	Project Total	\$ 14,620,163			7%	\$	346,542	<u> </u>	
Wayfinding Signage (SIM-03b) (estimated to resume in FY2022)	Capital Reserves Development Impact Fees	\$ 57,629 \$ 243,280		57,366	100% 0%	\$ \$	-		- N/A
P	Project Total	\$ 300,909	9 \$	57,366	19%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
lestrian Crossing at Tlaquepaque (SIM-04c)	Capital Reserves	\$ 274,839		253,065	92%	\$	-		- N/A
	Transportation Sales Tax Project Total	\$ 1,901,800 \$ 2,176,63 9		69,462 322,526	4% 15%	\$ \$	348,996 348,996		
R 89A & SR 179 Right Turn Y Roundabout Bypass (SIM-04d)	Capital Reserves	\$ 134,385	5 \$	134,385	100%	\$	_	\$	- N/A
-	Bed Tax Allocation	\$ 132,946	6 \$	132,946	100%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
P	Transportation Sales Tax Project Total	\$ 943,599 \$ 1,210,93 0		13,275 280,606	1% 23%	\$ \$	464,050 464,050		
tal Lane to Ranger Road Connection (SIM-05a)	Capital Reserves	\$ 41,384	4 \$	33,138	80%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ 346,050 \$ 296,754		18,641	0% 6%	\$	- 278,903		- N/A 5 3%
	Development Impact Fees Project Total	\$ 296,754 \$ 684,18 8		51,779	8%	\$ \$	278,903 278,903		
•	Capital Reserves	\$ 159,517	7 \$	148,091	93%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
est Road Connection (SIM-05b)	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ 1,291,379	9 \$	-	0%	\$	108,606	\$	- 0%
	Dovalanment I	\$ 1,692,938		172,778 320,869	10% 10%	\$ \$	512,712 621,318		
est Road Connection (SIM-05b)	Development Impact Fees Project Total	\$ 3,143,834	4 Þ						
est Road Connection (SIM-05b)	Project Total			· -	0%	\$	3,510	\$	- 09
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P nger Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI	Project Total IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210	0 \$ 0 \$	- - -	0%	\$	-	\$	- N/A
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P P nger Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI	Project Total IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees Project Total	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210 \$ 2,949,300	0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$	- - -	0% 0%	\$	3,510	\$ \$	- N/A - 0%
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P nger Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI	Project Total IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210 \$ 2,949,300 \$ 126,132	0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 2 \$	79,006	0%	\$ \$	3,510	\$ \$	- N/A - 0%
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P P nger Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI	Project Total IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees Project Total Capital Reserves Grant Outside Participation	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210 \$ 2,949,300 \$ 126,132 \$ 40,072,000 \$ 710,000	0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	79,006 160,000 10,000	0% 0% 63% 0% 1%	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,510	\$ \$ \$ \$	- N// - 0% - N// - N// - N//
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P P nger Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI	IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees Project Total Capital Reserves Grant Outside Participation Bed Tax Allocation	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210 \$ 2,949,300 \$ 126,132 \$ 40,072,000 \$ 710,000 \$ 10,000	0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	79,006 160,000 10,000 10,000	0% 0% 63% 0% 1% 100%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	3,510	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- N/A - N/A
est Road Connection (SIM-05b) P Road/Brewer Road Intersection & Ranger Extension Improvements (SI P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Project Total IM-05c) Transportation Sales Tax Development Impact Fees Project Total Capital Reserves Grant Outside Participation	\$ 3,143,834 \$ 1,633,090 \$ 1,316,210 \$ 2,949,300 \$ 126,132 \$ 40,072,000 \$ 710,000	0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$	79,006 160,000 10,000 10,000 952,939	0% 0% 63% 0% 1%	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,510 - - - - 970,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- N/A - 0% - N/A

\$ \$

73,000 \$ 610,000 \$ **683,000** \$

75,068

75,068

103% 0% **11%**

Capital Reserves Transportation Sales Tax

Project Total

Posse Grounds Parking Improvements & Soldiers Pass Shared Use Path (SIM-11b)

N/A 0% **0%**

- \$ 21,000 \$ **21,000 \$**

Canita	I Droject	s Summary
Cabita	rroiecu	s Summar v

		Capital Projects Sumr							
		Total Project to Date				FY 2021 to Date			
Project		Funding Source		Budget	Actuals	% of Budget	Budget	Actuals	% of Budget
Sedona in Motion (continued)		0.71.10		40.400	A 1710	252/			
Schnebly Hill Shared Use Path (SIM-11d) (estimated to resume in FY2024)		Capital Reserves Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	19,108 200,000	\$ 4,718 \$ -	25% 0%	\$ - \$		N/A N/A
	Project Total	•	\$	219,108	\$ 4,718	2%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
Navoti Drive to Dry Creek Road Shared Use Path (SIM-11e) (expected to mo	ove forward in F		\$	40,545		122%	\$ - \$		N/A
	Project Total	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	155,000 195,545		0% 25%	\$ - \$ \$ - \$		N/ <i>A</i>
Bicycle Green Lanes (SIM-11f)		Capital Reserves	\$	1,500	\$ 1,833	122%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
	Project Total	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	48,500 50,000		0% 4%	\$ 33,333 \$ \$ 33,333 \$		0% 0 %
Thunder Mountain/Sanborn Shared Use Path & Drainage Improvements (Si		Yavapai County Flood Control	\$	195,000		105%	\$ - \$		N/A
	vi-11g)	Development Impact Fees	\$	74,900	\$ 75,299	101%	\$ 1,900 \$	-	0%
		Transportation Sales Tax Capital Reserves	\$ \$	1,014,625 1,405,475	\$ 5,752 \$ 1,184,012	1% 84%	\$ 898,109 \$ \$ 301,460 \$		1% 5%
	Project Total		\$	2,690,000		55%	\$ 1,201,469 \$		2%
Chapel Road Shared Use Path (SIM-11h) (expected to move forward in FY2 Dry Creek Road Shared Use Path (SIM-11i)	021)	Capital Reserves	\$	68,350	\$ 68,910	101%	\$ - \$		N/A
	Project Total	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	560,000 628,350		0% 11%	\$ - \$ \$ - \$		N/A
		Capital Reserves	\$	52,700		100%	\$ - \$		N/A
, ,		Outside Participation	\$	17,000		0%	\$ 17,000 \$		09
	Project Total	Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	690,000 759,700		0% 7%	\$ 715,399 \$ \$ 732,399 \$		<19 <19
STPS Wayfinding Program (SIM-11k) (expected to move forward in FY2021)	1	Capital Reserves	\$	27,900	\$ 5,450	20%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
Shared Use Path Expert Review (SIM-11L)		Capital Reserves	\$	14,972	\$ 14,972	100%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
	D	Transportation Sales Tax	\$		\$ -	N/A	\$ 10,580 \$		09
	Project Total	0.115	\$	14,972		100%	\$ 10,580 \$		0%
Travel Information System (SIM-12a)		Capital Reserves Development Impact Fees	\$ \$	99,012 51,288		100% 1%	\$ - \$ \$ 51,000 \$		N/A 0%
	Dunings Tatel	Transportation Sales Tax	\$	799,000	\$ -	0%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
Tooffe Wide Commence (CIM 40h)	Project Total	O-mit-I D	\$	949,300		10%	\$ 51,000 \$		0%
Traffic Video Cameras (SIM-12b)		Capital Reserves Transportation Sales Tax	\$ \$	45,911 50,000		46% 0%	\$ - \$ \$ 6,207 \$		N/A 0%
	Project Total		\$	95,911	\$ 21,278	22%	\$ 6,207 \$	-	0%
Storm Drainage Storm Drainage Easement Acquisition (SD-09)		Development Impact Fees	\$	50,761	\$ 50,760	100%	\$ - \$	_	N/A
otom Braniago Eaconom Acquisition (CD CC)		Capital Reserves	\$	125,000	\$ -	0%	\$ 25,000 \$	-	0%
	Project Total		\$	175,761		29%	\$ 25,000 \$		0%
Stormwater Master Plan Update & Project Implementations (SD-10)		Yavapai County Flood Control Capital Reserves	\$ \$	430,000 300,000		16% 12%	\$ - \$ \$ 100,000 \$		N/A 28%
	Project Total		\$	730,000		15%	\$ 100,000 \$		28%
Sunset Drive Crossing Drainage Improvements (SD-11)		Yavapai County Flood Control	\$	100,000		100%	\$ - \$		N/A
		Transportation Sales Tax Capital Reserves	\$ \$	200,000 1,035,000		0% 1%	\$ 200,000 \$ \$ 833,959 \$		09 <19
	Project Total		\$	1,335,000	\$ 112,168	8%	\$ 1,033,959 \$	98	<1%
Streets & Transportation Sanborn Drive/Thunder Mountain Road Overlay (ST-02)		Capital Reserves	\$	623,915	\$ 171,369	27%	\$ 599,620 \$	_	0%
Sanborn Drive/ munder Mountain Road Overlay (\$1-02)		Grant Grant	\$ \$	353,454		0%	\$ 353,454 \$		09
	Project Total		\$	977,369	\$ 171,369	18%	\$ 953,074 \$	-	0%
Shelby Drive/Sunset Drive Improvements (ST-04)		Grant Capital Reserves	\$ \$	500,000 1,287,995	\$ - \$ 156,350	0% 12%	\$ 500,000 \$ \$ 737,230 \$		09 19
	Project Total	Ouplied Nesserves	\$	1,787,995		9%	\$ 1,237,230 \$		<1%
Street Sweeper (ST-05)		Capital Reserves	\$	180,000	\$ -	0%	\$ 180,000 \$	-	0%
Wastewater									
SR179 Sewer Main Replacement (WW-01B)		Wastewater Fees	\$	2,053,148	\$ 861,465	42%	\$ 1,366,250 \$	480,976	35%
Brewer Road Force Main Valve Replacements (WW-01C)		Wastewater Fees	\$	100,000		0%	\$ 78,478 \$		09
Major Lift Station Upgrades (WW-01F)		Wastewater Fees	\$	960,000	\$ -	0%	\$ 100,000 \$	-	09
SR179 Pump Station Wet Well Re-Piping (WW-01G)		Wastewater Fees	\$	131,230		0%	\$ 131,240 \$		0%
Uptown Pump Station Wet Well Re-Piping (WW-01I)		Wastewater Fees	\$	142,899	\$ -	0%	\$ 142,899 \$	-	0%
WWRP Tertiary Filter Upgrades (WW-02)		Wastewater Fees	\$	2,005,983	\$ 1,934,146	96%	\$ 21,522 \$	-	0%
SCADA System & Configuarion Upgrade (WW-04)		Wastewater Fees	\$	310,000	\$ -	0%	\$ 35,861 \$	-	0%
WWRP Odor Control (WW-05) (estimated to resume in FY2024)		Wastewater Fees	\$	359,660	\$ 24,660	7%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
WWRP Recharge Wells (WW-06) (estimated to resume in FY2024)		Wastewater Fees	\$	10,621,293	\$ 5,477,698	52%	\$ - \$	-	N/A
WWRP Reservoir Liner Replacement (WW-07)		Wastewater Fees	\$	1,050,000	\$ -	0%	\$ 50,000 \$	-	0%
HVAC System Replacement (WW-13)		Wastewater Fees	\$	120,000	\$ 50,465	42%	\$ 120,000 \$	50,465	42%
Grand Totals			¢	131 138 259	\$ 21,206,831	16%	\$ 15,234,722 \$	1,985,299	13%